## PRODUCT MONOGRAPH

## INCLUDING PATIENT MEDICATION INFORMATION

## PrXtandi<sup>®</sup>

Enzalutamide capsules

40 mg

Anti-androgen (L02BB04)

Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc. Markham, ON

L3R OB8

Registered Trademark

Submission Control Number: 277282

Date of Initial Authorization: May 28, 2013

Date of Revision: JAN 05, 2024

#### **RECENT MAJOR LABEL CHANGES**

1 INDICATIONS	07/2023
4 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, <u>4.2 Recommended Dose and Dosage Adjustment</u>	07/2023
7 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS, Monitoring and Laboratory Tests	07/2023
7 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS <u>, Musculoskeletal</u>	07/2023
7 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS, <u>Neurologic</u>	07/2023
7 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS, <u>7.1.4 Geriatrics (≥ 65 years of age)</u>	07/2023
8 ADVERSE REACTIONS, <u>8.1 Adverse Reaction Overview</u>	07/2023
8 ADVERSE REACTIONS, 8.2 Clinical Trial Adverse Reactions	07/2023

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sections or subsections that are not applicable at the time of authorization are not listed.

RECEN	T MAJ	OR LABEL CHANGES	2
TABLE	OF CO	DNTENTS	2
PART I	: HEAL	TH PROFESSIONAL INFORMATION	4
1	INDIC	CATIONS	4
	1.1	Pediatrics (< 18 years of age)	4
	1.2	Geriatrics (≥ 65 years of age)	4
2	CONT	RAINDICATIONS	4
3	SERIC	OUS WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS BOX	5
4	DOSA	GE AND ADMINISTRATION	5
	4.1	Dosing Considerations	5
	4.2	Recommended Dose and Dosage Adjustment	5
	4.4	Administration	6
	4.5	Missed Dose	6
5	OVER	DOSAGE	6
6	DOSA	GE FORMS, STRENGTHS, COMPOSITION AND PACKAGING	6
7	WAR	NINGS AND PRECAUTIONS	7
	7.1	Special Populations1	.1
	7.1.1	Pregnant Women1	.1
	7.1.2	Breast-feeding1	.1

17	SUPPORTING PRODUCT MONOGRAPHS							
16		CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY						
15	MICR	OBIOLOGY	4					
	14.3	Comparative Bioavailability Studies	4					
	14.2	Study Results	4					
	14.1	Trial Design and Study Demographics3	1					
14	CLINI	CAL TRIALS	1					
13	PHAR	MACEUTICAL INFORMATION	1					
PART I	I: SCIE	NTIFIC INFORMATION	1					
12	SPEC	AL HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS	0					
11	STOR	AGE, STABILITY AND DISPOSAL3	0					
	10.3	Pharmacokinetics2	7					
	10.2	Pharmacodynamics 2	5					
	10.1	Mechanism of Action	5					
10	CLINI	CAL PHARMACOLOGY						
	9.7	Drug-Laboratory Test Interactions						
	9.6	Drug-Herb Interactions						
	9.5	Drug-Food Interactions						
	9.4	Drug-Drug Interactions						
-	9.2	Drug Interactions Overview						
9		6 INTERACTIONS						
	Quan 8.5	Post-Market Adverse Reactions						
	8.4 Quan	Abnormal Laboratory Findings: Hematologic, Clinical Chemistry and Other titative Data	1					
	8.3	Less Common Clinical Trial Adverse Reactions	1					
	8.2	Clinical Trial Adverse Reactions1	2					
	8.1	Adverse Reaction Overview1	2					
8	ADVERSE REACTIONS							
	7.1.4	Geriatrics (≥ 65 years of age)1	1					
	7.1.3	Pediatrics (< 18 years of age)1	1					

## PART I: HEALTH PROFESSIONAL INFORMATION

## **1** INDICATIONS

**Xtandi**<sup>®</sup> (enzalutamide capsules) is indicated for the treatment of patients with non-metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer (nmCSPC) with biochemical recurrence at high risk of metastasis (high-risk BCR) (see <u>Clinical Trials</u>).

**Xtandi**<sup>®</sup> (enzalutamide capsules) is indicated for the treatment of patients with metastatic castrationsensitive prostate cancer (mCSPC).

**Xtandi**<sup>®</sup> (enzalutamide capsules) is indicated for the treatment of patients with non-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (nmCRPC).

Xtandi has not been studied in patients with nmCRPC at low risk of developing metastatic disease (see <u>Clinical Trials</u>). The benefit and risk profile in these patients is unknown.

**Xtandi**<sup>\*</sup> (enzalutamide capsules) is indicated in the setting of medical or surgical castration for the treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) in patients who:

- are chemotherapy-naïve with asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic disease after failure of androgen deprivation therapy.
- have received docetaxel therapy.

## 1.1 Pediatrics (< 18 years of age)

The safety and efficacy of enzalutamide has not been established for patients less than 18 years of age.

## **1.2** Geriatrics (≥ 65 years of age)

No overall differences in safety and effectiveness were observed between geriatric patients and younger patients in clinical studies (see <u>WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS, Special Populations</u>).

## 2 CONTRAINDICATIONS

- Patients who are hypersensitive to enzalutamide or to any ingredient in the formulation, including any non-medicinal ingredient, or component of the container. For a complete listing, see the **DOSAGE FORMS, STRENGTHS, COMPOSITION and PACKAGING** section of the product monograph.
- Women who are or may become pregnant, or who are lactating.

## **3** SERIOUS WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS BOX

#### **Serious Warnings and Precautions**

Xtandi (enzalutamide capsules) should only be prescribed by a qualified healthcare professional who is experienced with the treatment of prostate cancer and the use of antineoplastic endocrine therapies.

The following are clinically significant adverse events:

- Seizures (see Neurologic section, below),
- Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome (see <u>Neurologic</u> section, below).

## 4 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

#### 4.1 Dosing Considerations

Xtandi is for use in patients with nm-CRPC, metastatic CRPC or m-CSPC who are maintaining treatment with a GnRH analogue or who have had previously undergone surgical castration. Patients started on Xtandi who are receiving a GnRH analogue should continue to receive a GnRH analogue.

Patients with nm-CSPC with high-risk BCR may be treated with XTANDI with or without a GnRH analogue.

#### 4.2 Recommended Dose and Dosage Adjustment

The recommended dose of Xtandi is 160 mg (four 40 mg capsules) as a single oral daily dose. Xtandi can be taken with or without food.

Co-administration of Xtandi with CYP2C8 inhibitors may increase the plasma exposure of enzalutamide and should be avoided if possible. In patients who must be co-administered a strong CYP2C8 inhibitor, reduce the Xtandi dose to 80 mg once daily.

If a patient experiences  $\geq$  Grade 3 toxicity or an intolerable side effect, withhold dosing for one week or until symptoms improve to  $\leq$  Grade 2, then resume at the same or a reduced dose (120 mg or 80 mg), if warranted.

**Intermittent treatment for nm-CSPC with high-risk BCR:** Treatment with XTANDI and a GnRH analogue (if applicable) should be suspended if PSA is undetectable (< 0.2 ng/mL) after 36 weeks of therapy. Reinitiate treatment with Xtandi and a GnRH analogue (if applicable) when PSA has increased to  $\geq$  2.0 ng/mL for patients who had prior radical prostatectomy or  $\geq$  5.0 ng/mL for patients who had prior primary radiation therapy.

Xtandi has been studied in nm-CPSC patients with high-risk BCR in combination with leuprolide (See <u>CLINICAL TRIALS</u>). Caution is advised when using other GnRH analogues to treat patients with high-risk BCR due to potential differences in patterns of testosterone recovery, PSA expression, duration of treatment suspension and compliance to treatment. There is a potential risk that rapid testosterone recovery after treatment suspension results in shorter treatment suspension duration.

**Elderly patients:** No dose adjustment is necessary for elderly patients (see <u>CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY</u>, <u>Special Populations and Conditions</u>).

**Patients with hepatic impairment:** No dose adjustment is necessary for patients with mild, moderate or severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class A, B or C. An increased drug half-life, however, has been observed in patients with severe hepatic impairment; see <u>CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special</u> <u>Populations and Conditions</u>).

**Patients with renal impairment:** No dose adjustment is necessary for patients with mild or moderate renal impairment (calculated creatinine clearance (CrCL) values  $\geq$  30 mL/min; see <u>CLINICAL</u> <u>PHARMACOLOGY</u>, <u>Special Populations and Conditions</u>).

The effect of severe renal impairment on enzalutamide pharmacokinetics has not been studied. Caution is advised in patients with severe renal impairment or end-stage renal disease (see <u>CLINICAL</u> <u>PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations and Conditions</u>).

## 4.4 Administration

Xtandi capsules should be swallowed whole with water and can be taken with or without food.

Do not chew, dissolve or open the capsules.

#### 4.5 Missed Dose

If a patient misses taking Xtandi at the usual time, the prescribed dose should be taken as close as possible to the usual time. If a patient misses a dose for a whole day, treatment should be resumed the following day with the usual daily dose.

## 5 OVERDOSAGE

There is no antidote for Xtandi. In the event of an overdose, stop treatment with Xtandi and initiate general supportive measures taking into consideration the half-life of 5.8 days. It is unlikely that enzalutamide will be significantly removed by intermittent hemodialysis or continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, owing to its large volume of distribution and low unbound free fraction. Patients may be at increased risk of seizures following an overdose.

For management of a suspected drug overdose, contact your regional Poison Control Centre.

## 6 DOSAGE FORMS, STRENGTHS, COMPOSITION AND PACKAGING

#### Table 1 – Dosage Forms, Strengths, Composition and Packaging

Route of Administration	Dosage Form/ Strength/Composition	Non-medicinal Ingredients
Oral	Each capsule contains 40 mg of enzalutamide and the inactive ingredients	butylhydroxyanisole, butylhydroxytoluene and caprylocaproyl macrogolglycerides.

Xtandi (enzalutamide capsules) is supplied as a liquid-filled, white-to-off-white, oblong, soft gelatin capsule imprinted in black ink with "ENZ".

The ingredients of the capsule shell are gelatin, sorbitol sorbitan solution, glycerol, titanium dioxide (E171), and purified water.

The ingredients of the ink are: ethanol, ethyl acetate, propylene glycol, iron oxide black (E172), polyvinyl acetate phthalate, purified water, isopropyl alcohol, macrogol 400, and ammonia solution concentrated.

Xtandi capsules are available in the following package sizes:

- Bottles of 120 capsules
- Blister Cartons of 112 capsules (4 capsules per cavity, 28 capsules per wallet)

Do not use beyond expiration date indicated on the package.

## 7 WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

#### General

Xtandi contains sorbitol (see **DOSAGE FORMS, STRENGTHS, COMPOSITION AND PACKAGING**). Patients with rare hereditary problems of fructose intolerance should not take Xtandi.

Enzalutamide is a strong inducer of CYP3A4 and a moderate inducer of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19. Medicinal products with a narrow therapeutic range that are substrates of CYP3A4, CYP2C9, and CYP2C19 should be avoided, as co-administration of Xtandi may decrease their exposure. If co-administration cannot be avoided, dose adjustment may be required to maintain therapeutic plasma concentrations (see <u>DRUG</u> INTERACTIONS).

Enzalutamide is metabolized by CYP2C8. Co-administration of Xtandi with strong CYP2C8 inhibitors should be avoided. If patients must be co-administered a strong CYP2C8 inhibitor, the dose of Xtandi should be reduced to 80 mg once daily (see <u>DRUG INTERACTIONS</u>).

#### **Carcinogenesis and Mutagenesis**

Daily oral dosing of rats for two years with enzalutamide at 10, 30, and 100 mg/kg/day increased the incidence of neoplastic findings that were considered related to the primary pharmacology of enzalutamide. Enzalutamide did not show carcinogenic potential (absence of neoplastic findings) in a 6-month study in transgenic rasH2 mice and was devoid of genotoxic potential in the standard panel of *in vitro* and *in vivo* genotoxicity tests. An inactive metabolite (M1) showed genotoxic potential in an *in vitro* mammalian genotoxicity assay, but only at concentrations that caused extensive cytotoxicity (see NON-CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY, Carcinogenesis and Genotoxicity).

#### Cardiovascular

**Ischemic Heart Disease:** In randomized placebo-controlled phase 3 studies, higher incidences of ischemic heart disease were reported in patients treated with Xtandi (see <u>ADVERSE REACTIONS</u>, **Cardiovascular**). Ischemic events led to death in 0.4% of patients on the Xtandi plus ADT arm compared to 0.1% on the placebo plus ADT arm.

Monitor for signs and symptoms of ischemic heart disease. Optimize management of cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, or dyslipidemia. Discontinue Xtandi for Grade 3-4 ischemic heart disease.

Patients with clinically significant cardiovascular disease, including recent myocardial infarction (in the past 6 months) or unstable angina (in the past 3 months), New York Heart Association Class (NYHA) III or IV heart failure, except if Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF) ≥ 45%, bradycardia or uncontrolled hypertension (resting systolic blood pressure > 170 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure > 105 mm Hg) were excluded from the Phase 3 clinical trials (see <u>CLINICAL TRIALS</u>). Therefore, the safety of Xtandi in these patients has not been established.

**QTc Prolongation:** In the AFFIRM trial, Xtandi was associated with QTc prolongation of 3.0 to 6.5 msec (placebo-adjusted mean change from baseline) during weeks 5-25 of treatment when administered to metastatic CRPC patients with pre-dose ECG recordings (see <u>CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Cardiac</u> <u>Electrophysiology</u>). In the PREVAIL trial, the largest placebo-adjusted mean increase from baseline was 3.4 msec observed at week 37. Consider these observations in clinical decisions to prescribe to patients with a known history of QT prolongation, risk factors for *Torsades de pointes* (e.g. hypokalemia) or patients who are taking medications known to prolong the QT interval (see <u>DRUG-DRUG</u> INTERACTIONS, Drugs that Cause QT/QTc Prolongation).

**Hypertension:** Xtandi was associated with increases in systolic and diastolic blood pressure and an increased risk of hypertension or worsening of pre-existing hypertension when administered to patients in the Phase 3 clinical trials (see <u>CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY</u>, <u>Blood Pressure</u>). In the Phase 3 trials, the overall incidence of any hypertension-related events was higher in the Xtandi plus ADT group compared to the placebo plus ADT group (14.2% vs. 7.4%). Hypertension rarely led to discontinuation or dose modification and, in general, was not associated with major cardiovascular adverse sequelae. However, approximately 75% of patients with this adverse event required initiation of new antihypertensive treatment or increase in dose of prior therapy.

Blood pressure should be measured at baseline and periodically during treatment. Treatment-emergent hypertension should be treated appropriately.

## **Driving and Operating Machinery**

No studies on the effects on the ability to drive and use machines have been performed.

## Hepatic/Biliary/Pancreatic

Mild, moderate or severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class A, B or C) had no significant effects on the pharmacokinetics of enzalutamide (see <u>CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY</u>, <u>Special Populations and</u> <u>Conditions</u>). Patients with baseline severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh C) were excluded from both the AFFIRM and PREVAIL trials.

## Immune

Hypersensitivity reactions manifested by symptoms including, but not limited to face, tongue, lip and pharyngeal oedema have been observed with enzalutamide (see <u>ADVERSE REACTIONS, Post-Market</u> <u>Adverse Drug Reactions</u>). Advise patients who experience any symptoms of hypersensitivity to temporarily discontinue Xtandi and promptly seek medical care. Permanently discontinue Xtandi for serious hypersensitivity reactions.

#### Monitoring and Laboratory Tests

Monitoring for laboratory or clinical parameters should be conducted as per routine practice. Blood pressure should be measured at baseline and periodically during treatment.

Monitoring of ECG and serum electrolyte levels at baseline and during treatment should be considered for patients at risk for electrolyte abnormality and QTc prolongation.

Enzalutamide is a moderate inducer of CYP2C9. If Xtandi is co-administered with an anticoagulant metabolised by CYP2C9 (e.g. warfarin or acenocoumarol), additional International Normalised Ratio (INR) monitoring should be conducted.

Patients with cardiac history should be assessed for active cardiac disease before starting therapy with Xtandi.

Patients with nm-CSPC with high-risk BCR or nm-CRPC should be monitored for disease progression radiographically at the discretion of their treating physician in addition to serum Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA). In the EMBARK trial, 33 out of 37 (89.2%) nm-CSPC patients with high-risk BCR treated with XTANDI in combination with leuprolide and 40 out of 54 (74.1%) of patients treated with Xtandi monotherapy reported radiographic progression without PSA progression. PSA progression was defined as the date that a  $\geq$ 25% increase and an absolute increase of  $\geq$ 2 µg/L (2 ng/mL) above the nadir (or baseline for participants with no PSA decline by Week 25) and that was confirmed by a second consecutive value at least 3 weeks later. In the PROSPER trial, 104 out of 219 nm-CRPC patients treated with Xtandi in the PROSPER trial reported radiographic progression without PSA progression.

#### Musculoskeletal

**Bone Fractures:** Xtandi is indicated for use in patients who are maintaining castration status through GnRH analogue therapy or surgical castration. In the Phase 3 clinical trials, a higher incidence of non-pathological bone fractures was reported in the Xtandi plus ADT group compared to the placebo plus ADT group (see <u>ADVERSE REACTIONS</u>); no assessments of bone mineral density were conducted in these trials (see <u>CLINICAL TRIALS</u>).

**Falls and Fall-related Injuries:** In Phase 3 clinical trials, adverse events of falls were reported in 12.0% Xtandi plus ADT-treated patients and 5.5% placebo plus ADT-treated patients. A fall of Grade 3 or greater was reported in 1.2% of patients in the Xtandi plus ADT-treated group and in 0.6% of patients in the placebo plus ADT group. Non-pathological fractures associated with falls were reported in 5.4% of patients treated with Xtandi plus ADT and in 2.0% of patients in the placebo plus ADT arms. Additionally, in AFFIRM and PREVAIL, fall-related injuries were reported at a greater frequency in the Xtandi plus ADT arm than the placebo plus ADT arm (2.4% vs. 1.0%) and included contusion, excoriation, head injury, joint injury, laceration, periorbital haematoma, and skeletal injury. Concomitant neurological symptoms, such as dizziness or syncope, were rarely reported as an adverse event with the falls.

## Neurologic

Xtandi is associated with neuropsychiatric adverse events including seizure, memory impairment, and hallucination.

**Seizures:** In the Phase 3 clinical studies (AFFIRM, PREVAIL, PROSPER, ARCHES and EMBARK) (see <u>CLINICAL TRIALS</u>), seizure occurred in 0.9% (7/800), 0.1% (1/871) and 0.3% (3/930), 0.3% (2/572), 1.1% (4/353) respectively in patients treated with a daily dose of Xtandi 160 mg plus ADT. Three patients treated with placebo plus ADT in the Phase 3 clinical studies experienced a seizure 0.1% (3/2636).

In a single-arm Phase 4 trial to assess incidence of seizure in patients with predisposing factors for seizure, 8 of 366 (2.2%) patients treated with Xtandi (160 mg per day) experienced a seizure. The median duration of treatment was 9.3 months. Use of enzalutamide has been associated with seizure. Xtandi should be used with caution in patients with history of seizures or other predisposing risk factors for seizures. Permanently discontinue Xtandi in patients who develop a seizure during treatment.

Patients with a history of seizure or conditions that may pre-dispose them to seizure, including brain injury with loss of consciousness, transient ischemic attack within the past 12 months, cerebral vascular accident, brain metastases, and brain arteriovenous malformation, were generally excluded from the Phase 3 clinical trials. The AFFIRM trial excluded the use of concomitant medications that may lower the seizure threshold, whereas the EMBARK, PREVAIL and PROSPER trials permitted the use of these medications.

Data from *in vitro* studies show that enzalutamide and its active metabolite (M2) cross the blood brain barrier, bind to, and inhibit the activity of the GABA-gated chloride channel (see <u>NON-CLINICAL</u> <u>TOXICOLOGY, Animal Pharmacology</u>).

The dose of Xtandi may be a predictor of seizure in humans, with a greater risk of seizure at daily doses higher than 160 mg. In a dose escalation study involving 140 patients, no seizures were reported at or below daily doses of 240 mg, whereas three seizures were reported, one each at 360, 480, and 600 mg per day.

**Mental Impairment Disorders:** In the Phase 3 clinical trials, the combined adverse events of amnesia, cognitive disorder, disturbance in attention, memory impairment, and the related term dementia were reported more frequently in patients treated with Xtandi plus ADT than in patients treated with placebo plus ADT (6.4% vs. 2.5%).

Patients should be advised of the risk of engaging in any activity where mental impairment or sudden loss of consciousness could cause serious harm to themselves or others.

**Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome:** There have been reports of posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES) in patients receiving Xtandi. PRES is a rare, reversible neurological disorder which can present with rapidly evolving symptoms including seizure, headache, consciousness impairment (including confusion, somnolence, lethargy, encephalopathy or coma), blindness, and other visual and neurological disturbances, with or without associated hypertension. A diagnosis of PRES requires confirmation by brain imaging, preferably magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Discontinuation of Xtandi in patients who develop PRES is recommended.

#### Renal

Mild or moderate renal impairment (calculated creatinine clearance (CrCL) values ≥ 30 mL/min) had no significant effects on the pharmacokinetics of enzalutamide (based on population pharmacokinetic analysis). The effect of severe renal impairment on enzalutamide pharmacokinetics has not been studied. Caution is advised in patients with severe renal impairment or end-stage renal disease (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, Special Populations and Conditions).

#### **Reproductive Health: Female and Male Potential**

## • Sexual Function/Reproduction

It is not known whether enzalutamide or its metabolites are present in semen. A condom should be used if the patient engages in sexual activity with a pregnant woman. If the patient is engaged in sex with a woman of child-bearing potential, a condom is recommended along with another effective contraceptive method. These measures are recommended during and for three months after treatment with Xtandi.

Animal studies showed that enzalutamide affected the reproductive organs in rats and dogs (see <u>NON-</u> <u>CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY</u>). Considering the pharmacological consequences of androgen receptor inhibition, an effect on male fertility cannot be excluded in humans.

#### 7.1 Special Populations

#### 7.1.1 Pregnant Women

Animal studies demonstrated that enzalutamide can cause fetal harm when administered during pregnancy (see <u>NON-CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY</u>). Pregnant women who have taken Xtandi should be informed about the potential hazards to embryo-fetal developmental and the risk of pregnancy loss. There are no human data on the use of enzalutamide in pregnancy. Considering the pharmacological consequences of androgen receptor inhibition, maternal use of enzalutamide is expected to produce changes in hormone levels that could affect development of the fetus.

Xtandi is not indicated for use in women. Xtandi is contraindicated in women who are or may become pregnant (see <u>CONTRAINDICATIONS</u>; <u>NON-CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY</u>). If this drug is used during pregnancy, or if the patient becomes pregnant while taking this drug, the patient should be apprised of the potential hazard to the fetus.

## 7.1.2 Breast-feeding

Xtandi is not indicated for use in women and is contraindicated in women who are lactating. It is unknown whether enzalutamide or its metabolites are present in human milk. Enzalutamide and/or its metabolites are secreted in rat milk (see <u>NON-CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY, Nonclinical Pharmacokinetics</u>).

## 7.1.3 Pediatrics (< 18 years of age)

The safety and efficacy of Xtandi has not been established for patients less than 18 years of age.

## 7.1.4 Geriatrics (≥ 65 years of age)

Of the 3526 patients in Phase 3 trials who received Xtandi, 78.5% of patients were 65 years and over and 34% were 75 years and over. No overall differences in safety and effectiveness were observed between geriatric patients and younger patients in clinical studies. However, an increased frequency of dose interruption, dose reduction and treatment discontinuation was observed with higher age ( $\geq$  65 years) and greater sensitivity of some older individuals cannot be ruled out.

## 8 ADVERSE REACTIONS

#### 8.1 Adverse Reaction Overview

Adverse reactions in this section were defined as treatment-emergent adverse events if the incidences in the Xtandi group were greater than those in the placebo group.

In the Phase 3 clinical trials, the most common adverse reactions (≥ 10%) seen with Xtandi were arthralgia, back pain, constipation, decreased appetite, dizziness/vertigo, diarrhea, fatigue/asthenia, hot flush, hypertension, fall, and headache. The rate of serious adverse events was 34.4% for Xtandi and 27.5% for placebo. Patients treated with Xtandi also had a higher incidence of Grade 3 or higher serious adverse events (of any causality) than patients treated with placebo (30.0% vs 23.7%). Adverse events as the primary reason that led to treatment discontinuation were reported for 15.9% of Xtandi-treated patients and 15.1% of placebo-treated patients.

#### 8.2 Clinical Trial Adverse Reactions

Clinical trials are conducted under very specific conditions. The adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials; therefore, may not reflect the rates observed in practice and should not be compared to the rates in the clinical trials of another drug. Adverse reaction information from clinical trials may be useful in identifying and approximating rates of adverse drug reactions in real-world use.

#### EMBARK: Xtandi with or without leuprolide versus Placebo plus leuprolide in Non-metastatic CSPC Patients with High-Risk BCR

The EMBARK study enrolled 1068 patients with nm-CPSC with high-risk BCR who were randomized 1:1:1 to receive treatment with Xtandi at a dose of 160 mg once daily concurrently with leuprolide (N = 355), Xtandi at a dose of 160 mg once daily as open-label monotherapy (N = 355), or placebo once daily concurrently with leuprolide (N = 358). At week 37, treatment was suspended for patients whose PSA values were undetectable (<0.2ng/mL) at week 36. Treatment was reinitiated when PSA values increased to  $\geq$  2.0 ng/mL for patients who had prior radical prostatectomy or  $\geq$  5.0 ng/mL for patients who had prior primary radiation therapy. For patients whose PSA values were detectable ( $\geq$  0.2 ng/mL) at week 36, treatment treatment discontinuation criteria were met. Refer to Table 2 for the extent of drug exposure in the EMBARK study.

Table 2 - Drug Treatment	and Suspension in EMBARK

	Xtandi+leuprolid e (N= 353)	Placebo+leuproli de (N= 354)	Xtandi Monothera py (N= 354)
Total Duration of treatment <sup>a</sup>			
Median (months)	60.6	55.6	60.4
Range (months)	0.1 - 90.4	0.7 - 94.1	0.4 – 95.0

	Xtandi+leuprolid e (N= 353)	Placebo+leuproli de (N= 354)	Xtandi Monothera py (N= 354)
Duration receiving Drug treatment			
Median (months)	32.4	35.4	45.9
Range (months)	0.1-83.4	0.7 – 85.7	0.4 - 88.9
Duration of suspension from Drug Treatment			
Median (months)	20.2	16.8	11.1
Range (months)	5.7 – 87.9	3.4 - 83.0	2.3 - 84.9
Patients who had Drug Treatment Suspended at Week 37			
Number (%)	321 (90.9)	240 (67.8)	304 (85.9)
Patients who had Drug Treatment suspended and re-initiated			
Number (%)	241 (68.3)	203 (57.3)	270 (76.3)

<sup>a</sup> Inclusive of the treatment suspension period due to undetectable PSA levels at week 37 (if applicable)

Overall, deaths from adverse events during the total duration of treatment occurred in 6 patients (1.7%) receiving Xtandi plus leuprolide, 8 patients (2.3%) receiving XTANDI as monotherapy, and 3 patients (0.8%) receiving placebo plus leuprolide. The reason for death in  $\geq$ 2 patients receiving Xtandi plus leuprolide was infection (n=2), and the reason for death in  $\geq$ 2 patients receiving Xtandi monotherapy was arterial thromboembolism (n=2).

During the duration receiving drug treatment, Grade 3 or higher adverse reactions were reported among 38.2% % of Xtandi plus leuprolide treated patients, 43.8% of Xtandi monotherapy treated patients and 37.3% of placebo plus leuprolide treated patients.

During the duration receiving drug treatment, serious adverse events were reported among 26.6 % of Xtandi plus leuprolide treated patients, 31.6% of patients receiving Xtandi as monotherapy and 28.0% of placebo plus leuprolide treated patients. Of these, the most common serious adverse reactions were ischemic heart disease (3.1% of patients treated with XTANDI plus leuprolide, 5.4% of patients treated with XTANDI plus leuprolide).

Discontinuations with an adverse event as the primary reason were reported for 20.7% of Xtandi plus leuprolide treated patients, 17.8% of patients receiving Xtandi as monotherapy and 10.2% of placebo

plus leuprolide treated patients. The most common adverse reactions ( $\geq$  1%) leading to treatment discontinuation in the XTANDI plus leuprolide arm were fatigue (3.4%), hot flush (2%), cognitive disorders (2.3%) and nausea (1.1%). The most common adverse reactions ( $\geq$  1%) leading to treatment discontinuation in the XTANDI monotherapy arm were fatigue (4.2%) and cognitive disorders (2.0%). Dose reductions due to an adverse event were reported for 7.1% of Xtandi plus leuprolide treated patients, 15.8% of patients who received XTANDI as monotherapy and 4.5% of placebo plus leuprolide treated patients. The most common adverse reactions ( $\geq$  1%) leading to dose reduction in the XTANDI plus leuprolide arm were fatigue (3.1%), cognitive disorder (1.4%) and hypertension (1.4%). The most common adverse reactions ( $\geq$  1%) leading to dose reduction in the XTANDI plus leuprolide disorder (1.7%) dizziness (1.4%) and gynecomastia (1.4%). The median time to deterioration in ECOG status by 1 point (92% of patients had baseline ECOG 0) was 66 months in the Xtandi plus leuprolide arm, 63 months in the Xtandi monotherapy arm and 75 months in the placebo plus leuprolide arm (Xtandi plus leuprolide arm vs. placebo plus leuprolide: HR=1.14 [95% CI: 0.90-1.43], Xtandi monotherapy arm vs. placebo plus leuprolide: HR=1.19 [0.95; 1.50]).

Table 3 shows adverse reactions reported in EMBARK that occurred at  $\geq$  5% (All Grade) or  $\geq$  2% (Grade  $\geq$  3), and a  $\geq$  2% higher frequency in the either of the Xtandi arms compared to the placebo plus leuprolide arm during the duration receiving drug treatment.

System Organ Class/ MedDRA Preferred	Xtandi + I (N =	•	-			monotherapy N = 354)	
Term, MedDRA v25.1	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3- 4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	
Blood and lymphatic syste	em disorders						
Anaemia	20 (5.7%)	5 (1.4%)	12 (3.4%)	3 (0.8%)	15 (4.2%)	2 (0.6%)	
Cardiac Disorders			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Ischemic heart disease <sup>c</sup>	14 (4.0%)	12 (3.4%)	18 (5.1%)	10 (2.8%)	28 (7.9%)	17 (4.8%)	
General Disorders and Ad	ministration	Site Condition	IS	I	I		
Fatigue <sup>d</sup>	176 (49.9%)	14 (4.0%)	131 (37.0%)	6 (1.7%)	187 (52.8%)	16 (4.5%)	
Infections and infestation	S						
Nasopharyngitis	20 (5.7%)	0 (0%)	16 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	25 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	
Urinary Tract Infection	16 (4.5%)	1 (0.3%)	21 (5.9%)	2 (0.6%)	30 (8.5%)	7 (2.0%)	
Investigations			l	1	l		
Weight Decreased	19 (5.4%)	1 (0.3%)	11 (3.1%)	0 (0%)	37 (10.5%)	1 (0.3%)	

Table 3 – Adverse Reactions<sup>a,b</sup> in EMBARK

System Organ Class/ MedDRA Preferred		euprolide 353)	-			onotherapy 354)	
Term, MedDRA v25.1	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3- 4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	
Reproductive System an	d Breast Disor	ders		1	•		
Gynecomastia	18 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	25 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	154 (43.5%)	3 (0.8%)	
Breast Pain <sup>e</sup>	8 (2.3%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	76 (21.5%)	0 (0%)	
Breast Tenderness	5 (1.4%)	0 (0%)	4 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	51 (14.4%)	0 (0%)	
Vascular Disorders		I		1	1		
Hot Flush	242 (68.6%)	2 (0.6%)	201 (56.8%)	3 (0.8%)	76 (21.5%)	1 (0.3%)	
Hypertension	73 (20.7%)	22 (6.2%)	56 (15.8%)	15 (4.2%)	55 (15.5%)	15 (4.2%)	
Hemmorhages <sup>f</sup>	58 (16.4%)	11 (3.1%)	42 (11.9%)	5 (1.4%)	68 (19.2%)	11 (3.1%)	
Injury, poisoning and pr	ocedural comp	lications	1	1	1		
Fall	58 (16.4%)	3 (0.8%)	46 (13.0%)	4 (1.1%)	49 (13.8%)	4 (1.1%)	
Non-pathological fractures <sup>g</sup>	49 (13.9%)	10 (2.8%)	34 (9.6%)	7 (2.0%)	32 (9.0%)	6 (1.7%)	
Gastrointestinal disorde	rs				1		
Diarrhoea	41 (11.6%)	2 (0.6%)	27 (7.6%)	1 (0.3%)	41 (11.6%)	1 (0.3%)	
Nausea	41 (11.6%)	1 (0.3%)	27 (7.6%)	1 (0.3%)	51 (14.4%)	2 (0.6%)	
Constipation	36 (10.2%)	0 (0%)	25 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	31 (8.8%)	1 (0.3%)	
Metabolism and nutritic	on disorders						
Decreased appetite	26 (7.4%)	2 (0.6%)	14 (4.0%)	0 (0%)	30 (8.5%)	1 (0.3%)	
Skin and subcutaneous	tissue disorder	S					
Dry skin	21 (5.9%)	0 (0%)	10 (2.8%)	0 (0%)	16 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	
Nervous system disorde	rs			L	1		
Cognitive disorders <sup>h</sup>	51 (14.4%)	1 (0.3%)	22 (6.2%)	2 (0.6%)	47 (13.3%)	0 (0%)	
Dizziness	35 (9.9%)	2 (0.6%)	30 (8.5%)	2 (0.6%)	38 (10.7%)	3 (0.8%)	
Musculoskeletal and co	nnective tissue	disorders	•				
Musculoskeletal Pain <sup>i</sup>	134 (38.0%)	13 ( 3.7%)	132 (37.3%)	6 (1.7%)	148 (41.8%)	9 (2.5%)	
Respiratory, thoracic an	d mediastinal o	disorders	1	1	1	1	

System Organ Class/ MedDRA Preferred	Xtandi + I (N =	•	Placebo + leuprolide Xtandi mono (N = 354) (N = 354)			
Term, MedDRA v25.1	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3- 4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)
Epistaxis	19 (5.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	18 (5.1%)	0 (0%)
Renal and urinary disorde	ers			L		
Nocturia	23 (6.5%)	0 (0%)	14 (4.0%)	0 (0%)	7 (2.0%)	0 (0%)
Urinary Incontinence	29 (8.2%)	1 (0.3%)	23 (6.5%)	2 (0.6%)	31 (8.8%)	5 (1.4%)

a. CTCAE v 4.03.

b. Adverse Events (AEs) were considered Adverse Reactions if the incidences of AEs in either of the Xtandi arms was greater than those in the placebo group during the duration receiving drug treatment, which excludes the treatment suspension period.

c. Includes angina pectoris, coronary artery disease, acute coronary syndrome, coronary artery occlusion, myocardial infarction, acute myocardial infarction, angina unstable, coronary artery stenosis, myocardial ischaemia.

d. Includes asthenia and fatigue.

e. Includes breast and nipple pain.

f. Includes multiple terms.

g. Includes All Preferred Terms under MedDRa High Level Group Term of "Bone and Joint Injuries" and "Fractures" but excluding pathological fractures.

h. Includes memory impairment, amnesia, disturbance in attention, cognitive disorder, dementia, dementia Alzheimer's type, vascular dementia, mental impairment.

i. Includes Arthralgia, Arthritis, Back pain, Bone pain, Musculoskeletal chest pain, Musculoskeletal discomfort, Musculoskeletal pain, Musculoskeletal stiffness, Myalgia, Neck pain, Non-cardiac chest pain, Pain in extremity, Spinal pain.

Less common adverse reactions (<5% incidence) that were more frequently reported with XTANDI were anxiety (4.8% of patients treated with XTANDI plus leuprolide, 4.8% of patients receiving XTANDI as monotherapy and 2.5% of patients receiving placebo plus leuprolide) and alopecia (4.8% of patients treated with XTANDI plus leuprolide, 3.7% of patients receiving XTANDI as monotherapy and 1.1% of patients receiving placebo plus leuprolide).

#### ARCHES Study: Xtandi versus Placebo in Metastatic Castration-Sensitive Prostate Cancer Patients

The ARCHES trial enrolled 1150 patients with metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer (mCSPC). Patients received either Xtandi at a dose of 160 mg once daily (N = 572) or placebo (N = 574). The median duration of treatment at the time of analysis was 12.8 months with Xtandi and 11.6 months with placebo.

Table 4 shows adverse reactions reported in ARCHES that occurred at a  $\ge$  2% higher frequency in the Xtandi arm than the placebo arm.

#### Table 4 – Adverse Reactions<sup>a</sup> in ARCHES

System Organ Class/	Xtai N = S		Placebo N = 574		
MedDRA Preferred Term, MedDRA v21.0	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	
General disorders and admi	nistration site co	nditions			
Asthenic Conditions <sup>b</sup>	138 (24.1%)	10 (1.7%)	112 (19.5%)	9 (1.6%)	
Vascular disorders		11			
Hot Flush	155 (27.1%)	2 (0.3%)	128 (22.3%)	0	
Hypertension	46 (8.0%)	19 (3.3%)	32 (5.6%)	10 (1.7%)	
Musculoskeletal and conne	ctive tissue disord	lers			
Musculoskeletal Pain	36 (6.3%)	1 (0.2%)	23 (4.0%)	1 (0.2%)	
Injury, Poisoning and Proce	dural Complicatio	ons			
Fractures <sup>c</sup>	37 (6.5%)	6 (1.0%)	24 (4.2%)	6 (1.0%)	

a. Adverse Events (AEs) were considered Adverse Reactions if the incidences of AEs in the Xtandi group were greater than those in the placebo group, and if the treatment differences were maintained when the event rates were adjusted per 100 patient-years of exposure.

b. Includes asthenia and fatigue.

c. Fracture related preferred terms under high level terms: fractures NEC; fractures and dislocations NEC; limb fractures and dislocations; pelvic fractures and dislocations; skull and brain therapeutic procedures; skull fractures, facial bone fractures and dislocations; spinal fractures and dislocations; thoracic cage fractures and dislocations.

#### PROSPER Study: Non-Metastatic Prostate Cancer that Progressed on Androgen Deprivation Therapy

The PROSPER trial enrolled 1401 patients with non-metastatic CRPC. Patients were randomized 2:1 and received either Xtandi at a dose of 160 mg once daily (N = 930) or placebo (N = 465). The median duration of treatment at the time of analysis was 18.4 months with Xtandi and 11.1 months with placebo. All patients continued on a GnRH analogue or had prior bilateral orchiectomy. Patients were allowed, but not required, to continue or initiate corticosteroids (e.g. prednisone).

Grade 3 or higher adverse reactions were reported among 31.4% of Xtandi-treated patients and 23.4% of placebo-treated patients. Discontinuations with an adverse event as the primary reason were reported for 9.4% of Xtandi-treated patients and 6.0% of placebo-treated patients. Of these, the most common adverse reaction leading to treatment discontinuation was fatigue, which occurred in 1.6% of the Xtandi-treated patients compared to none for the placebo-treated patients.

Overall, 32 patients (3.4%) receiving Xtandi died from adverse events. The reasons for death with  $\geq$  2 patients included coronary artery disorders (n = 7), sudden death (n = 2), cardiac arrhythmias (n = 2), general physical health deterioration (n = 2), stroke (n = 2), and secondary malignancy (n = 5; one each

of acute myeloid leukemia, brain neoplasm, mesothelioma, small cell lung cancer, and malignant neoplasm of unknown primary site). Three patients (0.6%) receiving placebo died from adverse events of cardiac arrest (n = 1), left ventricular failure (n = 1), and pancreatic carcinoma (n = 1).

Table 5 shows adverse reactions occurring at an incidence of  $\ge 2\%$  in patients randomized to Xtandi in the PROSPER study.

Table 5 – Adverse Reactions <sup>a</sup> Occurring at an Incidence of $\ge$ 2% in Patients Randomized to Xtandi in
the PROSPER Study

System Organ Class/	Xta N =	-	Placebo N = 465	
MedDRA Preferred Term, MedDRA v16.1	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)
General disorders and admir	istration site cond	itions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Asthenic Conditions <sup>b</sup>	372 (40.0%)	37 (4.0%)	91 (19.6%)	4 (0.9%)
Vascular disorders	· · · · · ·			
Hot Flush	121 (13.0%)	1 (0.1%)	36 (7.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Hypertension	111 (11.9%)	43 (4.6%)	24 (5.2%)	10 (2.2%)
Nervous system disorders				
Dizziness <sup>c</sup>	108 (11.6%)	5 (0.5%)	24 (5.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Headache	85 (9.1%)	2 (0.2%)	21 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Mental Impairment Disorders <sup>d</sup>	43 (4.6%)	1 (0.1%)	7 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Investigations	· · · · · ·			
Weight decreased	55 (5.9%)	2 (0.2%)	7 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Injury, poisoning and proced	ural complications			
Fall	106 (11.4%)	12 (1.3%)	19 (4.1%)	3 (0.6%)
Metabolism and nutrition di	sorders			
Decreased appetite	89 (9.6%)	2 (0.2%)	18 (3.9%)	1 (0.2%)
Gastrointestinal disorders	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Constipation	85 (9.1%)	2 (0.2%)	32 (6.9%)	2 (0.4%

a. Adverse Events (AEs) were considered Adverse Reactions if the incidences of AEs in the Xtandi group were greater than those in the placebo group, and if the treatment differences were maintained when the event rates were adjusted per 100 patient-years of exposure.

b. Includes asthenia and fatigue.

c. Includes dizziness and vertigo.

d. Includes amnesia, memory impairment, cognitive disorder, and disturbance in attention.

# PREVAIL Study: Chemotherapy-naïve Metastatic Prostate Cancer that Progressed on Androgen Deprivation Therapy

In the PREVAIL trial of patients with metastatic prostate cancer that progressed on a GnRH analogue or after bilateral orchiectomy and had not received prior cytotoxic chemotherapy, Xtandi was administered at a dose of 160 mg daily (N = 871) versus placebo (N = 844). The median duration of treatment was

17.5 months with Xtandi and 4.6 months with placebo. All patients continued on a GnRH analogue or had prior bilateral orchiectomy. Patients were allowed, but not required, to continue or initiate corticosteroids (maximum daily dose allowed was 10 mg prednisone or equivalent).

Table 6 shows adverse reactions occurring at an incidence of  $\ge 2\%$  in patients randomized to Xtandi in the PREVAIL study.

Table 6 – Adverse Reactions <sup>a</sup> Occurring at an Incidence of $\ge$ 2% in Patients Randomized to Xtandi in
the PREVAIL Study

System Organ Class/	Xtar N = 8		Placebo N = 844		
MedDRA Preferred Term, MedDRA v16.0	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	
General disorders and admin	nistration site cond	litions			
Asthenic Conditions <sup>b</sup>	409 (47.0%)	30 (3.4%)	280 (33.2%)	24 (2.8%)	
Influenza-like illness	21 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	
Vascular disorders					
Hot Flush	157 (18.0%)	1 (0.1%)	66 (7.8%)	0	
Hypertension	124 (14.2%)	63 (7.2%)	35 (4.1%)	19 (2.3%)	
Nervous system disorders			1		
Mental Impairment Disorders <sup>c</sup>	52 (6.0%)	0	13 (1.5%)	2 (0.2%)	
Restless Legs Syndrome	18 (2.1%)	1 (0.1%)	3 (0.4%)	0	
Somnolence	19 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	
Injury, poisoning and proced	lural complication	S			
Contusion	26 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	
Fall	111 (12.7%)	14 (1.6%)	45 (5.3%)	6 (0.7%)	
Non-Pathological Fracture	68 (7.8%)	18 (2.1%)	25 (3.0%)	9 (1.1%)	
Reproductive system and br	east disorder		1		
Gynecomastia	30 (3.4%)	0	12 (1.4%)	0	
Ear and labyrinth disorders			1		
Vertigo	24 (2.8%)	1 (0.1%)	7 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	

System Organ Class/	Xtar N = 8		Placebo N = 844				
MedDRA Preferred Term, MedDRA v16.0	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3-4 (%)			
Infections and infestations							
Herpes Zoster	19 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (0.4%)	1 (0.1%)			
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders							
Epistaxis	24 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (1.3%)	1 (0.1%)			

a. Adverse Events (AEs) were considered Adverse Reactions if the incidences of AEs in the Xtandi group were greater than those in the placebo group, and if the treatment differences were maintained when the event rates were adjusted per 100 patient-years of exposure.

b. Includes asthenia and fatigue.

c. Includes amnesia, memory impairment, cognitive disorder, and disturbance in attention.

#### AFFIRM Study: Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Following Chemotherapy

In the AFFIRM trial of patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who maintained treatment with a GnRH analogue or who had previously undergone surgical castration and had received docetaxel therapy, Xtandi was administered at a dose of 160 mg daily (N = 800) versus placebo (N = 399). The median duration of treatment with Xtandi was 8.3 months, while with placebo it was 3.0 months. Patients were allowed, but not required, to continue or initiate corticosteroids (e.g. prednisone).

Table 7 shows adverse reactions occurring at an incidence of  $\ge 2\%$  in patients randomized to Xtandi in the AFFIRM study.

## Table 7 – Adverse Reactions<sup>a</sup> Occurring at an Incidence of $\ge$ 2% in Patients Randomized to Xtandi in the AFFIRM Study

	Xtandi N = 800		Placebo N = 399	
System Organ Class/ MedDRA Preferred Term, MedDRA v11.0	All Grades (%)	Grade 3 <sup>b</sup> (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3 <sup>b</sup> (%)
General disorders and administrat	tion site condition	S	11	
Fatigue	269 (33.6%)	50 (6.3%)	116 (29.1%)	29 (7.3%)
Injury, poisoning and procedural of	omplications		11	
Fall	32 (4.0%)	2 (0.3%)	5 (1.3%)	0
Nervous system disorders			11	
Headache	93 (11.6%)	6 (0.8%)	22 (5.5%)	0
Psychiatric disorders	1	1	11	

	Xtai N = 8		Placebo N = 399	
System Organ Class/ MedDRA Preferred Term, MedDRA v11.0	All Grades (%)	Grade 3 <sup>b</sup> (%)	All Grades (%)	Grade 3 <sup>b</sup> (%)
Anxiety	51 (6.4%)	2 (0.3%)	16 (4.0%)	0
Skin and subcutaneous tissue diso	rders	1		
Dry skin	28 (3.5%)	0	5 (1.3%)	0
Pruritus	29 (3.6%)	0	5 (1.3%)	0
Vascular disorders	1	1		
Hot flush	162 (20.3%)	0	41 (10.3%)	0
Hypertension	49 (6.1%)	16 (2.0%)	11 (2.8%)	5 (1.3%)

a. Adverse Events (AEs) were considered Adverse Reactions if the incidences of AEs in the Xtandi group were greater than those in the placebo group, and if the treatment differences were maintained when the event rates were adjusted for patient-years of exposure.

b. Grade 4 and 5 events were not observed.

#### Cardiovascular

In randomized placebo-controlled phase 3 studies (AFFIRM, PREVAIL, PROSPER, ARCHES and EMBARK), ischemic heart disease was observed in 3.5% of patients treated with enzalutamide plus ADT compared to 2.0% of patients treated with placebo plus ADT. Grade 3-5 ischemic events occurred in 2.1% of patients on the Xtandi plus ADT arm compared to 1.2% on the placebo plus ADT arm. Cardiac failure was observed in 1.6% of patients treated with enzalutamide plus ADT compared to 1.0% treated with placebo plus ADT. The following preferred terms were observed in at least 2 patients: angina pectoris, coronary artery disease, myocardial infarctions, acute myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, angina unstable, myocardial ischemia, arteriosclerosis coronary artery, coronary artery occlusion and coronary artery stenosis.

#### 8.3 Less Common Clinical Trial Adverse Reactions

In the Phase 3 clinical trials, the following less common (< 2%) and clinically significant adverse reactions were reported with higher frequencies in patients treated with Xtandi.

**Psychiatric Disorders:** Hallucinations (including hallucination, hallucination tactile and hallucination visual)

Infections and Infestations: Infections and sepsis with fatal outcome

Nervous System Disorders: Seizure

Gastrointestinal Disorders: Gastrointestinal bleeding

#### 8.4 Abnormal Laboratory Findings: Hematologic, Clinical Chemistry and Other Quantitative Data

Table 8 below shows laboratory values of interest from the Phase 3 placebo-controlled trials (AFFIRM, PREVAIL, PROSPER, ARCHES and EMBARK).

Table 8 – Selected Laboratory Abnormalities in Patients Receiving Xtandi in Phase 3 Studies (AFFIRM, PREVAIL, PROSPER, ARCHES, EMBARK)

Parameter		andi 3526	Placebo N = 2636		
	All Grades	Grade 3-4	All Grades	Grade 3-4	
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
Hematologic Parameters					
Neutrophils (low)	18 (0.5%)	8 (0.2%)	11 (0.4%)	4 (0.2%)	
Chemistry Parameters					
AST increased	37 (1.0%)	10 (0.3%)	53 (2.0%)	7 (0.3%)	
ALT increased	41 (1.2%)	9 (0.3%)	48 (1.8%)	6 (0.2%)	
Bilirubin	5 (0.1%)	2 (0.1%)	4 (0.2%)	0	
ALT: alanine aminotransfer	ase; AST: aspartate amir	notransferase.	·	-	

## 8.5 Post-Market Adverse Reactions

The following adverse reactions have been identified during the post-approval use of Xtandi. Because post-market events are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure.

## Gastrointestinal disorders: diarrhea, nausea, vomiting

Immune disorders: face, tongue, lip, or pharyngeal oedema

**Nervous system disorders:** posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES), dysgeusia **Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders:** rash, severe skin reactions (including Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS), erythema multiforme, toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) and acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP))

## 9 DRUG INTERACTIONS

## 9.2 Drug Interactions Overview

Enzalutamide is a substrate of CYP2C8 and, to a lesser extent, CYP3A4, both of which play a role in the formation of the active metabolite, N-desmethyl enzalutamide (M2). Therefore, the metabolism of enzalutamide may be influenced by medicinal products that affect CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 (see <u>CLINICAL</u> <u>PHARMACOLOGY</u>).

## 9.4 Drug-Drug Interactions

## Potential for other medicinal products to affect enzalutamide exposures

*CYP2C8 inhibitors:* Following oral administration of the strong CYP2C8 inhibitor gemfibrozil (600 mg twice daily) to healthy male volunteers, the composite area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) of enzalutamide plus M2 increased 2.17-fold. Therefore, co-administration of Xtandi with CYP2C8 inhibitors (e.g. gemfibrozil) may increase the plasma exposure of enzalutamide and should be avoided if

possible. If patients must be co-administered a strong CYP2C8 inhibitor, a dose adjustment is recommended (see **DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION**).

*CYP3A4 inhibitors:* Following oral administration of the strong CYP3A4 inhibitor itraconazole (200 mg once daily) to healthy male volunteers, the AUC of enzalutamide plus M2 increased by 1.28-fold. No dose adjustment is necessary when Xtandi is co-administered with inhibitors of CYP3A4.

*CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 inducers:* In a drug-drug interaction trial in healthy volunteers, a single 160 mg oral dose of Xtandi was administered alone or after multiple oral doses of rifampin 600 mg once daily (moderate CYP2C8 and strong CYP3A4 inducer). Rifampin decreased the AUC<sub>0-inf</sub> of enzalutamide plus M2 by 37% with no effect on C<sub>max</sub>. No dose adjustment is necessary when Xtandi is co-administered with inducers of CYP2C8 or CYP3A4. However, the concomitant use of strong CYP3A4 inducers with enzalutamide is not recommended.

#### Potential for Xtandi to affect exposures to other medicinal products

*Substrates of CYP3A4, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, UGT1A1 or UGT1A4:* Enzalutamide is a strong inducer of CYP3A4 and a moderate inducer of CYP2C9 and CYP2C19. Co-administration of Xtandi (160 mg once daily) with single oral doses of sensitive CYP substrates in prostate cancer patients resulted in an 86% decrease in the AUC of midazolam (CYP3A4 substrate), a 56% decrease in the AUC of S-warfarin (CYP2C9 substrate), and a 70% decrease in the AUC of omeprazole (CYP2C19 substrate). An *in vitro* study suggests that CYP2B6, and uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferases (UGT1A1 and UGT1A4) are also induced by enzalutamide. Medicinal products with a narrow therapeutic range that are substrates of CYP3A4, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, UGT1A1 and UGT1A4 should be avoided, as enzalutamide may decrease their exposure. Such substrates include, but are not limited to:

- Analgesics (e.g. fentanyl, tramadol)
- Antibiotics (e.g. clarithromycin, doxycycline)
- Anti-epileptics (e.g. carbamazepine, clonazepam, phenobarbital, phenytoin, primidone, valproic acid)
- Antigout agents (e.g. colchicine)
- Antipsychotics (e.g. haloperidol)
- Antithrombotics (e.g. acenocoumarol, dabigatran etexilate, warfarin, clopidogrel)
- Benzodiazepines (e.g. diazepam, midazolam)
- Beta blockers (e.g. bisoprolol, propranolol)
- Calcium channel blockers (e.g. diltiazem, felodipine, nicardipine\*, nifedipine, verapamil)
- Cardiac glycosides (e.g. digoxin)
- Corticosteroids (e.g. dexamethasone, prednisone)
- Certain anti-cancer agents (e.g. cabazitaxel, irinotecan, sunitinib)
- HIV antivirals (e.g. indinavir, ritonavir)
- Immune modulators (e.g. cyclosporine, tacrolimus)
- Proton pump inhibitors (e.g. omeprazole)
- Statins metabolized by CYP3A4 (e.g. atorvastatin, simvastatin)
- Thyroid agents (e.g. levothyroxine) \*not marketed in Canada

If co-administration cannot be avoided, dose adjustment may be required to maintain therapeutic plasma concentrations. If Xtandi is co-administered with an anticoagulant metabolised by CYP2C9 (such as warfarin or acenocoumarol), additional International Normalised Ratio (INR) monitoring should be conducted.

In consideration of the long half-life of enzalutamide (5.8 days), effects on enzymes may persist for one month or longer after stopping Xtandi.

Substrates of CYP1A2 or CYP2D6: In a drug-drug interaction study in patients with prostate cancer (N = 14), a single oral dose of 100 mg caffeine (CYP1A2 substrate) and 30 mg dextromethorphan (CYP2D6 substrate) was administered before and concomitantly with enzalutamide (after at least 49 days of dosing at 160 mg daily). Xtandi did not cause clinically meaningful changes in exposure to the CYP1A2 or CYP2D6 substrates.

*CYP2C8 substrates:* Xtandi (160 mg once daily) did not cause a clinically relevant change in the AUC of pioglitazone (CYP2C8 substrate) and no dose adjustment is indicated when a CYP2C8 substrate is co-administered with Xtandi.

*P-gp substrates:* In a drug-drug interaction study in patients with prostate cancer, a single oral dose of the P-gp substrate digoxin was administered before and concomitantly with enzalutamide (after at least 55 days of dosing at 160 mg daily). At steady-state, enzalutamide caused a small increase in the exposure to digoxin (17% and 33% increase in C<sub>max</sub> and AUC<sub>inf</sub>, respectively). Medicinal products with a narrow therapeutic range that are substrates for P-gp (e.g. colchicine, dabigatran etexilate, digoxin) should be used with caution when administered concomitantly with Xtandi and may require dose adjustment to maintain optimal plasma concentrations.

*Substrates of MRP2: In vitro,* enzalutamide and its major metabolites are inhibitors of multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (MRP2). The effects of enzalutamide on MRP2 substrates have not been evaluated *in vivo*. Xtandi may increase the plasma concentrations of co-administered medicinal products that are MRP2 substrates. Thus, oral medicinal products with a narrow therapeutic range that are MRP2 substrates (e.g. methotrexate) should be used with caution when administered concomitantly with Xtandi and may require dose adjustments to maintain optimal plasma concentrations.

*Substrates of BCRP:* No dose adjustment is necessary when a breast cancer resistant protein (BCRP) substrate is co-administered with Xtandi. In a drug-drug interaction study in patients with prostate cancer, a single oral dose of the BCRP substrate rosuvastatin was administered before and concomitantly with enzalutamide (after at least 55 days of dosing at 160 mg daily). At steady-state, enzalutamide did not cause a clinically meaningful change in exposure to the BCRP substrate rosuvastatin.

*Substrates of OAT1 or OCT2: In vitro* data indicate that enzalutamide and its major metabolites do not inhibit organic anion transporter 1 (OAT1) or OCT2 at clinically relevant concentrations.

Substrates of OAT3, OCT1, OATP1B1 or OATP1B3: Based on *in vitro* data, the possibility of *in vivo* inhibition of OAT3, organic anion transporting polypeptide 1B1 (OATP1B1), OATP1B3 and OCT1 cannot be excluded. Therefore, enzalutamide may alter the pharmacokinetics of drugs that are substrates of OATP1B1/3 (e.g. statins), OAT3 (e.g. furosemide, methotrexate), and OCT1 (e.g. metformin). The effects of enzalutamide on these transporters have not been evaluated *in vivo*.

## **Drugs That Cause QT/QTc Prolongation**

Caution should be observed if Xtandi is administered with drugs that cause QTc prolongation, including, but not limited to, the following: Class IA, IC, and III antiarrhythmics; antipsychotics (e.g. chlorpromazine, pimozide, haloperidol, droperidol, ziprasidone); antidepressants (e.g. fluoxetine, citalopram, venlafaxine, tricyclic/tetracyclic antidepressants (e.g. amitriptyline, imipramine)); opioids (e.g. methadone); macrolide antibiotics and analogues (e.g. erythromycin, clarithromycin, telithromycin,

tacrolimus); quinolone antibiotics (e.g. moxifloxacin, levofloxacin); antimalarials (e.g. quinine, chloroquine); azole antifungals; domperidone; 5-HT3 receptor antagonists (e.g. dolasetron, ondansetron); tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g. vandetanib, sunitinib, nilotinib, lapatinib); histone deacetylase inhibitors (e.g. vorinostat); beta-2 adrenoceptor agonists. Chemical/pharmacological classes are listed if some, although not necessarily all, class members have been implicated in QTc prolongation and/or *Torsades de pointes* (see <u>CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY</u>, <u>Cardiac Electrophysiology</u>).

## 9.5 Drug-Food Interactions

Food has no clinically significant effect on the extent of exposure (AUC) to enzalutamide. However, the peak plasma enzalutamide concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) was 30% higher when administered to subjects in the fasted state. In clinical trials, Xtandi was administered without regard to food.

## 9.6 Drug-Herb Interactions

Products that contain St. John's wort might induce CYP3A, which may lead to decreased plasma concentrations of enzalutamide.

## 9.7 Drug-Laboratory Test Interactions

Interactions with laboratory tests have not been established.

## **10 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY**

## 10.1 Mechanism of Action

Enzalutamide is an androgen receptor inhibitor that acts on several steps in the androgen receptor signalling pathway. Enzalutamide competitively inhibits binding of androgens to androgen receptors and, as a result, inhibits translocation of androgen receptors and association of androgen receptors with DNA. The active metabolite (M2) exhibited similar *in vitro* activity to enzalutamide. Enzalutamide treatment decreased proliferation and induced cell death of prostate cancer cells *in vitro*, and decreased tumour volume in a mouse prostate cancer xenograft model. In preclinical studies, enzalutamide lacked androgen receptor agonist activity in cell growth assays using LNCaP cells expressing clinically relevant mutant ARs (T877A and/or W741C).

## 10.2 Pharmacodynamics

## Pharmacodynamic Effects

In the Phase 3 clinical study of patients who failed prior chemotherapy with docetaxel (AFFIRM), 54% of patients treated with Xtandi, versus 1.5% of patients who received placebo, had at least a 50% decline from baseline in PSA levels.

## Cardiac Electrophysiology

A comprehensive ECG assessment was embedded in the placebo-controlled Phase 3 AFFIRM study. ECGs were collected at baseline and prior to dosing on weeks 2, 5, 9, 13, 17, 21, and 25 and every 12 weeks thereafter. Enzalutamide 160 mg QD was associated with statistically significant QTc prolongation. During steady-state treatment, the placebo-adjusted mean increase from baseline in the QTcF interval ranged from 3.0 to 6.5 milliseconds between weeks 5 and 25. The magnitude of QTc prolongation at maximal concentrations of enzalutamide was predicted to be 6.0 ms, with a one-sided upper 95%

confidence interval bound of 7.0 ms, using pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic modeling.

## **Blood Pressure**

Serial blood pressure assessments were performed in the placebo-controlled Phase 3 AFFIRM study. Statistically significant mean differences from placebo in systolic blood pressure were observed at most time points during steady-state treatment (weeks 5, 9, 17, 21, and 25), with point estimates in the range of 2-4 mm Hg and one-sided 95% CI upper bounds up to 7.4 mm Hg. Statistically significant mean differences from placebo in diastolic blood pressure were observed at weeks 5, 9, 13, 17, and 21, with point estimates ranging from approximately 1-4 mm Hg and one-sided 95% CI upper bounds as high as 5.2 mm Hg.

#### 10.3 Pharmacokinetics

Study Number	Dosage Regimen	Subject Population	C <sub>max</sub> (µg/mL)	AUC (μg∙h/mL) <sup>α</sup>	t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	CL/F (L/h)	V/F (L)
MDV3100- 05	160 mg <sup>b</sup> single dose (fasted)	Healthy volunteers (n = 27)	5.25 ± 1.06 (20%)	292 ± 88 (30%)	94.3 ± 30.0 (32%)	0.600 ± 0.193 (32%)	76.4 ± 21.9 (29%)
	160 mg <sup>b</sup> single dose (fed)	Healthy volunteers (n = 30)	3.74 ± 1.15 (31%)	285 ± 73 (26%)	87.4 ± 24.7 (28%)	0.599 ± 0.160 (27%)	71.9 ± 16.6 (23%)
S-3100-1-01	150 mg <sup>c</sup> single dose	CRPC patients (n = 3)	3.36 ± 0.78 (23%)	334 ± 50 (15%)	143.7 ± 34.8 (24%)	0.456 ± 0.064 (14%)	92.4 ± 11.8 (13%)
	150 mg <sup>c</sup> once daily (day 84)	CRPC patients (n = 23)	14.46 ± 3.29 (23%)	300 ± 68 (23%)	Not applicable	0.530 ± 0.149 (28%)	Not applicable
9785-CL- 0009	160 mg <sup>b</sup> (fasted)	Subjects with MHI (n = 8)	3.68 ± 2.09 (57%)	303 ± 126 (41%)	196 ± 185 (94%)	0.604 ± 0.229 (38%)	142 ± 105 (74%)
	[matched subjects]	Subjects with NHF (n = 8)	3.83 ± 0.822 (22%)	225 ± 50.7 (23%)	108 ± 53.3 (49%)	0.753 ± 0.213 (28%)	109 ± 40.9 (38%)

a. AUC<sub>inf</sub> and AUC<sub>t</sub> (steady-state) were calculated in single dose and multiple dose studies, respectively;

b. Administered as 4 x 40 mg soft gelatin capsules;

c. Administered as 5 x 30 mg hard gelatin capsules.

CRPC: Castration-resistant prostate cancer; MHI: moderate hepatic impairment; NHF: normal hepatic function.

The pharmacokinetics of enzalutamide have been evaluated in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer patients and in healthy male volunteers.

#### Absorption

Following oral administration of Xtandi 160 mg in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, the median time to reach maximum plasma enzalutamide  $(t_{max})$  was 1.02 h (range 0.52 h to 3.02 h). With the daily dosing regimen, steady-state is achieved after approximately 28 days, and enzalutamide accumulates approximately 8.3-fold relative to a single dose. At steady-state, the active metabolite M2 circulates at approximately the same plasma concentration as enzalutamide; the mean  $C_{max}$  values for enzalutamide and M2 were 16.6 µg/mL (23% CV) and 12.7 µg/mL (30% CV), respectively. The steady-state  $C_{min}$  values of enzalutamide (11.4 µg/mL) and M2 (13.0 µg/mL) in individual patients

remained constant during more than one year of chronic therapy, demonstrating time-linear pharmacokinetics once steady-state is achieved. The plasma concentration of the inactive metabolite M1 was approximately 75% that of enzalutamide at steady-state. Daily fluctuations in plasma concentrations are low (peak-to-trough ratio of 1.25). No major deviations from dose proportionality are observed over the dose range 30 to 360 mg.

Based on a mass balance study in healthy volunteers, oral absorption of enzalutamide is estimated to be at least 84.2%. Enzalutamide is not a substrate of the efflux transporters P-gp or BCRP.

Food has no clinically significant effect on the extent of absorption (Table 9). However, the peak plasma enzalutamide concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) was 30% higher when administered to subjects in the fasted state. In clinical trials, Xtandi was administered without regard to food.

## Distribution

The mean apparent volume of distribution (V/F) of enzalutamide in patients after a single oral dose is 110 L (29% CV). The volume of distribution of enzalutamide is greater than the volume of total body water, indicative of extensive extravascular distribution.

Studies in rodents indicate that enzalutamide and M2 can cross the blood brain barrier.

Enzalutamide is 97% to 98% bound to plasma proteins, primarily albumin. The active metabolite (M2) is 95% bound to plasma proteins. There is no protein binding displacement between enzalutamide and other highly bound drugs (warfarin, ibuprofen, and salicylic acid) *in vitro*.

## Metabolism

Enzalutamide is extensively metabolized. There are two major metabolites in human plasma: N-desmethyl enzalutamide (M2, active) and a carboxylic acid derivative (M1, inactive).

*In vitro* studies show that enzalutamide is metabolized by CYP2C8 and, to a lesser extent, by CYP3A4/5, both of which play a role in the formation of the active metabolite (M2). Enzalutamide is not metabolized *in vitro* by CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2A6, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C18, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, or CYP2E1.

In addition, *in vitro* data show that M2 is metabolized to M1 by carboxylesterase 1, which also plays a minor role in the metabolism of enzalutamide to the M1. Carboxylesterase 2 does not appear to play a role in the metabolism of either enzalutamide or M2.

Following a single oral dose of 160 mg <sup>14</sup>C-enzalutamide to healthy volunteers, a total of 7 Phase I metabolites were identified in plasma, urine, and feces. These metabolites were formed via demethylation, oxidation, and hydrolysis reactions. No Phase II conjugation products were observed. Enzalutamide, N-desmethyl enzalutamide (M2, active) and a carboxylic acid derivative (M1, inactive) accounted for 88% of the <sup>14</sup>C-radioactivity in plasma, representing 30%, 49%, and 10%, respectively, of the total <sup>14</sup>C-AUC<sub>0-inf</sub>.

## Elimination

Clearance of enzalutamide is primarily via renal excretion of hepatic metabolites. Following a single oral dose of 160 mg <sup>14</sup>C-enzalutamide to healthy volunteers, 84.6% of the radioactivity is recovered by 77 days post dose: 71.0% is recovered in urine (primarily as M1, with trace amounts of enzalutamide and M2), and 13.6% is recovered in feces (0.39% of dose as unchanged enzalutamide).

The mean apparent clearance (CL/F) of enzalutamide is between 0.520 and 0.564 L/h in patients and 0.596 to 0.753 L/h in healthy volunteers.

The mean  $t_{1/2}$  of enzalutamide in patients is 5.8 days, while the mean  $t_{1/2}$  of enzalutamide is shorter in healthy volunteers, averaging 2.9 to 4.8 days. The  $t_{1/2}$  of M1 and M2 in patients has not been evaluated. The mean  $t_{1/2}$  for M1 in healthy volunteers ranges from 7.8 to 9.3 days, and the mean  $t_{1/2}$  for M2 in healthy volunteers ranges from 7.5 to 8.8 days, respectively. The  $t_{1/2}$  does not appear to be affected by dose.

## **Special Populations and Conditions**

## • Pediatrics (< 18 years of age)

The pharmacokinetics of enzalutamide has not been evaluated in pediatric patients.

## • Geriatrics (≥ 65 years of age)

Of the 3526 patients in the Phase 3 clinical trials who received Xtandi, 2768 patients (78.5%) were 65 years and over and 1199 patients (34%) were 75 years and over. Based on the population pharmacokinetic analysis for age, no dose adjustment is necessary in the elderly.

## • Sex

The pharmacokinetics of enzalutamide has not been evaluated in women.

## • Genetic Polymorphism

No formal study has been completed to assess the effect of genetic polymorphisms on exposure or response.

## • Ethnic Origin

The majority of patients in the randomized clinical trials were Caucasian (~> 74%). Based on pharmacokinetic data from a study in Japanese patients with prostate cancer, there were no clinically relevant differences in exposure between Japanese and Caucasians. There are insufficient data to evaluate potential differences in the pharmacokinetics of enzalutamide in other races.

## Hepatic Insufficiency

The pharmacokinetics of enzalutamide were examined in subjects with baseline mild (n = 6) or moderate (n = 8) hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class A and B, respectively) and in 14 matched control subjects with normal hepatic function. Following a single oral 160 mg dose of Xtandi, the enzalutamide plus M2 AUC increased by 1.13-fold in subjects with mild hepatic impairment, and 1.18-fold in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment, compared to healthy control subjects.

In a separate study, subjects with severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh C; n = 8) and matched healthy control subjects with normal hepatic function (n = 8) were evaluated. Following a single oral 160 mg dose of enzalutamide, the AUC and  $C_{max}$  for enzalutamide plus M2 in subjects with severe hepatic impairment increased by 1.04-fold and decreased by 0.58-fold, respectively, compared to healthy control subjects. An increased drug half-life was observed in patients with severe hepatic impairment, possibly related to increased tissue distribution. The clinical relevance of this observation remains unknown. Patients with baseline severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh C) were excluded from both the

## AFFIRM and PREVAIL trials.

Overall, the results indicate that no dose adjustment is necessary for patients with baseline mild, moderate or severe hepatic impairment.

## Renal Insufficiency

No formal renal impairment study for Xtandi has been completed. Patients with serum creatinine > 177  $\mu$ mol/L (2 mg/dL) were excluded from clinical trials. Based on a population pharmacokinetic analysis, no dose adjustment is necessary for patients with calculated creatinine clearance (CrCL) values  $\geq$  30 mL/min (estimated by the Cockcroft and Gault formula). Xtandi has not been evaluated in patients with severe renal impairment (CrCL < 30 mL/min) or end-stage renal disease, and caution is advised when treating these patients. It is unlikely that enzalutamide will be significantly removed by intermittent hemodialysis or continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis.

## 11 STORAGE, STABILITY AND DISPOSAL

Store Xtandi (enzalutamide capsules) at controlled room temperature 15°C - 30°C.

## **12 SPECIAL HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS**

Xtandi should not be handled by persons other than the patient or his caregivers. Based on its mechanism of action and embryo-fetal toxicity observed in mice, enzalutamide may harm a developing fetus. Women who are or may become pregnant should not handle damaged or opened Xtandi capsules without protection (e.g. gloves). Do not dissolve or open the capsules.

#### PART II: SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION

#### **13 PHARMACEUTICAL INFORMATION**

#### **Drug Substance**

Proper name:	Enzalutamide
Chemical names:	
IUPAC	4-{3-[4-Cyano-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-5,5- dimethyl-4-oxo-2-thioxoimidazolidin-1-yl}-2-fluoro- N-methylbenzamide
Alternate names	4-{3-[4-Cyano-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-5,5- dimethyl-4-oxo-2-sulfanylideneimidazolidin-1-yl}-2- fluoro-N-methylbenzamide
	3-(4-Cyano-3-trifluoromethylphenyl)-1-[3-fluoro-4- (methylcarbamoyl)phenyl]-5,5-dimethyl-2- thioxoimidazolin-4-one
	Benzamide, 4-[3-[4-cyano-3- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-5,5-dimethyl-4-oxo-2- thioxo-1-imidazolidinyl]-2-fluoro- <i>N</i> -methyl
Molecular formula:	$C_{21}H_{16}F_4N_4O_2S$
Molecular mass:	464.44
Structural formula:	NC CF <sub>3</sub> S NHMe

Physicochemical properties:

Enzalutamide is a white-to-off white solid that is insoluble in water. No salts are formed from pH 2 to 10. One crystalline form and four solvates have been observed.

## 14 CLINICAL TRIALS

#### 14.1 Trial Design and Study Demographics

The efficacy of Xtandi (enzalutamide) was established in five randomized placebo-controlled multicentre Phase 3 clinical studies (PREVAIL, AFFIRM, PROSPER, ARCHES, EMBARK) of patients with progressive non-metastatic (PROSPER) or metastatic prostate cancer (AFFIRM, PREVAIL) who had failed androgen deprivation therapy [Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) analogue or after bilateral orchiectomy], patients with metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer (ARCHES) and patients with non-metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer with high-risk BCR (EMBARK). All patients continued on a GnRH analogue or had prior bilateral orchiectomy, unless otherwise indicated.

## Non-metastatic Castration-sensitive Prostate Cancer with High-risk Biochemical Recurrence (EMBARK)

The EMBARK study enrolled 1068 patients with nm-CSPC with high-risk BCR who were randomized 1:1:1 to receive treatment with Xtandi plus leuprolide (N = 355), Xtandi as monotherapy (N = 355), or placebo plus leuprolide (N = 358). XTANDI was administered at 160mg once daily and leuprolide acetate was administered at a dose of 22.5mg by injection once every 12 weeks on an intermittent schedule (See <u>Clinical Trial Adverse Reactions</u>). Treatment with enzalutamide and placebo was double-blind in combination with open-label leuprolide. Treatment with enzalutamide monotherapy was open-label.

All patients had prior definitive therapy with radical prostatectomy or radiotherapy (including brachytherapy) or both, with curative intent and were not candidates for salvage radiotherapy at the time of screening. Patients were required to have confirmation of non-metastatic disease by central review and high-risk BCR (defined by a PSA doubling time  $\leq$  9 months and screening PSA: PSA values  $\geq$ 1 ng/mL if they had prior radical prostatectomy (with or without radiotherapy) as the primary treatment for prostate cancer or PSA values at least 2 ng/mL above the nadir if they had prior radiotherapy only). Prior hormonal therapy was not permitted except neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy to treat prostate cancer  $\leq$ 36 months in duration and  $\geq$ 9 months before randomization, or a single dose or a short course ( $\leq$ 6 months) of hormonal therapy given for rising PSA  $\geq$ 9 months before randomization.

Patients were stratified by screening PSA ( $\leq 10 \text{ ng/mL vs.} > 10 \text{ ng/mL}$ ), PSA doubling time ( $\leq 3 \text{ months}$  versus >3 months to  $\leq 9 \text{ months}$ ), and prior hormonal therapy (prior hormonal therapy vs. no prior hormonal therapy). For patients whose PSA values (by central laboratory) were undetectable (<0.2 ng/mL) at week 36, treatment was suspended at week 37 and then reinitiated when PSA values (by central laboratory) increased to  $\geq 2.0 \text{ ng/mL}$  for patients with prior prostatectomy or  $\geq 5.0 \text{ ng/mL}$  for patients without prior prostatectomy. For patients whose PSA values were detectable ( $\geq 2.0 \text{ ng/mL}$ ) at week 36, treatment continued without suspension until permanent treatment discontinuation criteria were met. For all patients, treatment was permanently discontinued upon radiographic disease progression confirmed by blinded independent central review (BICR), initiation of new treatment, unacceptable toxicity, or withdrawal.

Metastasis-free survival (MFS) as per Blinded Independent Central Review (BICR) in patients randomized to receive Xtandi plus leuprolide compared to patients randomized to receive placebo plus leuprolide was the primary endpoint. Metastasis-free survival was defined as the time from randomization to radiographic progression or death on study, whichever occurred first. Radiographic imaging for bone lesions (defined as the appearance of 1 or more metastatic lesions on bone scan) or soft tissue disease by CT or MRI (assessed by RECIST 1.1) was performed every 6 months until detection of metastasis. Imaging by PET was not permitted. Metastasis-Free Survival as per BICR in patients randomized to Xtandi as monotherapy compared to placebo plus leuprolide and Overall Survival were key secondary endpoints.

The patient demographics and baseline disease characteristics were generally balanced between the treatment arms (see Table 10).

	• •		
Baseline Characteristic	Xtandi plus leuprolide (N = 355)	Placebo plus leuprolide (N = 358)	Xtandi as monotherapy (N = 355)
Age category (years), n (%)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
< 65	81 (22.8%)	91 (25.4%)	91 (25.6%)
65 to < 75	201 (56.6%)	180 (50.3%)	174 (49.0%)
≥ 75	73 (20.6%)	87 (29.3%)	90 (25.4%)
Age (years)			
N	355	358	355
Mean (SD)	69.1 (6.49)	69.1 (7.30)	69.1 (7.65)
Median (minimum, maximum)	69.0 (51.0, 87.0)	70.0 (50.0, 92.0)	69.0 (49.0, 93.0)
Race, n (%)	<u> </u>	1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	4 (1.1%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Asian	26 (7.3%)	26 (7.3%)	26 (7.3%)
Black or African American	16 (4.5%)	16 (4.5%)	15 (4.2%)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
White	293 (82.5%)	301 (84.1%)	295 (83.1%)
Multiple	2 (0.6%)	4 (1.1%)	4 (1.1%)
Other	3 (0.8%)	5 (1.4%)	1 (0.3%)
Not Reported	10 (2.8%)	5 (1.4%)	14 (3.9%)
Ethnicity, n (%)			
Hispanic or Latino	17 (4.8%)	24 (6.7%)	18 (5.1%)
Not Hispanic or Latino	319 (89.9%)	322 (89.9%)	320 (90.1%)
Not Reported/Unknown	19 (5.4%)	12 (3.4%)	17 (4.8%)
Geographic Region, n (%)	1		
North America	144 (40.6%)	137 (38.3%)	133 (37.5%)
Europe	130 (36.6%)	128 (35.8%)	146 (41.1%)
Rest of World	81 (22.8%)	93 (26.0%)	76 (21.4%)
Weight (kg)			1
n	355	357	355

## Table 10 – EMBARK Key Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics (ITT Population)

Baseline Characteristic	Xtandi plus leuprolide	Placebo plus leuprolide	Xtandi as monotherapy (N = 355)	
	(N = 355)	(N = 358)		
Mean (SD)	87.5 (15.16)	87.2 (15.86)	87.5 (15.55)	
Median (minimum, maximum)	85.0 (55.6, 157.7)	85.7 (53.7, 148.2)	85.0 (50.0, 171.8)	
Missing	0	1	0	
Body mass index (kg/m²)			1	
N	353	354	354	
Mean (SD)	28.5 (4.22)	28.3 (4.37)	28.6 (4.70)	
Median (minimum, maximum)	28.1 (19.9, 47.1)	28.0 (18.5, 45.9)	27.9 (17.3, 53.2)	
Missing	2	4	1	
Baseline ECOG Performance Status,	n (%)			
0	328 (92.4%)	336 (93.9%)	321 (90.4%)	
1	26 (7.3%)	21 (5.9%)	34 (9.6%)	
2	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Missing	0	1 (0.3%)	0	
Serum PSA (ng/mL)	1			
N	355	356	354	
Mean (SD)	8.1 (17.56)	8.5 (11.76)	7.5 (6.54)	
Median (minimum, maximum)	5.0 (1.0, 308.3)	5.5 (1.1, 163.3)	5.3 (1.1, 37.0)	
Missing	0	2	1	
Screening PSA Doubling Time Categ	ory (DT), n (%)			
$\leq$ 3 months	69 (19.4%)	80 (22.3%)	76 (21.4%)	
> 3 -≤ 6 months	187 (52.7%)	142 (39.7%)	164 (46.2%)	
> 6 -≤ 9 months	98 (27.6%)	135 (37.7%)	114 (32.1%)	
> 9 months	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	
PSA DT, n (months)	1			
Ν	355	358	355	
Mean (SD)	4.9 (2.04)	5.2 (2.20)	5.1 (2.15)	
Median (minimum, maximum)	4.6 (0.9, 9.36)	5.0 (1.1, 10.8)	5.0 (1.1, 18.9)	
History of Cardiovascular Disease				
Yes	42 (11.8%)	42 (11.7%)	47 (13.2%)	

Baseline Characteristic	Xtandi plus leuprolide	Placebo plus leuprolide	Xtandi as monotherapy
	(N = 355)	(N = 358)	(N = 355)
No	313 (88.2%)	316 (88.3%)	308 (86.8%)
Prior Hormonal Therapy			
Yes	107 (30.1%)	113 (31.6%)	112 (31.5%)
No	248 (69.9%)	245 (68.4%)	243 (68.5%)
Prior Prostatectomy alone			
n (%)	90 (25.4%)	75 (20.9%)	99 (27.9%)
Prior Radiation Therapy alone			
n (%)	86 (24.2%)	104 (29.1%)	90 (25.4%)
Prior Prostatectomy and Radiation Therapy			
n (%)	179 (50.4%)	179 (50.0%)	166 (46.8%)

All patients who were randomized in the study (ITT population).

The analysis data cut-off date was 31 Jan 2023.

ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ITT: intent-to-treat, PSA: prostate-specific antigen.

#### Metastatic Castration-Sensitive Prostate Cancer (ARCHES)

The ARCHES study enrolled 1150 patients with mCSPC randomized 1:1 to receive treatment orally once daily with Xtandi 160 mg (N = 574) or placebo (N = 576). All patients in the trial received a GnRH analog or had a prior bilateral orchiectomy. Patients were stratified by volume of disease (low vs high) and prior docetaxel therapy for prostate cancer (no prior docetaxel, 1-5 cycles, or 6 prior cycles). Treatment with concurrent docetaxel was not allowed. Patients were required to have confirmation of metastatic prostate cancer by positive bone scan or metastatic lesions on CT or MRI scan. Patients continued treatment until radiographic disease progression, initiation of new treatment, unacceptable toxicity, or withdrawal.

Radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS) was the primary endpoint defined as the time from randomization to the first objective evidence of radiographic disease progression or death (any cause from time of randomization through 24 weeks after study drug discontinuation), whichever occurred first. Key secondary efficacy endpoints assessed in the study were time to PSA progression, time to start of new antineoplastic therapy, PSA undetectable rate (decline to <  $0.2 \mu g/L$ ), objective response rate (RECIST 1.1) based on independent review, time to deterioration of urinary symptoms, and overall survival.

The demographic and baseline disease characteristics were balanced between the two treatment arms (Table 11).

Baseline Characteristic	<b>Xtandi</b> (N = 574)	<b>Placebo</b> (N = 576)
Age category (years), n (%)		
< 65	148 (25.8)	152 (26.4)
65 to < 75	256 (44.6%)	255 (44.3%)
≥ 75	170 (29.6%)	169 (29.3%)
Age (years)		1
Mean (SD)	69.5 (8.0%)	69.5 (5.4%)
Median (minimum, maximum)	70.0 (46, 92)	70.0 (42, 92)
Race, n (%)		1
White	466 (81.2%)	460 (79.9%)
Black or African American	8 (1.4%)	8 (1.9%)
Asian	75 (13.1%)	80 (13.9%)
Other	2 (0.3%)	3 (0.5%)
Missing	23 (4.0%)	25 (4.3%)
Ethnicity, n (%)		
Hispanic or Latino	46 (8.0%)	37 (6.4%)
Not Hispanic or Latino	504 (87.8%)	514 (89.2%)
Missing	24 (4.2%)	25 (4.3%)
Weight (kg)		1
Ν	573	575
Mean (SD)	81.25 (16.17)	81.26 (16.22)
Median (minimum, maximum)	80.00 (42.7, 163.0)	80.00 (39.1, 157.5)
Body mass index (kg/m²)		1
Ν	567	570
Mean (SD)	27.20 (4.44)	27.21 (4.61)
Median (minimum, maximum)	26.65 (16.7, 45.2)	26.91 (16.4, 48.8)
ECOG performance status at study entry, i	n (%)	1
0	448 (78.0)	443 (76.9)
1	125 (21.8)	133 (23.1)

Table 11 – ARCHES Key Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics (ITT Population)

Baseline Characteristic	<b>Xtandi</b> (N = 574)	<b>Placebo</b> (N = 576)			
Baseline serum PSA <sup>a</sup> (ng/mL)					
Ν	572	574			
Mean (SD)	75.37 (356.36)	104.78 (834.48)			
Median (minimum, maximum)	5.36 (0.0, 4823.5)	5.07 (0.0, 19000.0)			
Total Gleason score at initial diagnosis, n (%)					
< 8	171 (29.8)	187 (32.5)			
≥8	386 (67.2)	373 (64.8)			
Volume of disease <sup>b</sup> , n (%)					
Low	220 (38.3)	203 (35.2)			
High	354 (61.7)	373 (64.8)			
Prior docetaxel therapy <sup>b</sup> , n (%)					
None	471 (82.1)	474 (82.3)			
1 to 5 cycles	14 (2.4)	11 (1.9)			
6 cycles	89 (15.5)	91 (15.8)			
Previous use of ADT, n (%)					
None	39 (6.8)	61 (10.6)			
$\leq$ 3 months	414 (72.1)	394 (68.4)			
> 3 months	121 (21.1) 120 (20.8				
Unknown <sup>c</sup>	0	1 (0.2)			

All patients who were randomized in the study (ITT population).

The analysis data cut-off date was 14 Oct 2018.

ADT: androgen deprivation therapy; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ICR: independent central review; ITT: intent-to-treat; PSA: prostate-specific antigen.

- a. PSA levels of 0 were observed, which could have been due to prior treatment with docetaxel and/or use of ADT within 3 months of study start. One patient receiving placebo plus ADT had a baseline PSA level of > 19000 ng/mL, which impacted the calculation of mean baseline PSA for this group.
- b. Volume of disease and prior docetaxel therapy were stratification factors at randomization. High volume of disease is defined as metastases involving the viscera or, in the absence of visceral lesions, there must be 4 or more bone lesions, at least 1 of which must be in a bony structure beyond the vertebral column and pelvic bone.
- c. The patient had ADT; however, the duration of ADT use was not known.

# Non-Metastatic Prostate Cancer that Progressed on Androgen Deprivation Therapy (PROSPER)

The PROSPER study enrolled 1401 patients with non-metastatic CRPC who continued on androgen deprivation therapy (ADT; defined as GnRH analogue or prior bilateral orchiectomy). Patients were randomized 2:1 to receive either Xtandi at a dose of 160 mg once daily (N = 933) or placebo (N = 468).

Patients discontinued treatment for radiographic disease progression confirmed by blinded independent central review (BICR), unacceptable toxicity, initiation of new treatment, or withdrawal. PSA results were blinded and were not used for treatment discontinuation.

Patients were required to have a PSA doubling time  $\leq$  10 months (considered to be at high risk of developing metastatic disease), PSA  $\geq$  2 ng/mL, and confirmation of non-metastatic disease by (BICR) using conventional scans.

Metastasis-free survival (MFS) was the primary endpoint defined as the time from randomization to loco-regional and/or distant radiographic progression or death within 112 days of treatment discontinuation without evidence of radiographic progression, whichever occurred first. Radiographic progression for bone disease was defined as the appearance of 1 or more metastatic lesions on the bone assessed by whole-body radionuclide bone scan, while assessment of soft tissue disease was performed by CT or MRI performed every 16 weeks (earlier if progression was clinically suspected). Radiographic progression for soft tissue disease was defined by RECIST 1.1.

Key secondary endpoints assessed in the study were time to PSA progression time to first use of new antineoplastic therapy and overall survival. PSA progression was defined according to PCWG2 guidelines; time to PSA progression was defined as the time from randomization to the date of first PSA value demonstrating progression, which was subsequently confirmed.

The demographic and baseline characteristics were balanced between the two treatment arms (Table 12). The median age at randomization was 74 years in the Xtandi arm and 73 years in the placebo arm.

Fifty-four percent (54%) of patients received prior treatment for prostate cancer with either surgery or radiation. Sixty-three percent (63%) of patients received prior treatment with an anti-androgen; 56% of patients received bicalutamide and 11% of patients received flutamide.

Baseline Characteristic	Xtandi (N = 933)	Placebo (N = 468)
Age (years)		·
Mean (SD)	73.8 (7.83)	72.9 (7.63)
Min, Max	50, 95	53, 92
Race		1
White	671 (71.9%)	320 (68.4%)
Other, multiple, or unknown	99 (10.6%)	50 (10.7%)
Asian	142 (15.2%)	88 (18.8%)
Black	21 (2.3%)	10 (2.1%)
Time from initial diagnosis to randomization, months		
Mean (SD)	99.1 (57.27)	94.1 (56.73)
Median (minimum, maximum)	90.4 (2.2, 381.8)	86.8 (2.2, 275.7)
Total Gleason Score at initial diagnosis, n (%)		

Table 12 – PROSPER Key Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics (ITT Population)

Baseline Characteristic	Xtandi (N = 933)	Placebo (N = 468)
Low (2 to 4)	21 (2.3%)	12 (2.6%)
Medium (5 to 7)	491 (52.6%)	230 (49.1%)
High (8 to 10)	381 (40.8%)	207 (44.2%)
Unknown or missing	40 (4.3%)	19 (4.1%)
Baseline use of BTA		
No	828 (88.7%)	420 (89.7%)
Yes	105 (11.3%)	48 (10.3%)
1	103 (11.0%)	47 (10.0%)
2	2 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)
PSA Doubling Time Category n (%)		1
< 6 months	715 (76.6%)	361 (77.1%)
≥ 6 months	217 (23.3%)	107 (22.9%)
Missing	1 (0.1%)	0
Baseline serum PSA (ng/mL)		1
Ν	933	468
Mean (SD)	22.2 (46.14)	22.1 (41.08)
Median	11.1	10.2
Min, max	0.8, 1071.1	0.2, 467.5
Baseline ECOG performance status		1
0	747 (80.1%)	382 (81.6%)
1	185 (19.8%)	85 (18.2%)
>1	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Missing	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)

ITT: Intent to Treat; BTA: Bone targeting agents; PSA: Prostate Specific Antigen. Patients with soft tissue pelvic disease were eligible if lesions do not qualify as target lesions (e.g., lymph nodes below aortic bifurcation are permissible if the short axis of the largest lymph node is < 15 mm).

# Chemotherapy-naïve mCRPC that Progressed on Androgen Deprivation Therapy (PREVAIL)

In the PREVAIL study, a total of 1717 patients with asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who had not received prior chemotherapy were randomized 1:1 to receive either Xtandi orally at a dose of 160 mg once daily (N = 872) or placebo orally once daily (N = 845). Patients were allowed, but not required, to continue or initiate corticosteroids (maximum daily dose allowed was 10 mg prednisone or equivalent). Patients with visceral disease, patients with a

history of mild to moderate heart failure (NYHA Class 1 or 2), and patients taking medications associated with lowering the seizure threshold were allowed. Patients with a previous history of seizure or a condition that might predispose to seizure and patients with moderate or severe pain from prostate cancer were excluded. Study treatment continued until disease progression (evidence of radiographic progression, a skeletal-related event, or clinical progression) and the initiation of either a cytotoxic chemotherapy or an investigational agent, or until unacceptable toxicity or withdrawal.

Changes in PSA serum concentration independently do not always predict clinical benefit. PSA rise without evidence of confirmed radiographic progression or a skeletal-related event was strongly discouraged as a criterion to start a new systemic antineoplastic therapy during the first 12 weeks of therapy and was discouraged as a criterion to start a new systemic antineoplastic therapy throughout the study.

Co-primary efficacy endpoints were overall survival and radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS). In addition to the co-primary endpoints, benefit was also assessed using secondary endpoints as follows: time to initiation of cytotoxic chemotherapy, best overall soft tissue response, time to first skeletal-related event, PSA response ( $\geq$  50% decrease from baseline), and time to PSA progression.

Radiographic progression was assessed with the use of sequential imaging studies as defined by Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group 2 (PCWG2) criteria (for bone lesions) and/or Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST v 1.1) criteria (for soft tissue lesions). Analysis of rPFS utilized centrally-reviewed radiographic assessment of progression.

Patient demographics and baseline disease characteristics were balanced between the treatment arms (see Table 13). Fifty-four percent of patients had radiographic evidence of disease progression and 43% had PSA-only progression. Approximately 45% of patients had measurable soft tissue disease at study entry, and 12% of patients had visceral (lung and/or liver) metastases.

Baseline Characteristic	Xtandi (N = 872)	Placebo (N = 845)	
Age (years)			
Mean (SD)	71.3 (8.5%)	71.2 (8.42%)	
Min, Max	43.0, 93.0	42.0, 93.0	
Race	I		
White	669 (76.7%)	655 (77.5%)	
Other, multiple, or unknown	95 (10.9%)	94 (11.1%)	
Asian	85 (9.7%)	82 (9.7%)	
Black	21 (2.4%)	13 (1.5%)	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander1 (0.1%)1 (0.1%)			
Time from initial diagnosis or first treatment of pro	ostate cancer to randomization	on .	
Ν	872	844	

Table 13 – PREVAIL Key Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics

Baseline Characteristic	Xtandi (N = 872)	Placebo (N = 845)	
Median (months)	62.7	64.6	
Baseline ECOG performance status (n [%])			
0	584 (67.0%)	585 (69.2%)	
1	288 (33.0%)	260 (30.8%)	
Distribution of disease at screening <sup>a</sup>			
Bone	741 (85.0%)	690 (81.7%)	
Lymph node	437 (50.1%)	434 (51.4%)	
Visceral disease (lung or liver)	98 (11.2%)	106 (12.5%)	
Other soft tissue	113 (13.0%)	105 (12.4%)	
Baseline mean pain score <sup>b</sup>			
Ν	859	840	
0 to 1	569 (66.2%)	567 (67.5%)	
2 to 3	275 (32.0%)	262 (31.2%)	
>3	15 (1.7%)	11 (1.3%)	
Number of bone metastases at screening			
0	131 (15.0%)	155 (18.3%)	
1	97 (11.1%)	85 (10.1%)	
2 to 4	213 (24.4%)	186 (22.0%)	
5 to 9	146 (16.7%)	147 (17.4%)	
10 to 20	140 (16.1%)	122 (14.4%)	
> 20	145 (16.6%)	150 (17.8%)	
Baseline serum PSA (ng/mL)	1	1	
Ν	872	844	
Mean (SD)	140.7 (284.22)	137.9 (298.61)	
Min, max	0.1, 3182.0	0.3, 3637.0	
Baseline use of corticosteroids (> 7 days) (n [%]) <sup>c</sup>	35 (4.0%)	36 (4.3%)	

a. Patients can be summarized for more than 1 category but are counted only once for each category.

b. Protocol defined by a score of < 4 on question 3 on the Brief Pain Inventory Short Form (BPI) [worst prostate cancerrelated pain over past 24 hours] assessed both at screening and again before randomization at baseline visit.

c. Includes all oral steroid use on the date of first dose of study drug. Excludes steroids taken for indications not associated with prostate cancer and continuous steroids taken for less than 7 days. ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

# mCRPC Patients with Prior Docetaxel Treatment (AFFIRM)

In the AFFIRM study, a total of 1199 patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who had previously received docetaxel were randomized 2:1 to receive either Xtandi orally at a dose of 160 mg once daily (N = 800) or placebo once daily (N = 399). Patients were allowed, but not required, to continue or initiate corticosteroids (47.8% vs. 45.6% were administered corticosteroids in Xtandi and placebo arms, respectively). In addition, 51.0% vs. 49.6% of patients in the Xtandi and placebo arms, respectively, were using bisphosphonates at baseline.

Patients were excluded if having a history of seizure, including any febrile seizure, loss of consciousness, or transient ischemic attack within 12 months of enrollment (Day 1 visit), or any condition that may predispose to seizure (e.g. prior stroke, brain arteriovenous malformation, head trauma with loss of consciousness requiring hospitalization). Patients were also excluded if they had clinically significant cardiovascular disease, significant renal impairment, hepatic impairment, or histologically or cytologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate without neuroendocrine differentiation or small cell features were excluded from the study.

Patients randomized to either arm were to continue treatment until either:

- 1. Disease progression (defined as radiographic progression or the occurrence of a skeletal-related event) and initiation of a new systemic antineoplastic treatment
- 2. Death
- 3. Unacceptable toxicity
- 4. Withdrawal

Increases in PSA, especially during the first 12 weeks of therapy, were not considered disease progression.

The primary efficacy endpoint for the AFFIRM study was overall survival defined as time from randomization to death from any cause.

The following key secondary efficacy endpoints were evaluated:

- Radiographic progression-free survival, defined as the time to the earliest objective evidence of
  radiographic progression or death due to any cause. Radiographic disease progression is defined
  by RECIST v 1.1 for soft tissue disease, or the appearance of two or more new lesions on bone
  scan, as per PCWG2 criteria, with a confirmatory scan 6 or more weeks only after the first
  assessment (13 weeks after initial dose).
- Time to PSA progression, defined as the time from randomization to PSA progression. PSA progression was assessed for each patient in the study using the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group 2 (PCWG2) criteria. PSA progression could only be declared on or after the Week 13 assessment and required a confirmation that was consecutive and conducted at least 3 weeks later.
- Time to first skeletal-related event, where skeletal-related event was defined as radiation therapy or surgery to bone, pathologic bone fracture, spinal cord compression, or change of antineoplastic therapy to treat bone pain.

Additional efficacy endpoints included PSA response rate ( $\geq$  50% or  $\geq$  90% reduction from baseline), and the response rate for quality of life as measured by Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy – Prostate

[FACT-P]. Patients were defined as having a positive quality of life response if they had a 10-point improvement in their global FACT-P score, compared with baseline, on 2 consecutive measurements obtained at least 3 weeks apart.

The patient demographics and baseline disease characteristics were balanced between the treatment arms (see Table 14).

Table 14 – Summary of Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics for the Phase 3 AFFIRM
Study

	Xtandi	Placebo	
	(160 mg/day)	N = 399	
	N = 800		
Age (years)		I	
Mean (SD)	68.8 (7.96)	68.6 (8.39)	
Min, Max	41.0, 92.0	49.0, 89.0	
Race		1	
Asian	5 (0.6%)	8 (2.0%)	
Black	27 (3.4%)	20 (5.0%)	
White	745 (93.1%)	366 (91.7%)	
Other	23 (2.9%)	5 (1.3%)	
Baseline ECOG Performance Status		1	
0	298 (37.3%)	156 (39.1%)	
1	432 (54.0%)	211 (52.9%)	
2	70 (8.8%)	32 (8.0%)	
Baseline PSA (ng/mL)		1	
Mean (SD)	415.6 (930.76)	389.4 (1105.72)	
Median	107.7	128.3	
Min, Max	0.2, 11794.1	0.0, 19000.0	
Average Pain Score as Assessed by Brief Pa	in Inventory <sup>a</sup>	1	
< 4	574 (71.8%)	284 (71.2%)	
≥ 4	226 (28.3%)	115 (28.8%)	
Type of Disease Progression at Study Entry	I	1	
PSA progression only	326 (40.8%)	164 (41.2%)	
Radiographic progression <sup>b</sup>	470 (58.8%)	234 (58.8%)	
Missing	4	1	

	Xtandi (160 mg/day) N = 800	Placebo N = 399
Distribution of Disease at Screening		
Bone	730 (92.2%)	364 (91.5%)
Lymph node	442 (55.8%)	219 (55.0%)
Visceral liver	92 (11.6%)	34 (8.5%)
Visceral lung	122 (15.4%)	59 (14.8%)
Other soft tissue	147 (18.6%)	70 (17.6%)
Missing	8	1

Mean of patient's reported worst pain over the previous 24 hours calculated for seven days prior to randomization.
 Randomization was stratified by baseline ECOG performance status score (0–1 vs. 2) and mean Brief Pain Inventory – Short Form Question #3 score averaged over the 7 days prior to randomization.

b. Bone and or soft tissue.

# 14.2 Study Results

# Non-metastatic Castration-sensitive Prostate Cancer with High-risk Biochemical Recurrence (EMBARK)

At a median follow up time of 61 months across the three treatment arms, Xtandi plus leuprolide demonstrated a statistically significant 58% reduction in the risk of developing an MFS event as compared to placebo plus leuprolide [HR = 0.42 (95% CI: 0.30, 0.61), p < 0.0001]. Xtandi as monotherapy also demonstrated a statistically significant 37% reduction in the risk of developing an MFS event as compared to placebo plus leuprolide [HR = 0.63 (95% CI: 0.46, 0.87), p = 0.0049]. The results are summarized in Table 15 and Figure 1. Pre-specified MFS subgroup analyses are presented in Figures 2-3.

	Xtandi plus leuprolide (N = 355)	Placebo plus leuprolide (N = 358)	Xtandi as Monotherapy (N = 355)
Metastasis-free survival <sup>a</sup>			
Number of Events (%) <sup>b</sup>	45 (12.7)	92 (25.7)	63 (17.7)
Bone progression	12 (3.4%)	24 (6.7%)	24 (6.8%)
Soft tissue progression	23 (6.5%)	54 (15.1%)	29 (8.2%)
Concurrent Bone and soft tissue progression	2 (0.6%)	6 (1.7%)	1 (0.3%)
Death without documented radiographic progression	8 (2.3%)	8 (2.2%)	9 (2.5%)
Median, months (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	NR (NR, NR)	NR (85.1, NR)	NR (NR, NR)

	Xtandi plus leuprolide (N = 355)	Placebo plus leuprolide (N = 358)	Xtandi as Monotherapy (N = 355)
Hazard ratio relative to Placebo plus leuprolide (95% CI) <sup>d</sup>	0.42 (0.30, 0.61)		0.63 (0.46, 0.87)
P-value for comparison to Placebo plus leuprolide <sup>e</sup>	p < 0.0001		p = 0.0049

NR = Not reached.

- a. MFS assessed by Blinded independent central review.
- b. Based on the earliest contributing event (radiographic progression or death). Based on pre-specified analysis, Data Cut-off 31 Jan, 2023.
- c. Based on Kaplan-Meier estimates.
- d. Hazard Ratio is based on a Cox regression model stratified by screening PSA, PSA doubling time, and prior hormonal therapy.
- e. Two-sided P-value is based on a stratified log-rank test by screening PSA, PSA doubling time, and prior hormonal therapy.

Overall survival was not mature at the time of MFS analysis (9.3% deaths in the XTANDI plus leuprolide arm vs. 15.4% deaths in the placebo plus leuprolide arm).

The most commonly used first subsequent new anti-neoplastic therapies was endocrine therapy (89.7% in Xtandi plus leuprolide arm, 86.4% in the placebo plus leuprolide arm and 86.9% in the Xtandi monotherapy arm). The second most commonly used first subsequent anti-neoplastic therapy was chemotherapy (6.9% in Xtandi plus leuprolide arm, 12.1% in the placebo plus leuprolide arm and 10.7% in the Xtandi monotherapy arm.

# Figure 1: Kaplan-Meier curves of MFS in the Xtandi plus leuprolide vs. Placebo plus leuprolide vs. Xtandi as monotherapy treatment arms of the EMBARK study (intent-to-treat analysis)




Figure 2: Forest Plot of MFS by Subgroup in the Xtandi plus leuprolide vs. Placebo plus leuprolide Treatment Arms of the EMBARK study (Intent-to-Treat Analysis)

Subgroup	Number of Patients ENZA+LA / PBO+LA	Number of Events ENZA+LA / PBO+LA	Hazard Ratio for MFS	(95% CI)
All Patients	355 / 358	45 / 92	l•-1 ¦	0.424 (0.296,0.607)
PSA Doubling Time (<=3 Months)	69 / 80	14 / 30	<b>⊢</b> •−−1	0.461 (0.242,0.875)
PSA Doubling Time (>3 to <=6 Months)	187 / 142	18 / 35	<b>⊢</b> •-1	0.331 (0.187,0.585)
PSA Doubling Time (>6 to <=9 Months)	98 / 135	13 / 27		0.628 (0.324,1.218)
Baseline Use of a Bone Targeting Agent (No)	355 / 358	45 / 92	<b>⊢</b> -	0.427 (0.299,0.610)
Baseline Age Category (<65 Years)	81 / 91	11 / 28		0.402 (0.200,0.809)
Baseline Age Category (>=65 Years)	274 / 267	34 / 64	<b>⊢</b> •-	0.441 (0.291,0.668)
Race (White)	293 / 301	37 / 75	<b>⊢</b> •-	0.434 (0.293,0.644)
Body MassIndex Calculated from Height and Weight (<=Median)	173 / 179	21/39	⊢•—I ¦	0.523 (0.308,0.890)
Body MassIndex Calculated from Height and Weight (>Median)	180 / 175	24 / 53	<b>⊢</b> •-	0.347 (0.214,0.563)
ECOG Performance Status at Baseline (0)	328 / 336	39 / 87	<b>⊢</b> •-	0.394 (0.270,0.575)
Geographic Region (North America)	144 / 137	22 / 32	⊢•–-¦I	0.618 (0.358,1.064)
Geographic Region (Europe)	130 / 128	14 / 33	<b>⊢</b> •	0.351 (0.188,0.657)
Total Gleason Score at Baseline (<=7) at Diagnosis	234 / 244	25 / 61	+•-1	0.385 (0.242,0.614)
Total Gleason Score at Baseline (>=8) at Diagnosis	120 / 113	20 / 30	<b>⊢•</b> −1	0.501 (0.284,0.883)
Prior Hormonal Therapy (Yes)	107 / 113	19 / 34		0.482 (0.275,0.847)
Prior Hormonal Therapy (No)	248 / 245	26 / 58	⊢⊷⊣	0.393 (0.247,0.624)
Prior Radiation Therapy (Yes)	265 / 283	37 / 76	<b>⊢</b> •-	0.447 (0.301,0.662)
Prior Prostatectomy (Yes)	269 / 254	26 / 61	++-	0.363 (0.230,0.575)
Prior Prostatectomy (No)	86 / 104	19 / 31	⊢•	0.565 (0.319,1.001)
History of Cardiovascular Disease (No)	313 / 316	38 / 81	┝╾┥	0.409 (0.278,0.601)
PSA Value at Baseline (<=10 ng/mL)	278 / 273	31 / 64	┝╾┥	0.419 (0.272,0.643)
PSA Value at Baseline (>10 ng/mL)	77 / 83	14 / 28	⊢∙──┤	0.448 (0.235,0.851)
			0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0	
			Favors ENZA+LA Favors PBO+LA	

# Figure 3: Forest Plot of MFS by Subgroup in the Xtandi as Monotherapy vs. Placebo plus leuprolide Treatment Arms of the EMBARK study (Intent-to-Treat Analysis)

Subgroup	Number of Patients	Number of Events	Hazard Ratio for MFS	(95% CI)
	ENZA / PBO+LA	ENZA / PBO+LA		
All Patients	355 / 358	63 / 92	⊢•	0.631 (0.456,0.871)
PSA Doubling Time (<=3 Months)	76 / 80	24 / 30	<b>⊢</b> • <u>+</u> −1	0.792 (0.460,1.365)
PSA Doubling Time (>3 to <=6 Months)	164 / 142	24 / 35	<b>⊢</b> •−−1	0.523 (0.311,0.880)
PSA Doubling Time (>6 to <=9 Months)	114 / 135	14 / 27	<b>⊢</b> ∙ <u>+</u> 1	0.599 (0.314,1.143)
Baseline Use of a Bone Targeting Agent (No)	355 / 358	63 / 92	<b>⊢</b> •−1	0.633 (0.459,0.872)
Baseline Age Category (<65 Years)	91 / 91	13 / 28	┝━─┤	0.424 (0.220,0.819)
Baseline Age Category (>=65 Years)	264 / 267	50 / 64	⊨•¦l	0.730 (0.504,1.057)
Race (White)	295 / 301	55 / 75	⊢•I	0.673 (0.475,0.953)
Body MassIndex Calculated from Height and Weight (<=Median)	185 / 179	31 / 39	┝━━┼┤	0.738 (0.460,1.183)
Body MassIndex Calculated from Height and Weight (>Median)	169 / 175	32 / 53	<b>⊢</b> •	0.549 (0.354,0.851)
ECOG Performance Status at Baseline (0)	321 / 336	59 / 87	<b>⊢</b> ∙−1¦	0.638 (0.459,0.889)
Geographic Region (North America)	133 / 137	20 / 32	⊢•H	0.607 (0.347,1.062)
Geographic Region (Europe)	146 / 128	30 / 33	<b>⊢</b> • <u>+</u>	0.678 (0.413,1.112)
Geographic Region (Rest of the World)	76 / 93	13 / 27	<b>⊢</b> •−− <u>+</u>	0.567 (0.293,1.100)
Total Gleason Score at Baseline (<=7) at Diagnosis	239 / 244	37 / 61		0.606 (0.403,0.912)
Total Gleason Score at Baseline (>=8) at Diagnosis	111 / 113	25 / 30	<b>⊢</b> ∙	0.679 (0.399,1.155)
Prior Hormonal Therapy (Yes)	112 / 113	23 / 34	⊢•—-İ	0.595 (0.349,1.014)
Prior Hormonal Therapy (No)	243 / 245	40 / 58	┝╼╾┥	0.658 (0.440,0.985)
Prior Radiation Therapy (Yes)	256 / 283	43 / 76	┝╾┥	0.566 (0.389,0.823)
Prior Radiation Therapy (No)	99 / 75	20 / 16	<b>⊢</b>	0.928 (0.481,1.793)
Prior Prostatectomy (Yes)	265 / 254	44 / 61	<b>⊢</b> •	0.632 (0.429,0.933)
Prior Prostatectomy (No)	90 / 104	19/31	<b>⊢</b> ∙	0.648 (0.366,1.148)
History of Cardiovascular Disease (No)	308 / 316	55 / 81	<b>⊢</b> •−1	0.638 (0.453,0.899)
PSA Value at Baseline (<=10 ng/mL)	272 / 273	51 / 64	⊢ <b>∙</b> ∔I	0.736 (0.509,1.063)
PSA Value at Baseline (>10 ng/mL)	82 / 83	12 / 28	⊢•1	0.377 (0.191,0.746)
			0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0	
			Favors ENZA Favors PBO+LA	

# Metastatic Castration-Sensitive Prostate Cancer (ARCHES)

Xtandi demonstrated a statistically significant 61% reduction in the risk of an rPFS event compared to placebo [HR = 0.39 (95% CI: 0.30, 0.50), p < 0.0001]. The median time to an rPFS event was not reached in the Xtandi plus ADT arm and was 19.0 months (95% CI: 16.6, 22.2) in the placebo plus ADT arm (Table 16, Figure 6).

The rPFS results were further supported by clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvements in overall survival in addition to 4 other key secondary endpoints. At the pre-specified final analysis for overall survival, conducted when 356 deaths were observed, a statistically significant 34% reduction in the risk of death was demonstrated in the group randomized to receive Xtandi compared with the group randomized to receive placebo [HR = 0.66, (95% CI: 0.53, 0.81), p < 0.0001]. The median time for overall survival was not reached in either treatment group (see Figure 6). Assessments of Patient Reported Outcomes data showed that patients enrolled in ARCHES had a high baseline level of Quality of Life, with the Xtandi plus ADT arm showing no statistically significant difference versus the placebo plus ADT arm over time.

Xtandi (N = 574)	Placebo (N = 576)	
91 (15.9)	201 (34.9)	
NR (NR, NR)	19.0 (16.6, 22.2	
0.39 (0.	30, 0.50)	
p < 0.0001		
154 (26.8)	202 (35.1)	
NR (NR, NR)	NR (49.7, NR)	
0.66 (0.53, 0.81)		
p < 0.0001		
45 (7.8)	189 (32.8)	
NR (NR, NR)	NR (16.6, NR)	
0.19 (0.13, 0.26)		
p < 0.0001		
	91 (15.9) NR (NR, NR) 0.39 (0. p < 0 154 (26.8) NR (NR, NR) 0.66 (0. p < 0 45 (7.8) NR (NR, NR)	

# Table 16 – Summary of efficacy results in the ARCHES study (intent-to-treat analysis)


	Xtandi (N = 574)	Placebo (N = 576)	
Number of Events (%)	46 (8.0)	133 (23.1)	
Median, months (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	30.2 (NR, NR) <sup>f</sup>	NR (21.1, NR)	
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	0.28 (0.2	20, 0.40)	
P-value <sup>c</sup>	p < 0.0001		
PSA Undetectable Rates <sup>a</sup>			
Patients with PSA detectable at baseline	511	506	
Patients with PSA undetectable at baseline	63	70	
Undetectable PSA during treatment period	348/511 (68.1)	89/506 (17.6)	
95% CI for rate	(63.9, 72.1)	(14.4, 21.2)	
Difference in rate (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	50.5% (4	5.3, 55.7)	
P-value	p < 0.0001		
Objective Response Rate <sup>a</sup>			
Patients with PSA detectable at baseline	177	182	
Number of Events (%)	147 (83.1)	116 (63.7)	
95% CI for rate	(76.7, 88.3)	(56.3, 70.7)	
Difference in rate (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	19.3% (10.4, 28.2)		
P-value	p < 0.0001		
Time to deterioration in urinary symptoms <sup><i>a,g</i></sup>			
Events, n (%)	184 (32.06)	201 (34.90)	
Kaplan-Meier median (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> (months)	NR (19.35, NR)	16.8 (14.06, NR)	
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	0.88 (0.7	72, 1.08)	
P-value <sup>c</sup>	p = 0.	2162	
Other Secondary Efficacy Endpoints			
Time to first SSE (Symptomatic Skeletal Event) <sup><i>a,h</i></sup>			
Patients with SSE events, n (%)	31 (5.40)	56 (9.72)	
Median, months (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	NR (NR, NR)	NR (NR, NR)	
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	0.52 (0.3	33, 0.80)	
P-value (nominal) <sup>c</sup>	p = 0.0026		
Time to castration resistance <sup><i>a,i</i></sup>			
Events, n (%)	90 (15.68)	257 (44.62)	

	Xtandi (N = 574)	Placebo (N = 576)	
Kaplan-Meier median (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> (months)	NR (NR, NR)	13.9 (11.40, 17.18)	
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	0.28 (0.	22, 0.36)	
P-value (nominal) <sup>c</sup>	p < 0.0001		
Time to deterioration of quality of life <sup><i>a,j</i></sup>			
Events, n (%)	280 (48.78)	274 (47.57)	
Kaplan-Meier median (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> (months)	11.3 (11.04, 13.83)	11.1 (8.48, 13.83)	
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	0.96 (0.81, 1.14)		
P-value (nominal) <sup>c</sup>	p = 0.6548		
Time to pain progression <sup><i>a,k</i></sup>			
Events, n (%)	324 (56.45)	329 (57.12)	
Kaplan-Meier median (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> (months)	8.3 (8.25, 10.91)	8.3 (5.65, 8.38)	
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	0.92 (0.78, 1.07)		
P-value (nominal) <sup>c</sup>	0.2715		

NR = Not reached.

- a. Based upon a pre-specified analysis with data cut-off date of 14 October 2018
- b. Calculated using Brookmeyer and Crowley method.
- c. Stratified by volume of disease (low vs high) and prior docetaxel use (yes or no).
- d. Based upon a pre-specified final analysis with data cut-off date of 28 May 2021.
- e. PSA progression was defined as a  $\geq$  25% increase and an absolute increase of  $\geq$  2 µg/L above nadir.
- f. While an estimate of the median time was provided for the Xtandi plus ADT arm (30.2 months), this estimate was not reliable as it resulted from an event observed in the only remaining patient at risk at approximately 30 months, leading to a vertical drop at the end of the Kaplan-Meier curve.
- g. A deterioration in urinary symptoms was defined as an increase in the QLQ-PR25 modified urinary symptoms score by ≥ 50% of the standard deviation observed in the QLQ-PR25 modified urinary symptoms score at baseline. In patients with a deterioration in urinary symptoms, the time to deterioration in urinary symptoms was defined as the time interval between randomization and the first deterioration in urinary symptoms. In patients without a deterioration in urinary symptoms the time to deterioration in urinary symptoms was censored on the date that the last urinary symptoms QLQ-PR25 score was calculable.
- An SSE was defined as radiation or surgery to bone, clinically apparent pathological bone fracture or spinal cord compression whichever occurred first. Time to the first SSE was the time from randomization to the occurrence of the first SSE. In patients with no SSE, time to SSE was censored on the last visit date or the date of randomization, whichever occurred last.
- i. A castration resistance event was defined as an occurrence of radiographic disease progression by ICR, PSA progression or an SSE with castration levels of testosterone (< 50 ng/mL), whichever occurred first. In patients with a castration resistance event, the time to castration resistance was the time from randomization to the first castration resistance event. In patients with no documented castration resistance event, the time to castration resistance was censored on the latest date from the following: the last radiologic assessment, the last PSA sample taken prior to the start of any new prostate cancer therapy and prior to 2 or more consecutive missed PSA assessments or the last visit date performed.
- j. Deterioration of QoL was defined as a decrease from baseline of a least 10 points in the FACT-P total score. In patients with a deterioration in QoL, the time to deterioration in QoL was the time interval from the date of randomization to the first date a decline from baseline of 10 points or more in the FACT-P total score was recorded. In patients without FACT-P progression, the time to deterioration of QoL was censored on the date that the last FACT-P total score was calculable.
- k. Pain progression was defined as an increase of ≥ 30% from baseline in the average BPI-SF item scores. In patients with pain progression, time to pain progression was defined as the time from randomization to the first pain progression event. In

patients with no pain progression event, time to pain progression was censored on the last visit date where BPI-SF data were collected.





#### Figure 7: Forest Plot of rPFS by Prespecified Subgroup in ARCHES (Intent-to-Treat Analysis)

	Enzalutami	de/Placebo		
Subgroup	Ν	Median(mo)		Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
All Subgroups	574/576	NR / 19.0		0.39 (0.30, 0.50)
Age <65 years	148/152	NR/141		0.29 (0.17, 0.47)
Age >= 65 years	426 / 424	NR/19.0		0.44 (0.33, 0.58)
Geographic region-Europe	341/344	NR/19.4		0.42 (0.31, 0.58)
Geographic region-North America	86 / 77	NR / 22.2		0.30 (0.16, 0.57)
Geographic region-Rest of the World	147/155	NR/16.7		0.40 (0.24, 0.66)
ECOG status 0 at Baseline	448 / 443	NR/19.4		0.38 (0.29, 0.51)
ECOG status 1 at Baseline	125/133	NR / 13.8		0.43 (0.27, 0.70)
Gleason score at Initial Diagnosis <8	1237 133	NR / NR		0.42 (0.25, 0.70)
Gleason score at Initial Diagnosis >=8		NR / 16.6		
Disease localization at Baseline-Bone only	386 / 373	NR / 19.0		0.36 (0.27, 0.48)
Disease localization at Baseline-Soft tissue only	268/245			0.33 (0.22, 0.49)
Disease localization at Baseline-Sont tissue only	51 / 45	NR / NR		0.42 (0.15, 1.20)
Disease localization at Baseline-Bone and soft tissue Baseline PSA value at or below overall median	217/241	NR / 13.8		0.42 (0.30, 0.60)
	293 / 305	NR / NR		0.38(0.26, 0.54)
Baseline PSA value above overall median	279 / 269	NR/16.7		0.41 (0.29, 0.58)
Low Volume of disease	220 / 203	NR/22.1		0.25 (0.14, 0.46)
High Volume of disease	354 / 373	NR / 13.8		0.43 (0.33, 0.57)
No Prior Docetaxel Therapy	471 / 474	NR/19.0		0.37(0.28, 0.49)
Prior Docetaxel Therapy	103 / 102	NR/14.0		0.52 (0.30, 0.89)
Previous use of ADT or Orchiectomy	535 / 515	NR/19.4		0.41(0.32, 0.53)
No Previous Use of ADT or Orchiectomy	39 / 61	NR / 19.0		0.19 (0.06, 0.62)
			0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0	
			Favor Enzalutamide Favor Placebo	




Figure 8: Kaplan-Meier Curves of Final Overall Survival in the ARCHES Study (Intent-to-Treat Analysis)

# Non-Metastatic Prostate Cancer that Progressed on Androgen Deprivation Therapy (PROSPER)

Xtandi demonstrated a statistically significant 71% reduction in relative risk of radiographic progression or death as compared to placebo [HR = 0.29 (95% CI: 0.24, 0.35), p < 0.0001]. Median MFS was 36.6 months (95% CI: 33.1, NR) in the Xtandi arm versus 14.7 months (95% CI: 14.2, 15.0) in the placebo arm (Table 17, Figure 9). Consistent MFS results were observed across all pre-specified patient subgroups (Figure 10).

In addition to the primary efficacy endpoint, statistically significant improvements were shown for secondary endpoints overall survival, time to PSA progression, and time to first use of new antineoplastic therapy (Table 17).

At a prespecified interim analysis for overall survival, conducted when 466 deaths were observed, a statistically significant improvement in overall survival was demonstrated in patients randomized to receive Xtandi compared with patients randomized to receive placebo with a 26.6% reduction in risk of death [hazard ratio (HR) = 0.734, (95% CI: 0.608, 0.885), p = 0.0011] (Figure 11).

The median follow-up time was 48.6 months in the Xtandi group and 47.2 months in the placebo group.

	Xtandi (N = 933)	Placebo (N = 468)	
Primary Endpoint			
Metastasis-free survival			
Number of Events (%)	219 (23.5)	228 (48.7)	
Median, months (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	36.6 (33.1, NR)	14.7 (14.2, 15.0)	

	Xtandi	Placebo		
	(N = 933)	(N = 468)		
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	0.29 (0.2	0.29 (0.24, 0.35)		
P-value <sup>c</sup>	p < 0.0001			
Key Secondary Efficacy Endpoints				
Overall Survival <sup>d</sup>				
Number of Events (%)	288 (30.9)	178 (38.0)		
Median, months (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	67.0 (64.0, NR)	56.3 (54.4, 63.0)		
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	0.734 (0.6	0.734 (0.608, 0.885)		
P-value <sup>c</sup>	P = 0	P = 0.0011		
Time to PSA progression				
Number of Events (%)	208 (22.3)	324 (69.2)		
Median, months (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	37.2 (33.1, NR)	3.9 (3.8, 4.0)		
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	0.07 (0.0	0.07 (0.05, 0.08)		
P-value <sup>c</sup>	p < 0	p < 0.0001		
Time to first use of new antineoplastic the	erapy			
Number of Events (%)	142 (15.2)	226 (48.3)		
Median, months (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	39.6 (37.7, NR)	17.7 (16.2, 19.7)		
Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	0.21 (0.2	0.21 (0.17, 0.26)		
P-value <sup>c</sup>	p < 0	p < 0.0001		


NR = Not reached.

a. Based on Kaplan-Meier estimates.

b. HR is based on a Cox regression model (with treatment as the only covariate) stratified by PSA doubling time and prior or concurrent use of a bone-targeting agent. The HR is relative to placebo with < 1 favouring enzalutamide.

c. P-value is based on a stratified log-rank test by PSA doubling time (< 6 months, ≥ 6 months) and prior or concurrent use of a bone targeting agent (yes, no).

d. Based upon a prespecified interim analysis with data cut-off date of 15 Oct 2019.







Figure 10: Forest Plot of MFS in	Study MDV3100-14 - Subgroup	Analysis (ITT Population)
rigure 10. Torest Flot of Ivil 5 in	Study MID V STOO-14 - Subgroup	Analysis (III Fopulation)


Subgraup	Hazard Ratiofor MFS	(95% CI)
All Parients	H=-1	0.30 (0.25-0.36)
PSA doubling time < 6 months	H=	0.28 (0.23-0.35)
PSA doubling time >= 6 m on ths	<b>⊢</b> •−−−1	0.35 (0.22-0.56)
Geographic Region - North America	⊢ <b>-</b> +	0.38 (0.24-0.62)
Geographic Region - European Union	<b>→</b> →	0.25 (0.19-0.34)
Geographic Region - Rest of the World	<b>→</b> →→	0.33 (0.24-0.45)
Age at Baseline <≃ Medan (74 Years)	H+-1	0.27 (0.21-0.35)
Age at Basiline > Median (74 Years)	<b>⊢</b> •−-1	0.35 (0.26-0.46)
ECOG Performance 3 at us at Baseline=0	H+-1	0.27 (0.22-0.34)
ECOG Performance 3 at us at Baseline=1	⊢ <b></b>	0.43 (0.28-0.66)
Total Geacon Score at Diagnosis <≈ 7	<b>⊢</b> ⊷⊣	0.28 (0.22-0.37)
Total Geacon Score at Diagnosis>≂ 8	<b>⊢</b> ⊷⊣	0.32 (0.24-0.42)
Baseline PSA Value (ng/mL) ← Median (10.73)	<b>⊢</b> ⊷⊣	0.30 (0.23-0.40)
Baseline PSA Value (ng/mL) > Median (10.73)	HH	0.28 (0.22-0.36)
Baseine LDH ∀alue (U/L) <= Median (178)	<b>→</b> →→	0.30 (0.23-0.39)
Baseine LDH Value (U/L) > Median (178)	<b>→→</b>	0.29 (0.22-0.38)
Baxeline Hemoglobin Value (g1L) <= Median (134)	<b>⊢</b> •−-1	0.34 (0.26-0.45)
Baseline Hemoglobin Value (g/L) > Median (134)	<b>→</b> →	0.25 (0.19-0.33)
Baseline Use of Bone Targeting Agent - Yes	⊢ <b>→</b>	0.42 (0.23-0.79)
Baseline Use of Bone Targating Agent - No	<b>⊢</b> ⊷⊣	0.29 (0.24-0.35)
	0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4	
	Favors Enzalutamide Favors Placebo	

All patients randomly assigned to study treatment and based on randomized treatment assignment regardless of whether or not treatment was administered (ITT Population).

Hazard ratios for all patients and for all other subgroups were based on an unstratified Cox regression model with treatment as the only covariate.

ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ITT: intent-to-treat; LDH: lactate dehydrogenase; MFS: metastasis-free survival; PSA: prostate-specific antigen.





Subgroup	Number of Patients En zalutamide / Placebo	Number of Events Enzalutamide / Placebo	Hazard Ratio for OS	(95% CI)
All Patients	933 / 468	288 / 178	H+1	0.73 (0.61-0.88)
PSA doubling time < 6 months	719/361	222/145		0.69 (0.56-0.86)
- PSA doubling time >= 6 months	214/107	66/33	<b>⊢</b> •	0.90 (0.59-1.36)
Geographic Region - North America	141/63	43/24	<b>⊢</b> • <del>−</del> −	0.76 (0.46-1.25)
Geographic Region - European Union	458 / 232	119/95	H	0.55 (0.42-0.73)
Geographic Region - Rest of the World	334/173	126/59	<b>⊢</b> •−1	1.00 (0.73-1.36)
Age at Baseline <= Median (74 Years)	489/267	126/97	H	0.64 (0.49-0.84)
Age at Baseline > Median (74 Years)	444/201	162/81	⊢•-j	0.81 (0.62-1.05)
ECO3 Performance Status at Baseline=0	7 47 / 382	203/134	<b> </b> +	0.71 (0.57-0.88)
ECO3 Performance Status at Baseline=1	185/85	85 / 44	⊢• H	0.76 (0.52-1.09)
Total Gleason Score at Diagnosis <= 7	512/242	1 49 / 89	++	0.71 (0.55-0.93)
Total Gleason Score at Diagnosis >= 8	381 / 207	128/85	H	0.76 (0.58-1.00)
Baxeline PSA V alue (ng/mL) <= Median (10.75)	456 / 243	110/73	⊢⊷-í	0.72 (0.54-0.97)
Baseline PSA Value (ng/mL) > Median (10.75)	475 / 224	177/105	++	0.72 (0.57-0.92)
Baxeline LDH V alue (U/L) <= Median (178)	458 / 228	1 44 / 92	H•H	0.70 (0.54-0.91)
Baseline LDH V alue (U/L) > Median (178)	450 / 233	1 35 / 85	H+-	0.75 (0.57-0.99)
Baxeline Hemoglobin Value (g/L) <= Median (134)	474/238	164/89	⊢•-İ	0.82 (0.63-1.06)
Baxeline Hemoglobin Value (g/L) > Median (134)	457 / 229	123/89	H+1	0.64 (0.49-0.84)
Daseline Use of Done Targeting Agent - Yes	96 / 49	37/15	⊢¦•——I	1.17 (0.64 2.13)
Baseline Use of Bone Targeting Agent - No	837 / 419	251/163	<b>+</b>	0.69 (0.57-0.84)
			0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0	
			Favors Enzalutamide Favors	Placebo

# Figure 12: Forest Plot of overall survival in the PROSPER study (intent-to-treat analysis)

### Chemotherapy-naïve mCRPC that Progressed on Androgen Deprivation Therapy (PREVAIL)

At the pre-specified interim analysis for overall survival, treatment with Xtandi demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in overall survival compared to treatment with placebo with a 29.4% reduction in risk of death [HR = 0.706, (95% CI: 0.596, 0.837), p < 0.0001]. At the interim analysis, 27.6% (241 of 872) of patients treated with Xtandi, compared with 35.4% (299 of 845) of patients treated with placebo, had died. Estimated median overall survival was 32.4 months (95% CI: 30.1, not reached) in the Xtandi-treated patients and was 30.2 months (95% CI: 28.0, not reached) in the placebo-treated patients (Table 18). In addition, 40.4% of Xtandi-treated patients and 70.5% of placebo-treated patients received subsequent therapies with a demonstrated survival benefit. Median follow-up time based on reverse Kaplan-Meier estimates were 22.2 months for Xtandi-treated patients and 22.4 months for placebo-treated patients.

An analysis of 5-year data (September 30, 2017) showed a statistically significant increase in overall survival maintained in patients treated with Xtandi compared to placebo [HR = 0.835, (95% CI: 0.75, 0.93), p-value = 0.0008] despite 28% of patients on placebo crossing over to Xtandi. The 5-year OS rate was 26% for the Xtandi arm compared to 21% for the placebo arm (Table 18, Figure 13).

Parameter	Xtandi	Placebo (N = 845)		
	(N = 872)			
Pre-Specified Interim Analysis <sup>a</sup>				
Deaths	241 (27.6%)	299 (35.4%)		
Median survival, months (95% CI)	32.4 (30.1, NYR)	30.2 (28.0, NYR)		
P-value <sup>b</sup>	< 0.0	< 0.0001		
Hazard ratio (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	0.706 (0.59	0.706 (0.596, 0.837)		
5-year Survival Analysis <sup>a</sup>				
Deaths	689 (79)	693 (82)		
Median survival, months (95% CI)	35.5 (33.5, 38.0)	31.4 (28.9, 33.8)		
P-value <sup>b</sup>	P = 0.	P = 0.0008		
Hazard ratio (95% CI) <sup>c</sup>	0.835 (0.2	0.835 (0.75, 0.93)		


# Table 18 – PREVAIL Duration of Overall Survival – Co-primary Analysis (ITT Population)


a. Cut-off dates: September 16, 2013 (interim analysis) and September 30, 2017 (5-year analysis).

b. P-value is derived from unstratified log-rank test.

c. The hazard ratio is based on an unstratified Cox regression model (with treatment as the only covariate) and is relative to placebo with < 1 favouring Xtandi. ITT, intent-to-treat; NYR, not yet reached.

The treatment effect was apparent after the first three months of treatment and maintained through the follow-up period (Figure 13). Subgroup survival analysis showed a consistent survival benefit for treatment with Xtandi (Figure 14).





\* 5-year survival analysis (September 30, 2017)

Figure 14: Overall Survival Analysis by Subgroup: Hazard Ratio and 95% Confidence Interval in the
PREVAIL Study (Intent-to-Treat Analysis*)

Subgroup	Number of Patients Enzalutamide / Placebo	Overall Survival Median (moj Enzalutamide / Placebo	Hazard Ratio for Death	(95% CI)
All Patients	872 / 845	35.5 / 31.4	┝╾┥│	0.83 (0.75-0.93)
ECOG Performance Status at Baseline=0	584 / 585	37.7 / 35.3	<b>⊦</b> ∙-1	0.87 (0.77-0.99)
ECOG Performance Status at Baceline=1	288 / 260	31.4 / 25.4	<b>⊢</b> ⊷-	0.73 (0.61-0.88)
Age < 75	555 / 553	36.5 / 34.7	<b>⊢</b> ∙	0.88 (0.77-1.00)
Age>=75	317 / 292	33.5 / 24.5	┝∙┥	0.74 (0.62-0.88)
Geographic Region - North America	218 / 208	37.3 / 34.7	┝╼╌╢	0.85 (0.68-1.05)
Geographic Region - Europe	465 / 446	34.2 / 29.9	⊢⊷⊣	0.85 (0.74-0.98)
Geographic Region - Rest of the World	189 / 191	37.0 / 31.8	<b>⊢</b> ∙{	0.79 (0.63-0.99)
Total Gleacon Score at Diagnocis <= 7	414 / 385	37.7/32.4	<b>⊢</b> •-	0.84 (0.72-0.98)
Total Gleacon Score at Diagnocis >= 8	424 / 423	33.7 / 30.4	<b>⊢</b> ∙	0.86 (0.74-1.00)
Type of Progression at Study Entry - PSA Progression Only	375 / 369	43.3 / 36.4	-•-	0.77 (0.65-0.91)
Type of Progression at Study Entry - Radiographic Progression with or without PSA Progression	475 / 451	31.4 / 27.5	<b>⊢</b> ∙	0.87 (0.76-1.00)
Viscenal Disease (Lung and/or Liver) at Screening - Yes	98 / 106	27.9/21.3	<b>⊢</b> ∙1	0.85 (0.64-1.15)
Viscenal Diseace (Lung and/or Liver) at Screening - No	774 / 739	37.0 / 32.1	<b>⊢</b> •-	0.84 (0.75-0.94)
Baceline PSA Value (ng/mL) <= Median (49.60)	420 / 440	43.7 / 43.3	<b>⊢</b> ∙-1	0.90 (0.77-1.06)
Baceline PSA Value (ng/mL) > Median (49.60)	452 / 404	30.1 / 22.5	<b>.</b>	0.70 (0.61-0.81)
Baceline Lactate Dehydrogenace Value (U/L) <= Median (185)	442 / 422	43.0 / 37.0	┝╾┥	0.75 (0.65-0.88)
Baceline Lactate Dehydrogenace Value (U/L) > Median (185)	428 / 421	29.8 / 25.3	┝╺┼┤	0.93 (0.80-1.07)
Baceline Hemoglobin Value (g/L) <= Median (130)	454 / 416	31.2 / 25.5	⊢•-	0.84 (0.72-0.97)
Baceline Hemoglobin Value (g/L) > Median (130)	417 / 428	43.0 / 37.4	<b>⊢</b> •-	0.81 (0.69-0.94)
			0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 Favors Enzalutamide Favors Placebo	

\* 5-year survival analysis (September 30, 2017)

At the pre-specified rPFS analysis, a statistically significant improvement was demonstrated between the treatment groups with an 81.4% reduction in risk of radiographic progression or death [HR = 0.186 (95% CI: 0.149, 0.231), p < 0.0001]. One hundred and eighteen (14%) Xtandi-treated patients and 321 (40%) of

placebo-treated patients had an event. The median rPFS was not reached (95% CI: 13.8, not reached) in the Xtandi-treated group and was 3.9 months (95% CI: 3.7, 5.4) in the placebo-treated group (Figure 15, Table 19). Consistent rPFS benefit was observed across all pre-specified patient subgroups (Figure 16). Median follow-up time based on reverse Kaplan-Meier estimates were 5.4 months for Xtandi-treated patients and 3.6 months for placebo-treated patients.

 Table 19 – PREVAIL, Duration of Radiographic Progression-Free Survival – Co-primary Analysis Based

 on Independent Central Review (ITT Population)

Radiographic Progression-Free Survival Follow-Up	Xtandi (N = 832)	Placebo (N = 801)	
rPFS Events <sup>a</sup>	118 (14.2%)	321 (40.1%)	
Duration of rPFS (months) <sup>b,c</sup>			
Median duration of rPFS (months) <sup>b,c</sup> (95% CI)	NYR (13.8, NYR)	3.9 (3.7, 5.4)	
P-value (unstratified)	< 0.0001		
Hazard ratio (95% CI) <sup>d</sup>	0.186 (0.149, 0.231)		


a. Based on the earliest contributing event (radiographic progression or death due to any cause within 168 days after treatment discontinuation).

b. Patients who were not known to have had an rPFS event at the time of analysis data cut-off are censored at date of last assessment showing no objective evidence of radiographic progression prior to scan modality change, new antineoplastic treatment, initiation of radiation therapy for prostate cancer, skeletal-related event, treatment discontinuation, and 2 or more consecutive missed tumour assessments.

c. Based on Kaplan-Meier estimates.

d. The hazard ratio is based on a Cox regression model (with treatment as the only covariate) and is relative to placebo with < 1 favouring Xtandi. ITT, intent-to-treat; NYR, not yet reached; rPFS, radiographic progression-free survival.

Figure 15: Kaplan-Meier Curves of Radiographic Progression-Free Survival in Patients Treated with Either Xtandi or Placebo in the PREVAIL Study (Intent-to-Treat Analysis<sup>\*</sup>)



\* At the time of the primary analysis there were 1633 patients randomized.

Figure 16: Radiographic Progression-Free Survival by Subgroup: Hazard Ratio and 95% Confidence Interval in the PREVAIL Study (Intent-to-Treat Analysis)

Subgroup	Number of Patient Enzalutamide/Place		Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
All Patients	832/801	.н	0.19 (0.15, 0.23)
Age < 75	529/517	н	0.20 (0.15, 0.26)
Age ≥ 75	303/284	н	0.17 (0.12, 0.24)
ECOG Performance Status at Baseline = 0	557/549	м	0.15 (0.11, 0.20)
ECOG Performance Status at Baseline = 1	275/252	HH	0.27 (0.19, 0.37)
Baseline PSA Value (ng/mL) ≤ Median (51.10)	395/411	H	0.16 (0.11, 0.23)
Baseline PSA Value (ng/mL) > Median (51.10)	437/389	ж	0.18 (0.14, 0.24)
Baseline LDH Value (U/L) ≤ Median (185)	427/402	н	0.14 (0.10, 0.20)
Baseline LDH Value (U/L) > Median (185)	404/398	Hel I	0.23 (0.17, 0.31)
Total Gleason Score at Diagnosis ≤ 7	401/370	iei	0.16 (0.11, 0.22)
Total Gleason Score at Diagnosis ≥ 8	399/394	ю	0.23 (0.17, 0.31)
Visceral Lung and/or Liver Disease at Screening – Yes	97/101	Hereit .	0.28 (0.16, 0.49)
Visceral Lung and/or Liver Disease at Screening – No	735/700	ы	0.17 (0.14, 0.22)
		0 0.5 1.0	1.5

Favors Enzalutamide Favors Placebo

In addition to the co-primary efficacy endpoints, statistically significant improvements were also demonstrated in prospectively defined secondary endpoints, see Table 20.

			Hazard Ratio	
Endpoint	Xtandi	Placebo	[95% CI]	P-Value
Secondary Efficacy Endpo	oints	I	I	
Time To Initiation Of Cytotoxic Chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>	28.0 months	10.8 months	0.349 (0.303, 0.403)	< 0.0001
Best Overall Soft Tissue Response Complete response Partial response	58.8% 19.7% 39.1%	5.0% 1.0% 3.9%	53.85% (48.53, 59.17%)	< 0.0001
Time to First Skeletal- Related Event (median) <sup><i>a,b</i></sup>	31.1 months	31.3 months	0.718 (0.610, 0.844)	< 0.0001
Time to PSA Progression <sup>a,c</sup>	11.2 months	2.8 months	0.169 (0.147, 0.195)	< 0.0001
PSA Response Rate ≥ 50% Decrease	78.0%	3.5%	N/A	< 0.0001

a. Based on Kaplan-Meier estimates.

b. Skeletal-related event was defined as radiation therapy or surgery to bone for prostate cancer, pathological bone fracture, spinal cord compression, or change of antineoplastic therapy to treat bone pain from prostate cancer.

c. Based on PSA progression compliant with Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group 2 criteria.

Best overall soft tissue response was analyzed for the ITT population with measurable soft tissue disease at baseline, defined by the presence of at least 1 target lesion according to RECIST v 1.1 as assessed by the investigator. Response categories are based on target, non-target, and new lesions. Confirmation of response was not required. The trial used the same modality of imaging (CT or MRI) throughout the trial for each institution.

PSA response  $\geq$  50% decreased from baseline was evaluated in 854 patients (97.9%) in the Xtandi treatment group and 777 patients (92.0%) in the placebo treatment group who had both baseline and at least 1 post-baseline PSA assessment during the study (ITT evaluable population). Confirmation required a subsequent assessment that was consecutive and conducted at least 3 weeks later.

# mCRPC Patients with Prior Docetaxel Treatment (AFFIRM)

The pre-specified interim analysis was conducted after 520 deaths were observed. A statistically significant 4.8-month improvement in median overall survival was observed with treatment with Xtandi

versus placebo (18.4 months and 13.6 months respectively), (Table 21). The stratified hazard ratio for death for Xtandi-treated patients was 0.631 (95% CI: 0.529, 0.752; p < 0.0001), a 37% reduction in the risk of patient death.

At all evaluation time points after the initial few months of treatment, a higher proportion of patients treated with Xtandi remained alive, compared to those treated with placebo (Figure 17). The median duration of follow-up was 14.4 months.


Table 21 – Overall Survival of Patients Treated with Either Xtandi or Placebo in the AFFIRM Study
(Intent-to-Treat Analysis)

Parameter	Xtandi (N = 800)	Placebo (N = 399)	
Deaths (%)	308 (38.5%)	212 (53.1%)	
Median survival (months) (95% Cl)	18.4 (17.3, NR)	13.6 (11.3, 15.8)	
P-value <sup>a</sup>	< 0.0001		
Hazard ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	0.631 (0.529, 0.752)		

a. P-value is derived from a log-rank test stratified by ECOG performance status score (0-1 vs. 2) and mean pain score (< 4 vs. ≥ 4).</li>

b. Hazard Ratio is derived from a stratified proportional hazards model. Hazard ratio < 1 favours Xtandi. NR: not reached.

Figure 17: Kaplan-Meier Overall Survival Curves of Patients Treated with Either Xtandi or Placebo in the AFFIRM Study (Intent-to-Treat Analysis)



The median duration of therapy on Xtandi was 8.3 months vs. 3.0 months for placebo.

Subgroup survival analysis demonstrated a consistent favourable survival benefit for treatment with Xtandi (Figure 18).

Number of Patients Enzalutamide/Placeb		Hazard Ratio for Death (95% CI)	Overall Survival Median (mo) Enzalutamide/Placebo
800/399	H <b>H</b> -1	0.63 (0.53-0.75)	18.4/13.6
232/130	<b>→</b> →→	0.63 (0.46-0.87)	/12.4
568/269	H <b>e</b> 1	0.63 (0.51-0.78)	18.4/13.9
730/367	H <b>e</b> 1	0.62 (0.52-0.75)	/14.2
70/32		0.65 (0.39-1.07)	10.5/7.2
574/284	H <b>-</b> 1	0.59 (0.47-0.74)	/16.2
226/115	<b>H</b>	0.71 (0.54-0.94)	12.4/9.1
579/296	H <b>e</b> I	0.59 (0.48-0.73)	/14.2
221/103	H +	0.74 (0.54-1.03)	15.9/12.3
326/164	H	0.62 (0.46-0.83)	/19.5
470/234	H <b>H</b>	0.64 (0.52-0.80)	17.3/13.0
412/188	<b>—</b>	0.67 (0.50-0.89)	-/19.2
388/211			15.3/10.3
411/192	<b>—</b>	0.63 (0.46-0.86)	-/19.2
389/205	H+++		12.4/8.5
0.0	+1+ 11+		
	800/399 232/130 568/269 730/367 70/32 574/284 226/115 579/296 221/103 326/164 470/234 412/188 388/211 411/192 389/205	232/130 568/269 730/367 70/32 574/284 226/115 579/296 221/103 326/164 470/234 412/188 388/211 411/192 389/205 0.0 0.5 1.0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

# Figure 18: Overall Survival by Subgroup – Hazard Ratio and 95% Confidence Interval in the AFFIRM Study

The benefit observed for Xtandi in overall survival was supported by significant improvements in all secondary endpoints (see Table 22).

			Hazard Ratio	
Endpoint	Xtandi	Placebo	[95% CI]	P-Valu
Key Secondary Efficacy End	points	I	I	
Time to PSA Progression	8.3 months	3.0	0.248	< 0.000
(median)		months	[0.204,	
			0.303]	
Radiographic	8.3 months	2.9	0.404	< 0.000
Progression-Free		months	[0.350,	
Survival (median)			0.466]	
<b>T</b>	46.7	42.2		
Time to First Skeletal-	16.7 months	13.3	0.688	0.0001
Related Event (median)		months	[0.566,	
			0.835]	
Other Secondary Efficacy E	ndpoints <sup>a</sup>		I	
FACT-P Response Rate <sup>b</sup>	43.2%	18.3%	NA	< 0.000

Endpoint	Xtandi	Placebo	Hazard Ratio [95% CI]	P-Value
PSA Response Rate			NA	
$\geqslant$ 50% Decrease	54.0%	1.5%		< 0.0001
$\geqslant$ 90% Decrease	24.8%	0.9%		< 0.0001

a. No corrections for multiplicity were made for these efficacy endpoints.

b. The evaluable population consists of 85.9% (651/758) of patients in the Xtandi group with a Global FACT-P score at baseline and 66.8% (257/385) of patients in the placebo group with a Global FACT-P score at baseline. The disparity in the evaluable population for FACT-P analysis was due to a higher number of placebo patients who discontinued study treatment early due to disease progression.

#### 14.3 Comparative Bioavailability Studies

Not Applicable

# 15 MICROBIOLOGY

Not Applicable

#### **16 NON-CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY**

#### **Animal Pharmacology**

Decreased activity, tremor and/or convulsions were observed in mice following a single oral dose of enzalutamide  $\geq$  400 mg/kg. Enzalutamide treatment was also associated with convulsions in mice upon oral dosing of  $\geq$  200 mg/kg for 7 days. A low incidence of convulsions was observed in the pivotal repeat dose toxicity studies in rats and dogs (1 individual animal in the highest dose group per study). *In vitro*, enzalutamide and its metabolites bind and inhibit the GABA-gated chloride channel, an off-target mechanism associated with the onset of seizure in animals. Enzalutamide and M2 were also found to cross the blood-brain barrier in rodents.

Table 23 – Non-clinical Studies Related to the Convulsion Potential of Enzal	utamide
--	---------

	Studies	Observation
In vitro	Chloride channel binding	Enzalutamide binds to the GABA-gated chloride channel: $IC_{50} = 2.6 \ \mu M \ (1.2 \ \mu g/mL)$ K <sub>i</sub> = 2.1 $\mu M \ (1.0 \ \mu g/mL)$
		M2 binds to the GABA-gated chloride channel: IC <sub>50</sub> = 7.1 $\mu$ M (3.2 $\mu$ g/mL) K <sub>i</sub> = 5.9 $\mu$ M (2.7 $\mu$ g/mL)
	Inhibition of GABA-gated chloride channel activity in whole cells	Enzalutamide inhibits the GABA-gated chloride channel $IC_{50}$ = 3.0 $\mu M$ (1.4 $\mu g/mL)$
		M2 inhibits the GABA-gated chloride channel $IC_{50}$ = 2.3 $\mu$ M (1.04 $\mu$ g/mL)

	Studies	Observation
In vivo	Brain penetration studies in rodents	Enzalutamide and M2 crossed the blood-brain barrier in rats and mice. Based on the brain-to-plasma ratios in rats, enzalutamide and M2 concentrations in brain are approximately the same as those in the plasma.
	2-week oral gavage bridging toxicity study in rats	Enzalutamide treatment was associated with a convulsion in a single rat at a dose of 100 mg/kg.
	Single-dose study in mice	Enzalutamide treatment was associated with convulsions in mice at a dose $\ge$ 400 mg/kg.
	Repeat-dose oral toxicity study in mice	Enzalutamide treatment was associated with a convulsion in a single female mouse (1/5 per group) at a dose of 300 mg/kg on Day 2
	Convulsion model in mice	Enzalutamide treatment was associated with a dose- dependent incidence of convulsions in mice at doses ≥ 200 mg/kg.
	4-week dog toxicity study	Enzalutamide treatment in 28-day dog toxicity study was associated with a single convulsion on Day 28 in a dog receiving 60 mg/kg/day.
	39-week dog toxicity study	Enzalutamide treatment was associated with convulsions on Day 13 in one dog receiving 45 mg/kg/day. Dosing (45 mg/kg/day) in this animal was re-started at day 17; no convulsions occurred for the remainder of the study duration.

 $\mathsf{IC}_{\mathsf{50}},$  concentration required for 50% inhibition; GABA, gamma aminobutyric acid.

# Nonclinical Pharmacokinetics

The absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of [<sup>14</sup>C]-enzalutamide was studied in rats and dogs. Enzalutamide was extensively metabolized in these species via the same Phase I reactions observed in humans, mainly via demethylation, oxidation and hydrolysis. The two major metabolites in human plasma also circulate in rat and dog plasma; however, the exposure ( $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{24h}$ ) of M2 in these species was  $\leq 15\%$  that of humans. In rodents, M2 is hydrolyzed to M1 by plasma esterases. Enzalutamide was eliminated mainly as metabolites in the feces of rats and in the urine of dogs. M1 was the major metabolite in excreta. Phase I metabolites were the precursors to Phase II products, such as glutathione, glucuronide, and taurine conjugates that were observed in animal bile. Acyl glucuronides and their rearrangement isomers have been detected in bile of both rats and dogs; whether enzalutamide is metabolized to form acyl glucuronides in humans is not known.

Tissue distribution studies in rodents have shown that enzalutamide and M2 readily cross the bloodbrain barrier, whereas M1 poorly penetrates the brain.

Studies in lactating rats have shown that enzalutamide and/or its metabolites are secreted in rat milk. After oral administration of radiolabeled <sup>14</sup>C-enzalutamide to lactating rats at a dose of 30 mg/kg, the maximum radioactivity in the milk was reached 4 hours after administration and was up to 3.54-fold higher than that in the maternal plasma. Study results also have shown that enzalutamide and/or its

metabolites are transferred to infant rat tissues via milk and subsequently eliminated.

Studies in pregnant rats have shown that enzalutamide and/or its metabolites are transferred to fetuses. After oral administration of radiolabeled <sup>14</sup>C-enzalutamide to rats on day 14 of pregnancy at a dose of 30 mg/kg, the maximum radioactivity in the fetus was reached 4 hours after administration and was lower than that in the maternal plasma with a tissue/plasma ratio of 0.27. The radioactivity in the fetus decreased to 0.08 times the maximum concentration at 72 hours after administration.

# <u> Human Pharmacology - In Vitro</u>

A summary of the *in vitro* evaluations with human biomaterials and enzalutamide and major human metabolites M1 and M2 are presented in the table below, along with the primary study conclusions.

Type of Study	Results and Conclusion	
Caco-2 permeability	Mean permeability flux values for enzalutamide in the absorptive apical- to-basolateral (A $\rightarrow$ B) direction were $\geq 31 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s at all concentrations, more than twice the apparent permeability of propranolol.	
	Bidirectional permeability indicated that transport is passive.	
	Enzalutamide is a high permeability compound that crosses Caco-2 cell monolayers by passive diffusion.	
Protein binding in human plasma	Enzalutamide, M1, and M2 are highly protein bound in plasma. Enzalutamide: 97%–98%. M1: 98%, M2: 95%	
Protein binding in	Albumin is the main binding protein in human plasma.	
solutions	Albumin: 97%, High density lipoprotein: 75% to 77%	
	Low density lipoprotein: 70% to 75%, $\alpha_1$ -acid glycoprotein: 44% to 52% $\gamma$ -globulin: 10% to 19%	
Red blood cell distribution	Enzalutamide was preferentially retained in the plasma component of blood. Whole blood-to-plasma <sup>14</sup> C-AUC <sub>inf</sub> ratio: 0.55	
Metabolism with human recombinant CYP enzymes <sup>a</sup>	Mean recovery of enzalutamide after a 2 hour incubation with CYP2C8, CYP3A4, and CYP3A5 ranged from 67.0% to 81.8% suggesting slow metabolism. CYP2C8, CYP3A4, and CYP3A5 may play a role in the metabolism of enzalutamide.	
Metabolism with human liver microsomes and human plasma	Incubation of enzalutamide (4.64 $\mu$ g/mL) with microsomes produced metabolites M2 and a N-hydroxymethyl derivative of enzalutamide (M6); whereas, no metabolites were observed in enzalutamide incubations with human plasma or phosphate buffer. Incubation with M6 (10 $\mu$ M) with microsomes, human plasma, or phosphate buffer resulted in M2 formation.	
	Enzalutamide is metabolized to M2 and M6 in the presence of human microsomes, and M6 degrades to M2 in a reaction that does not require metabolic enzymes.	

Table 24 – Overview of *In Vitro* Evaluations of Enzalutamide and Metabolites

Type of Study	Results and Conclusion
Induction of CYP enzymes in human primary hepatocytes	Enzalutamide or M2 increased mRNA expression and enzyme activity of CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4. M1 increased mRNA expression of CYP2C8 but did not increase enzyme activity. Enzalutamide, M1 or M2 increased mRNA expression of UGT1A1 and UGT1A4. Enzalutamide, M1 or M2 did not increase mRNA expression of CYP1A2.
	Enzalutamide has the potential to induce CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP3A4, UGT1A1 and UGT1A4 in the clinical setting.
Inhibition of CYP enzymes in human liver microsomes	Enzalutamide, M1, and/or M2 are inhibitors of CYP2C8 and CYP2C19 with lesser inhibitory effects on CYP2B6 and CYP2C9. Enzalutamide showed time-dependent inhibition of CYP1A2 with a pattern suggesting that a metabolite formed <i>in vitro</i> (other than M1 or M2) may be a more potent inhibitor of this enzyme than enzalutamide itself. M2 showed weak time-dependent inhibition of CYP3A4/5.
	Enzalutamide has the potential to inhibit CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4/5 in the clinical setting.
P-glycoprotein (MDR1 transporter) interactions	Enzalutamide and M2 are inhibitors of P-gp at lower concentrations ( $IC_{50:}$ 0.775 µg/mL and 0.491 µg/mL, respectively), and inducers at higher concentrations (4.64 µg/mL and 4.50 µg/mL, respectively). Enzalutamide and M2 are not substrates of P-gp. M1 is not an inhibitor, inducer, nor substrate of P-gp.
	Enzalutamide has the potential to affect exposures to drugs that are substrates for the efflux transporter P-gp.
Breast Cancer Resistant Protein (BCRP) interactions	Enzalutamide, M1 and M2 are inhibitors of BCRP. Enzalutamide has the potential to affect exposures to drugs that are
	substrates of BCRP.
Organic anion transporters	M1 is a substrate of human organic anion transporters 3 (hOAT3) but not a substrate of hOAT1.
	Organic anion transporters 3 (OAT3) inhibitors have the potential to affect the exposure of M1.

a. 12 human recombinant CYP isoforms: CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2A6, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C18, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, CYP3A4 and CYP3A5.
 AUC. area under the curve: CYP. extechrome P450: IC - concentration required for 50% inhibition: mPNA, messanger


AUC, area under the curve; CYP, cytochrome P450;  $IC_{50}$ , concentration required for 50% inhibition; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; P-gp, permeability-glycoprotein;  $\mu$ g/mL, micrograms per milliliter;  $\mu$ M, micromolar; cm/s, centimeters per second.

#### <u>Human Pharmacology – In Vivo</u>

#### See **DRUG INTERACTIONS** and **CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY** sections.

The results of studies evaluating the Effect of Intrinsic/Extrinsic Factors on the PK of enzalutamide are shown in Figure 19.

#### Figure 19: Effect of Intrinsic/Extrinsic Factors on the PK of Enzalutamide



- <sup>#</sup> PK parameters (C<sub>max</sub> and AUC<sub>0-inf</sub>) are for enzalutamide plus M2, except in the food-effect trial, where they are for enzalutamide alone.
- \* See Dosage and Administration. See **Drug-Drug Interactions**.

In patients, the inter-subject variability, expressed as CV%, on the enzalutamide PK parameters AUC $\tau$ , C<sub>min</sub>, and C<sub>max</sub> ranged from 23.0% to 29.3%. The inter-subject variability of the M2 PK parameters AUC $\tau$ , C<sub>min</sub> and C<sub>max</sub> ranged from 29.7% to 30.9%. In a dose-escalation study, intra-subject variability on the enzalutamide PK parameter C<sub>min</sub> ranged between 3% and 59% after once daily administration.

# Toxicology

# Safety pharmacology

In safety pharmacology studies, enzalutamide and its active metabolite M2, caused a concentration-dependent inhibition of hERG potassium currents in HEK293 cells with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 15.7  $\mu$ M

(7.3  $\mu$ g/mL) and 18.6  $\mu$ M (8.4  $\mu$ g/mL), respectively. No treatment-related electrocardiographic effects were detected when enzalutamide was administered at single oral doses of 5, 15, or 30 mg/kg in a Latin square crossover conscious dog telemetry study (N = 4), but maximal plasma concentrations in the dogs were less than the human C<sub>max</sub> at the therapeutic dose.

# Repeated dose studies in mice

In mice dosed with 30 and 60 mg/kg/day enzalutamide for 4 weeks, changes related to the pharmacological activity included decreased weights of the epididymis, seminal vesicles and prostate. Decreased cytoplasmic vacuoles in the zona fasciculata were observed in all enzalutamide-dosed groups. Increased liver weight was observed in both sexes at 30 and 60 mg/kg/day and histopathology revealed hypertrophy of centrilobular hepatocytes. Thickening of mucosa in the forestomach was found in both sexes at 60 mg/kg/day, while ulcer and focal hyperplasia in the mucosa in the forestomach occurred only in the 60 mg/kg/day females. Two male animals dosed with 60 mg/kg/day died. All treatment-related changes observed at the end of the administration period were essentially reversible after a 4-week withdrawal of the test article. The doses used in mice (10, 30 and 60 mg/kg) resulted in systemic exposures (combined sex AUC) of 0.4, 1.0 and 1.4 times, respectively, the AUC in patients.

# Repeated dose studies in rats

Morphological and/or histopathological changes were observed in the reproductive and hormonesensitive organs of rats in all enzalutamide-dose groups in the 26-week repeated dose study. These changes included atrophy of the prostate and seminal vesicles, enlarged pituitary glands in females marked by hyperplasia on pars distalis, mammary gland atrophy in males and mammary gland hyperplasia in females. Effects on the pituitary and mammary glands persisted beyond the eight-week recovery period. Systemic exposure (combined sex AUC) at the doses used (10, 30 and 100 mg/kg/day) were 0.7, 1.4 and 1.8 times, respectively, the AUC in patients.

# Repeated dose studies in dogs

In the 39-week study in dogs, atrophy of the prostate, epididymides and seminiferous tubules and hypertrophy and/or hyperplasia of the Leydig cells in the testes were observed in all enzalutamide-dose groups. In one male animal in the 45 mg/kg/day group, convulsions were observed before dosing on Day 13. Dosing in this animal was re-initiated on Day 17 and no recurrence of convulsions was observed in this animal or in any of the other animals up to the end of the study period. All changes to the reproductive organs were either partially or fully reversed after a thirteen-week recovery period. Systemic exposure (combined sex AUC) at the doses used (5, 15 and 45 mg/kg/day) were 0.4, 0.8 and 1.1 times, respectively, the AUC in patients.

# **Reproductive Toxicology**

In a developmental toxicity study in mice, enzalutamide (10 and 30 mg/kg/day) caused embryo-fetal lethality (increased post-implantation loss and decreased number of live fetuses). Also at 10 and 30 mg/kg/day, there was a higher incidence of fetuses with external abnormalities (shortened anogenital distance). At 30 mg/kg/day, cleft palate and absent palatine bone were increased. The doses (1, 10, and 30 mg/kg/day) tested in mice resulted in systemic exposures (AUC) approximately 0.04, 0.4 and 1.1 times, respectively, the AUC in patients.

In the developmental toxicity study in rabbits, there were no treatment-related effects in any dam up to 10 mg/kg/day, although a preliminary study showed maternal and fetal toxicity at a dose of 30 mg/kg.

No treatment-related effects were noted on the viability, growth, external, visceral, or skeletal morphology or the degree of ossification of embryos/fetuses up to 10 mg/kg/day. The No Observed Adverse Effect Level was considered to be 10 mg/kg/day for maternal general toxicity, maternal reproductive function and embryo-fetal development. At the tested doses (0.3, 3 and 10 mg/kg/day), the systemic exposures (AUC) were approximately 0.016, 0.1 and 0.36 times, respectively, the AUC in patients.

Overall, enzalutamide induced embryo-fetal deaths and/or external and skeletal abnormalities in mice and rabbits. These findings are consistent with the pharmacological activity of enzalutamide. For this reason, Xtandi is contraindicated in pregnancy.

# **Carcinogenesis and Genotoxicity**

Enzalutamide was devoid of genotoxic potential in the standard panel of genotoxicity tests, including an *in vitro* bacterial reverse mutation (Ames) assay, *in vitro* mouse lymphoma thymidine kinase (Tk) gene mutation assay and in the *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay. Metabolites M1 and M2 were not mutagenic in the bacterial Ames assay. M1 but not M2 showed mutagenic and clastogenic potential in the *in vitro* mouse lymphoma thymidine kinase assay at concentrations that also caused extensive cell death ( $\geq$  50 µg/mL).

In a 6-month study in transgenic rasH2 mice, enzalutamide did not show carcinogenic potential (absence of neoplastic findings) at doses up to 20 mg/kg per day (AUC<sub>24h</sub> ~317  $\mu$ g.h/mL), which resulted in plasma exposure levels similar to the clinical exposure (AUC<sub>24h</sub> 322  $\mu$ g.h/mL) in metastatic CRPC patients receiving 160 mg daily.

Daily oral dosing of rats for two years with enzalutamide at 10, 30 and 100 mg/kg/day increased the incidence of neoplastic findings that were considered related to the primary pharmacology of enzalutamide. These included benign thymoma, fibroadenoma in the mammary glands, and benign Leydig cell tumours in the testes in males; benign granulosa cell tumour in the ovaries in females; and adenoma in the pars distalis of the pituitary in both sexes. In addition, urothelial papilloma and carcinoma of the urinary bladder in male rats were observed at the 100 mg/kg/day dose. Benign Leydig cell tumours are expected based on the pharmacological properties of this antiandrogen drug and not considered relevant to humans. The observed urothelium papilloma and carcinoma of the urinary bladder may be due to continuous irritation caused by urinary bladder crystals/calculi which is more pronounced in rats because of anatomical differences and positioning of the rat urinary bladder (horizontal in rat versus upright in human). However, no obvious mechanistic rationale to explain specifically this malignancy can be established. Taking into account that exposure levels based on AUC for enzalutamide plus its active metabolite M1 and M2 (AUC<sub>24</sub>: enzalutamide  $\sim$ 457 µg•h/mL, M1 ~321 μg•h/mL, M2 ~35 μg•h/mL), achieved in this study in male rats at week 26 at 100 mg/kg/day, were less than or similar to those in prostate cancer patients at the recommended dose of 160 mg/day (AUC<sub>24</sub>: enzalutamide ~322 μg•h/mL, M1 ~193 μg•h/mL, M2 ~278 μg•h/mL), urinary bladder carcinogenicity potential of enzalutamide in humans cannot be excluded.

# 17 SUPPORTING PRODUCT MONOGRAPHS

Not Applicable

# PATIENT MEDICATION INFORMATION

### READ THIS FOR SAFE AND EFFECTIVE USE OF YOUR MEDICINE

# <sup>Pr</sup>Xtandi<sup>®</sup> enzalutamide capsules

Read this carefully before you start taking Xtandi and each time you get a refill. This leaflet is a summary and will not tell you everything about this drug. Talk to your healthcare professional about your medical condition and treatment and ask if there is any new information about Xtandi.

#### Serious Warnings and Precautions

Only take Xtandi under the care of a healthcare professional experienced with the treatment of prostate cancer.

Xtandi can cause serious side effects, which may include:

- Seizures
- Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome (reversible swelling in the back of the brain).

#### What is Xtandi used for?

Xtandi is used to treat prostate cancer that **has** spread to other parts of the body in men who:

- are receiving but no longer responding to hormone treatment or surgery to lower testosterone. They may have also received a cancer treatment with a drug called docetaxel.
- still respond to hormone treatment or surgery that lowers testosterone.

Xtandi is used to treat prostate cancer that **has not** spread to other parts of the body in men who:

- no longer respond to hormone treatment or surgery that lowers testosterone. Xtandi has not been studied in patients with low risk of the cancer spreading to other parts of the body.
- are at a high risk of cancer spreading to other parts of the body, and:
  - o have not yet received hormone treatment or surgery that lowers testosterone; or
  - o continue to respond to hormone treatment or surgery that lowers testosterone.

#### How does Xtandi work?

Xtandi blocks the activity of hormones called androgens (like testosterone). This can slow the growth of prostate cancer.

#### What are the ingredients in Xtandi?

Medicinal ingredients: enzalutamide

Non-medicinal ingredients: butylhydroxyanisole (E320), butylhydroxytoluene (E321), caprylocaproyl macrogolglycerides

Capsule shell: gelatin, glycerol, purified water, sorbitol sorbitan solution, titanium dioxide (E171) Printing ink: ammonia solution concentrated, ethanol, ethyl acetate, iron oxide black (E172), isopropyl alcohol, macrogol 400, polyvinyl acetate phthalate, propylene glycol, purified water.

# Xtandi comes in the following dosage forms:

Capsules: 40 mg

# Do not use Xtandi if:

- you are allergic to enzalutamide or to any other ingredients in Xtandi
- you are or may become pregnant
- you are breast-feeding

# To help avoid side effects and ensure proper use, talk to your healthcare professional before you take Xtandi. Talk about any health conditions or problems you may have, including if you:

- have history of seizures or are at a high risk of seizures. This is because Xtandi may increase your risk of seizures. Some situations in which you may have a higher risk of seizures include if you:
  - had earlier episodes of seizures
  - o drink very large amounts of alcohol either regularly or from time to time
  - have had a serious head injury
  - have had a stroke or mini stroke
  - $\circ$   $\$  have had a brain tumour or spreading of cancer to the brain
  - are taking a medicine that can cause seizures or increase your chance of having seizures (see section The following may interact with Xtandi below for information about these medicines)
- have liver problems
- have kidney problems
- have or had any heart disorder, including irregular heartbeat, an abnormal electrical signal called "prolongation of the QT interval"
- have high blood pressure. Xtandi can increase your blood pressure. Your healthcare professional will measure your blood pressure before starting treatment with Xtandi and periodically during treatment
- have a history of fainting spells
- have a risk for falls or broken bones
- have electrolyte disturbances (e.g. low blood potassium or magnesium levels) or conditions that could lead to electrolyte disturbances (e.g. vomiting, diarrhea, dehydration, eating disorder)
- have fructose intolerance, which is a rare hereditary problem. This is because Xtandi contains sorbitol

# Other warnings you should know about:

Birth control

During treatment with Xtandi, use effective birth control each time you have sex with women who are pregnant, possibly pregnant, or who could become pregnant. Continue using birth control for at least three months after treatment.

• Driving and using machines

Xtandi may affect your ability to drive and use machines. Before engaging in activities that require special attention, wait until you know how Xtandi affects you.

# • Women, children and adolescents

Xtandi is NOT for use in women and patients younger than 18 years of age.

Tell your healthcare professional about all the medicines you take, including any drugs, vitamins, minerals, natural supplements or alternative medicines.

# The following may interact with Xtandi:

- Antibiotics used to treat bacterial infections (e.g. clarithromycin, doxycycline)
- Medicines used to treat certain psychiatric disorders such as severe anxiety or schizophrenia (e.g. diazepam, haloperidol, midazolam)
- Medicines used to treat gout (colchicine)
- Medicines used to lower cholesterol (e.g. atorvastatin, simvastatin)
- Medicines used to treat heart conditions and lower blood pressure (e.g. bisoprolol, digoxin, diltiazem, felodipine, nicardipine, nifedipine, propranolol, verapamil)
- Medicines used to treat serious disease related to inflammation (e.g. dexamethasone, prednisone)
- Medicines used to prevent the rejection of organ transplants (e.g. cyclosporine, tacrolimus)
- Medicines used to treat HIV infection (e.g. indinavir, ritonavir)
- Medicines used to treat epilepsy (e.g. carbamazepine, clonazepam phenobarbital, phenytoin, primidone, valproic acid)
- Medicines used to prevent blood clots (e.g. acenocoumarol, dabigatran etexilate, warfarin, clopidogrel)
- Medicines used to treat cancer (e.g. cabazitaxel, irinotecan, sunitinib)
- Medicines used to treat pain (e.g. fentanyl, tramadol)
- Medicines used to treat thyroid conditions (e.g. levothyroxine)
- Medicines used to treat stomach disorders (e.g. omeprazole)

Also, the following list includes some, but not all medicines that may interact with Xtandi to increase your risk of having a seizure:

- Certain medicines used to treat asthma and other respiratory diseases (e.g. aminophylline, theophylline)
- Medicines used to treat certain psychiatric disorders such as depression and schizophrenia (e.g. clozapine, olanzapine, risperidone, ziprasidone, bupropion, lithium, chlorpromazine, mesoridazine, thioridazine, amitriptyline, desipramine, doxepin, imipramine, maprotiline, mirtazapine, venlafaxine)
- Certain opioids used to treat pain (e.g. meperidine)

You should check with your healthcare professional before taking any other medicines with Xtandi. The dose of any other medicines that you are taking may need to be changed.

# How to take Xtandi:

- Always take this medicine exactly as your healthcare professional has told you. Check with your healthcare professional if you are not sure.
- Take each dose at the same time each day.
- Take with or without food.
- Swallow the capsules whole with water.
- Do NOT chew, dissolve or open the capsules.

• Do NOT start or stop Xtandi before you talk to your healthcare professional.

Instructions for handling Xtandi

- Xtandi should not be handled by persons other than the patient or their caregivers.
- Women who are or may become pregnant should NOT handle damaged or opened Xtandi capsules without protection (e.g. gloves). Xtandi might harm your unborn baby.

### Usual dose:

The usual dose is 160 mg (4 capsules) taken once a day.

#### Overdose:

If you think you, or a person you are caring for, have taken too much Xtandi, contact a healthcare professional, hospital emergency department, or regional poison control centre immediately, even if there are no symptoms.

#### Missed dose:

- If you forget to take Xtandi at the usual time, take your usual dose as soon as you remember.
- If you forget to take Xtandi for the whole day, take your usual dose the following day.
- If you forget to take Xtandi for more than one day, talk to your healthcare professional right away.
- Do NOT take a double dose to make up for the dose you forgot.

# What are possible side effects from using Xtandi?

These are not all the possible side effects you may have when taking Xtandi. If you experience any side effects not listed here, tell your healthcare professional.

Side effects may include:

- Feeling tired (fatigue)
- Back pain
- Hot flush
- Constipation
- Joint Pain
- Decreased appetite
- Diarrhea
- Dizziness/vertigo
- Headache
- Feeling anxious
- Forgetfulness
- Having trouble remembering and solving problems
- Reduced concentration
- Weight loss
- Disturbance in attention
- Dry skin, itching

- Nose bleed
- Shingles
- Flu-like symptoms
- Drowsiness
- Uncontrollable urge to move a part of the body, usually the leg (restless leg syndrome)
- Hallucinations
- Low white blood cell count (shown in blood tests)
- Bruising
- Breast swelling in males
- Vomiting
- Nausea
- Rash
- Change in sense of taste

If you experience any symptoms of a possible heart rhythm disturbance, such as dizziness, palpitations, or fainting, you should seek immediate medical attention.

Xtandi can cause abnormal blood test results. Your healthcare professional will decide when to perform blood tests and will interpret the results.

Serious si	de effects and what t	o do about them	
	Talk to your healthcare professional		Stop taking drug and
Symptom / effect	Only if severe	In all cases	get immediate medical help
COMMON			
Bone Fractures (broken bones)		$\checkmark$	
Falls		$\checkmark$	
Hemorrhages: severe bleeding in the brain (cerebral hemorrhage), or bladder (urinary tract), or other organs			$\checkmark$
Heart Problems (including heart attack, angina, coronary artery disease or heart failure): pressure or pain in your chest or arms that may spread to your neck jaw or back, shortness of breath, changes in heartrate, dizziness or lightheadedness, nausea		$\checkmark$	v
Hypertension (high blood pressure)		$\checkmark$	
Herpes Zoster Virus (shingles): a painful skin rash of fluid-filled blisters which can appear on the body or face, blisters appear along a strip of skin, itching		$\checkmark$	

Serious sid	de effects and what to	o do about them	
	Talk to your healthcare professional		Stop taking drug and
Symptom / effect	Only if severe	In all cases	get immediate medical help
UNCOMMON			
<b>Seizure:</b> muscle twitching, changes in emotions, loss of consciousness with uncontrollable shaking		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Sepsis and septic shock (Infection of the blood): fever or dizziness, chills, high or very low body temperature, little or no urine, low blood pressure, palpitations, rapid breathing, rapid heartbeat			✓
Gastrointestinal Bleeding (bleeding in digestive tract)		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
<b>REPORTED FROM POST-MARKETING</b>	I		
Allergic reaction: rash, hives, swelling of the face, tongue, lip or throat, difficulty swallowing or breathing		$\checkmark$	~
Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome (PRES) (reversible swelling in the back of the brain): high blood pressure, headache, loss of speech or vision, confusion, seizure		√	√
Severe Skin Reactions		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

If you have a troublesome symptom or side effect that is not listed here or becomes bad enough to interfere with your daily activities, tell your healthcare professional.

# **Reporting Side Effects**

You can report any suspected side effects associated with the use of health products to Health Canada by:

- Visiting the Web page on Adverse Reaction Reporting (<u>https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/medeffect-canada.html</u>) for information on how to report online, by mail or by fax; or
- Calling toll-free at 1-866-234-2345.

NOTE: Contact your health professional if you need information about how to manage your side effects. The Canada Vigilance Program does not provide medical advice.

# Storage:

Store between 15°C to 30°C. Keep out of the reach and sight of children.

# If you want more information about Xtandi:

- Talk to your healthcare professional
- Find the full product monograph that is prepared for healthcare professionals and includes this Patient Medication Information by visiting the Health Canada website (<u>https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/drug-product-database.html</u>); the manufacturer's website <u>http://www.astellas.ca</u>, or by calling 1-888-338-1824.

This leaflet was prepared by Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc.

Xtandi<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of Astellas Pharma Inc.

Last revised: JAN 05, 2024

394380-XTA-CAN