

PRODUCT MONOGRAPH

PrPrograf[®]

tacrolimus for injection
5 mg/mL

tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP
0.5 mg, 1 mg and 5 mg

Immunosuppressant

Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc.
Markham, ON
L3R 0B8

Date of Revision:
March 18, 2019

Prograf[®] and Advagraf[®] are registered trademarks of Astellas Pharma Inc. All other trademarks or registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

Control Number: 223039

221684-PRG-CAN

Table of Contents

PART I: HEALTH PROFESSIONAL INFORMATION.....	3
SUMMARY PRODUCT INFORMATION	3
INDICATIONS AND CLINICAL USE.....	3
CONTRAINDICATIONS	4
WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS.....	5
ADVERSE REACTIONS.....	14
DRUG INTERACTIONS	29
DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION	34
OVERDOSAGE	37
ACTION AND CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY	38
STORAGE AND STABILITY.....	46
SPECIAL HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS	46
DOSAGE FORMS, COMPOSITION AND PACKAGING	47
PART II: SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION	48
PHARMACEUTICAL INFORMATION.....	48
CLINICAL TRIALS	49
Kidney Transplantation.....	49
Liver Transplantation.....	52
Heart Transplantation.....	55
Rheumatoid Arthritis	57
DETAILED PHARMACOLOGY	61
MICROBIOLOGY	64
TOXICOLOGY	64
REFERENCES	69
PART III: CONSUMER INFORMATION.....	74
TRANSPLANTATION	74
RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS.....	79

Prograf®

tacrolimus for injection
tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP

PART I: HEALTH PROFESSIONAL INFORMATION

SUMMARY PRODUCT INFORMATION

Route of Administration	Dosage Form / Strength	Clinically Relevant Nonmedicinal Ingredients <i>(For a complete listing see Dosage Forms, Composition and Packaging section.)</i>
oral	Capsules / 0.5 mg, 1 mg and 5 mg	lactose monohydrate, NF
intravenous	Injection / 5 mg/mL	polyoxyl 60 hydrogenated castor oil (HCO-60)

INDICATIONS AND CLINICAL USE

Transplantation

Prograf® (tacrolimus) is indicated for:

- prophylaxis of organ rejection in patients receiving allogeneic liver, kidney or heart transplants.
- treatment of refractory rejection in patients receiving allogeneic liver or kidney transplants.

Prograf is to be used concomitantly with adrenal corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive agents. The safety and efficacy of the use of Prograf with sirolimus has not been established.

Only physicians experienced in immunosuppressive therapy and management of organ transplant should prescribe Prograf (tacrolimus). Patients receiving the drug should be managed in facilities equipped and staffed with adequate laboratory and supportive medical resources. The physician responsible for maintenance therapy should have complete information requisite for the follow-up of the patient.

Rheumatoid Arthritis

Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP) is indicated for:

- treatment of active rheumatoid arthritis in adult patients for whom disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy is ineffective or inappropriate.

Prograf may be used as monotherapy or in combination with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and/or steroids, although the possibility of increased toxicity has not been fully explored (see Warnings and Precautions and Drug Interactions).

Combined use of Prograf with gold, penicillamine, hydroxychloroquine, sulfasalazine or azathioprine has not been studied.

There is currently insufficient data to support the concomitant use of Prograf and methotrexate.

Careful monitoring of Prograf-treated patients is mandatory. Prograf should only be prescribed for rheumatoid arthritis by physicians experienced with the use of immunosuppressants.

Geriatrics (> 65 years of age): The safety and efficacy of Prograf in patients older than 65 years of age has not been established.

Pediatrics (< 18 years of age): Experience with Prograf in pediatric kidney and heart transplant patients is limited. Successful liver transplants have been performed in pediatric patients (ages 4 months up to 16 years) using Prograf, with the majority of these patients under 5 years of age (see Warnings and Precautions).

Prograf is not indicated for the use of rheumatoid arthritis in children younger than 18 years of age.

CONTRAINDICATIONS

- Prograf (tacrolimus) is contraindicated in patients with a hypersensitivity to tacrolimus or to any ingredient in the formulation or component of the capsules. For a complete listing, see the Dosage Forms, Composition and Packaging section of the product monograph.
- Prograf (tacrolimus for injection) is contraindicated in patients with a hypersensitivity to HCO-60 (polyoxyl 60 hydrogenated castor oil).

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

Serious Warnings and Precautions

Increased susceptibility to infection and the possible development of lymphoma and skin cancer may result from immunosuppression (see Warnings and Precautions – Carcinogenesis and Mutagenesis, and Immune/Infection).

Transplant Patients

Only physicians experienced in immunosuppressive therapy and management of organ transplant patients should prescribe Prograf (tacrolimus). Patients receiving the drug should be managed in facilities equipped and staffed with adequate laboratory and supportive medical resources. The physician responsible for maintenance therapy should have complete information requisite for the follow-up of the patient and should be consulted if a patient is converted to an alternative formulation so that therapeutic drug monitoring can be instituted.

Rheumatoid Arthritis

Careful monitoring of Prograf-treated patients is mandatory. Prograf should only be prescribed for rheumatoid arthritis by physicians experienced with the use of immunosuppressants. Prograf is indicated for the treatment of active rheumatoid arthritis in adult patients for whom disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy is ineffective or inappropriate.

General

Tacrolimus is extensively metabolized by the mixed-function oxidase system, primarily the cytochrome P450 system (CYP3A). Prograf does not induce or inhibit CYP3A4 or any other major CYP isoenzymes.

Since tacrolimus is metabolized mainly by the cytochrome P450 3A enzyme systems, substances known to inhibit these enzymes may decrease the metabolism or increase bioavailability of tacrolimus with resultant increases in whole blood or plasma levels. Whole blood concentrations of tacrolimus are markedly increased when co-administered with telaprevir and boceprevir (see Drug Interactions). Drugs known to induce these enzyme systems may result in an increased metabolism of tacrolimus or decreased bioavailability as indicated by decreased whole blood or plasma levels. Monitoring of blood levels and appropriate dosage adjustments in transplant patients are essential when such drugs are used concomitantly (see Drug Interactions.)

Prograf contains lactose and is not recommended for patients with rare hereditary disease of galactose intolerance, the Lapp lactase deficiency or glucose-galactose malabsorption.

For Transplant Patients

Patients receiving Prograf for injection should be under continuous observation for at least the first 30 minutes following the start of the infusion and at frequent intervals thereafter. If signs or symptoms of anaphylaxis occur, the infusion should be stopped. An aqueous solution of epinephrine 1:1000 should be available at the bedside as well as a source of oxygen.

Prograf, when given orally, is a twice-a-day formulation of tacrolimus. Prograf therapy requires careful monitoring by adequately qualified and equipped personnel. The medicinal product should only be prescribed, and changes in immunosuppressive therapy initiated, by physicians experienced in immunosuppressive therapy and the management of transplant patients.

Switching of Prograf (immediate release formulation) to Advagraf® (extended release formulation) should be done under supervision of a transplant specialist. Inadvertent, unintentional or unsupervised switching of Prograf to Advagraf formulations of tacrolimus is unsafe. This can lead to graft rejection or increased incidence of side effects, including under- or over-immunosuppression, due to clinically relevant differences in systemic exposure to tacrolimus. Patients should be maintained on a single formulation of tacrolimus with the corresponding daily dosing regimen; alterations in formulation or regimen should only take place under the close supervision of a transplant specialist (see Dosage and Administration).

Following conversion to any alternative formulation, therapeutic drug monitoring must be performed and dose adjustments made to ensure that systemic exposure to tacrolimus is maintained.

Carcinogenesis and Mutagenesis

An increased incidence of malignancy is a recognized complication of immunosuppression in recipients of organ transplants. The most common forms of neoplasms are non-Hodgkin's lymphomas and carcinomas of the skin. As with other immunosuppressive therapies, the risk of developing lymphomas and other malignancies, particularly of the skin, may be higher in Prograf recipients than in the normal, healthy population. The risk appears to be related to the intensity and duration of immunosuppression rather than to the use of any specific agent.

Lymphoproliferative disorders associated with Epstein-Barr virus infection have been seen. It has been reported that reduction or discontinuation of immunosuppression may cause the lesions to regress (see Toxicology).

Cardiovascular

Hypertension is a common side effect of Prograf (tacrolimus) therapy (see Adverse Reactions). Mild or moderate hypertension is more frequently reported than severe hypertension. The incidence of hypertension decreases over time. Antihypertensive therapy may be required; the control of blood pressure can be accomplished with any of the common antihypertensive agents. Since tacrolimus may cause hyperkalemia, potassium-sparing diuretics should be avoided.

While calcium channel blocking agents can be effective in treating Prograf-associated hypertension, care should be taken since interference with tacrolimus metabolism may require a dosage reduction in the transplant patient (see Drug Interactions). Hypertension and hyperkalemia have also been noted in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Tacrolimus should be discontinued in patients in whom hypertension and hyperkalemia cannot be controlled.

Heart failure, myocardial hypertrophy and arrhythmia have been reported in association with the administration of Prograf. Myocardial hypertrophy is generally manifested by echocardiographically demonstrated concentric increases in left ventricular posterior wall and interventricular septum thickness. Hypertrophy has been observed in infants, children and adults. This condition appears reversible in most cases following dose reduction or discontinuance of therapy. In a group of 20 transplant patients with pre- and post-treatment echocardiograms who showed evidence of myocardial hypertrophy, mean tacrolimus whole blood concentrations during the period prior to diagnosis of myocardial hypertrophy ranged from 11 to 53 ng/mL in infants (N=10) age 0.4 to 2 years, 4 - 46 ng/mL in children (N=7) age 2 - 15 years and 11 - 24 ng/mL in adults (N=3) age 37 - 53 years.

Tacrolimus may prolong the QT interval and may cause Torsade de Pointes. Caution should be exercised in patients with known risk factors for QT prolongation (including, but not limited to, congenital or acquired QT prolongation and concomitant medications known to prolong the QT interval or known to increase tacrolimus exposure) (see Drug Interactions).

Gastrointestinal

Gastrointestinal perforation has been reported in patients treated with tacrolimus, although all cases were considered a complication of transplant surgery or were accompanied by infection, diverticulum, or malignant neoplasm. As gastrointestinal perforation may be serious or life-threatening, appropriate medical/surgical management should be instituted promptly (see Adverse Reactions).

Hematologic

Cases of pure red cell aplasia (PRCA) have been reported in patients treated with tacrolimus. A mechanism for tacrolimus-induced PRCA has not been elucidated. All patients reported risk factors for PRCA such as parvovirus B19 infection, underlying disease or concomitant medications associated with PRCA. If PRCA is diagnosed, discontinuation of tacrolimus should be considered.

Hepatic/Biliary/Pancreatic

The use of Prograf in liver transplant recipients experiencing post-transplant hepatic impairment may be associated with increased risk of developing renal insufficiency related to high whole blood levels of tacrolimus. These patients should be monitored closely and dose adjustments should be considered. Some evidence suggests that the use of lower doses may be warranted in these patients. (See Dosage and Administration.)

Prograf was shown to cause new onset diabetes mellitus in clinical trials of kidney, liver, and heart transplantation. New onset diabetes after transplantation may be reversible in some patients. Black and Hispanic kidney transplant patients are at an increased risk. Blood glucose concentrations should be monitored closely in patients using Prograf (see Adverse Reactions).

Hyperglycemia, elevations in HbA_{1c}, and overt diabetes have also been noted in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with tacrolimus. Tacrolimus should be discontinued in patients in whom blood sugars cannot be controlled.

Immune/Infection

A lymphoproliferative disorder (LPD) related to Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) infection has been reported in immunosuppressed organ transplant recipients. The risk of LPD appears greatest in young children who are at risk for primary EBV infection while immunosuppressed, or who are switched to Prograf following long-term immunosuppression therapy. Experience on combining Prograf with immunosuppressive drugs other than adrenal corticosteroids, azathioprine and mycophenolate mofetil is limited because of the potency of Prograf and the risk of over immunosuppression and such combinations are not recommended.

Immunosuppressed patients are at increased risk of developing bacterial, viral, fungal, and protozoal infections, including infection reactivation (e.g. Hepatitis B reactivation) and opportunistic infections, including activation of latent viral infections. These include BK virus-associated nephropathy and JC virus-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) which have been observed in patients receiving tacrolimus. These infections are often related to a high immunosuppressive burden and may lead to serious or fatal conditions that physicians should consider in the differential diagnosis in immunosuppressed patients with deteriorating renal function or neurological symptoms.

A few patients receiving Prograf injection have experienced anaphylactic reactions. Although the exact cause of these reactions is not known, other drugs with castor oil derivatives in the formulation have been associated with anaphylaxis in a small percentage of patients. Because of this potential risk of anaphylaxis, Prograf injection should be reserved for patients who are unable to take Prograf capsules.

Neurologic

Prograf may cause neurotoxicity, particularly when used at high doses.

Neurotoxicity, including tremor, headache, and other changes in motor function, mental status and sensory function were reported in approximately 55% of liver transplant recipients in the two randomized studies. Tremor occurred more often in Prograf-treated kidney transplant patients in the U.S. and European studies (54 and 35%, respectively), and heart transplant patients (15%) compared with cyclosporine-treated patients. The incidence of other neurological events in the two treatment groups in both kidney studies and heart transplant patients was similar. Tremor and headache have been associated with high whole blood concentrations of tacrolimus and may respond to dosage adjustment. Seizures have occurred in adult and pediatric patients receiving Prograf. Coma and delirium also have been associated with high plasma concentrations of tacrolimus.

Patients treated with tacrolimus have been reported to develop posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES). Symptoms indicating PRES include headache, altered mental status, seizures and visual disturbances. Diagnosis should be confirmed by radiological procedure (e.g., MRI). If PRES is suspected or diagnosed, blood pressure and seizure control and immediate discontinuation of immunosuppression is advised. Most patients completely recover after appropriate measures are taken.

Tacrolimus may cause visual and neurological disturbances. No studies have been performed on the effects of tacrolimus on the ability to drive and use machines.

Renal

Prograf may cause nephrotoxicity, and the likelihood increases with higher blood levels.

Nephrotoxicity has been noted in approximately 52% and 57% of kidney transplantation patients and in 40% and 36% of liver transplantation patients receiving Prograf in the U.S. and European randomized trials, respectively, and in 59% of heart transplantation patients in a European randomized trial (see Adverse Reactions). More overt nephrotoxicity is seen early after transplantation, characterized by increasing serum creatinine and a decrease in urine output. Impaired renal function requires close monitoring and may necessitate Prograf dosage reduction. In patients with persistent elevations of serum creatinine who are unresponsive to dosage adjustments, consideration should be given to changing to other immunosuppressive therapy. Care should be taken in using tacrolimus with other nephrotoxic drugs. **In particular, to avoid excess nephrotoxicity, when switching patients from a cyclosporine-based regimen to a Prograf-based regimen, cyclosporine should be discontinued at least 24 hours prior to initiating Prograf. Prograf dosing may be further delayed in the presence of elevated cyclosporine levels (see Drug Interactions). When switching from tacrolimus to cyclosporine, tacrolimus should be discontinued for at least 24 hours before initiating the other medication.**

For patients with renal insufficiency, some evidence suggests that the use of lower doses may be warranted. (See Action and Clinical Pharmacology and Dosage and Administration.)

Mild to severe hyperkalemia was reported in 31% and 21% of kidney transplant patients and in 45% and 13% of liver transplant recipients treated with Prograf in the U.S. and European randomized trials, respectively, and in 8% of heart transplant recipients in a European randomized trial and may require treatment (see Adverse Reactions). **Serum potassium levels should be monitored. Potassium-sparing diuretics should not be used and high intake of potassium should be avoided during Prograf therapy (see Warnings and Precautions, Monitoring and Laboratory Tests).**

Hyperkalemia has also been noted in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Tacrolimus should be discontinued in patients in whom hypertension and hyperkalemia cannot be controlled. The adverse events associated with Prograf treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients occurred at a lower rate of incidence than seen in transplant patients receiving Prograf. The majority of adverse events were mild or moderate in intensity, of limited duration and did not result in discontinuation of the study drug.

Sexual Function/Reproduction

In reproduction studies in rats and rabbits, adverse effects on the fetus were observed mainly at dose levels that were toxic to dams. However, in female rats dosed during organogenesis, embryo toxicity (expressed as reduced pup weights) was seen at a dose, which was one-third of the maternally toxic dose. At this same dose, when administered prior to mating and during gestation, tacrolimus was associated with adverse effects on female reproductive parameters and embryolethality. This dose was equivalent to 0.5X the clinical dose. (See Warnings and Precautions.)

Special Populations

Pregnant Women

Tacrolimus at oral doses of 0.32 and 1.0 mg/kg during organogenesis in rabbits, was associated with maternal toxicity as well as an increase in incidence of abortions; these doses are equivalent to 0.33X and 1.0X (based on body surface area corrections) the recommended clinical dose (0.3 mg/kg). At the higher dose only, an increased incidence of malformations and developmental variations was also seen. Tacrolimus, at oral doses of 3.2 mg/kg during organogenesis in rats, was associated with maternal toxicity and caused an increase in late resorptions, decreased numbers of live births, and decreased pup weight and viability.

Tacrolimus, given orally at 1.0 and 3.2 mg/kg (equivalent to 0.5X and 1.5X), the recommended clinical dose based on body surface area corrections to pregnant rats after organogenesis and during lactation, was associated with reduced pup weights.

Tacrolimus, given orally at 1.0 mg/kg (0.5X the recommended clinical dose based on body surface area corrections) to male and female rats, prior to and during mating, as well as to dams during gestation and lactation, was associated with adverse effects on female reproduction and embryolethality. Effects on female reproductive function (parturition) and embryo lethal effects were indicated by a higher rate of pre-implantation loss and increased numbers of undelivered and nonviable pups. When given at 3.2 mg/kg (1.5X the recommended clinical dose based on body surface area correction), tacrolimus was associated with maternal and paternal toxicity as well as reproductive toxicity including marked adverse effects on estrous cycles, parturition, pup viability and pup malformations. Toxicities to parental rats were indicated by tremors and circling, as well as reduced weight gains and food consumption in males, and reduced food consumption during gestation and lactation in females. Adverse effects on reproductive parameters included: 1) increased copulatory intervals, 2) increased pre- and post-implantation loss of fetuses (resulting in smaller litter sizes), and 3) decreased numbers of dams delivering. No reduction in male or female fertility was evident. Adverse effects seen in pups were

markedly reduced viability and a slight increase in the incidence of malformation (3 pups from 3 dams).

Prograf should not be used during pregnancy unless the potential benefit to the mother outweighs potential risk to the fetus. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. Tacrolimus is transferred across the placenta and infants exposed to tacrolimus *in utero* may be at risk of prematurity, birth defects/congenital anomalies, low birth weight, and fetal distress. The use of tacrolimus during pregnancy has been associated with preterm delivery, neonatal hyperkalemia and renal dysfunction.

Tacrolimus may increase hyperglycemia in pregnant women with diabetes (including gestational diabetes). Monitor maternal blood glucose levels regularly.

Tacrolimus may exacerbate hypertension in pregnant women and increase pre-eclampsia. Monitor and control blood pressure. Females and males of reproductive potential should consider the use of appropriate contraception prior to starting treatment with tacrolimus.

In experience reported by the University of Pittsburgh, eleven female transplant patients maintained on tacrolimus therapy throughout pregnancy delivered twelve babies, with one patient conceiving twice. These patients received tacrolimus from week one to 20 months prior to conception. Ten of the pregnancies were successful, four with C-sections. The neonates showed no growth retardation or congenital anomalies. Hyperkalemia was observed in the majority of babies, but resolved within 24-48 hours without adverse effects. Two babies (both premature 22 and 24 weeks) died shortly after birth. One pregnancy was complicated by diabetes, hypertension and proteinuria, the other by CMV infection requiring ganciclovir therapy. Additional information includes a report of one newborn who had temporary anuria associated with high cord blood tacrolimus concentration, however, renal function was normal within one week. Another reference reports on the successful pregnancy (normal healthy male) in a 28 year old female with bolus steroids and increased doses of tacrolimus for liver graft rejection. In this case, the cord blood plasma concentration was approximately one half that noted in maternal plasma.

Nursing Women

Tacrolimus is excreted in human milk. The effects of tacrolimus on the breastfed infant, or on milk production have not been assessed. As detrimental effects on the newborn cannot be excluded, women should not breastfeed while receiving tacrolimus.

Pediatrics (< 18 years of age)

Heart failure, cardiomegaly and increased thickness of the myocardium have been reported in patients taking Prograf. Patients at risk for these effects are primarily children younger than 5 years undergoing liver “rescue”, small bowel or multivisceral transplantation with trough whole blood tacrolimus levels exceeding 25 ng/mL. Also, these patients at risk often have experienced fluid overload, renal and/or hepatic dysfunction, hypertension and are receiving large doses of corticosteroids and other concomitant medications. Cardiovascular function for such patients should be carefully monitored. In addition, tacrolimus trough whole blood levels should be maintained below 25 ng/mL. If cardiac abnormalities develop, dose reduction or

discontinuation of Prograf should be considered in cases where the perceived risk to the patient outweighs the benefit.

The two randomized active-controlled trials of Prograf in primary liver transplantation included 56 pediatric patients. Thirty-one patients were randomized to Prograf and 25 to cyclosporine-based therapies. Additionally, a minimum of 120 pediatric patients (median age 22.5 months) who underwent 122 liver transplants were studied in an uncontrolled published trial of tacrolimus in living related donor liver transplantation. Pediatric patients generally required higher doses of Prograf to maintain blood trough concentrations of tacrolimus similar to adult patients (see Dosage and Administration). This is thought to be a result of age-related differences in the oxidative capacity of the cytochrome P450 enzyme system (CYP3A) used to metabolize tacrolimus.

Geriatrics (> 65 years of age)

No formal studies have been performed to evaluate the effect of Prograf specifically in the geriatric patient population.

Monitoring and Laboratory Tests

Serum creatinine, potassium and fasting glucose should be assessed regularly. Routine monitoring of metabolic and hematologic systems should be performed as clinically warranted.

Blood Level Monitoring in Transplant Patients

Monitoring of tacrolimus blood levels in conjunction with other laboratory and clinical parameters is considered an essential aid to transplant patient management. During the immediate post-operative period, trough blood concentrations should be measured every 1-3 days. Tacrolimus doses are usually reduced in the post-transplant period. In patients with hepatic or renal dysfunction, or in those receiving or discontinuing concomitant interacting medications, more intensive monitoring may be required, since tacrolimus clearance may be affected under each of these circumstances. More frequent monitoring may also be required in patients early after transplantation since it is at this time patients experience the highest risk of rejection. Blood concentration monitoring is not a replacement for renal and liver function monitoring and tissue biopsies. Following discharge from the hospital, the frequency of patient monitoring will decrease with time post-transplant.

Although there is a lack of direct correlation between tacrolimus levels and drug efficacy, data from Phase II and III studies of kidney and liver transplant patients has shown an increasing incidence of adverse events with increasing trough blood concentrations. Most stable patients are maintained with 12 hour trough whole blood levels of 5 to 20 ng/mL. Long-term post-transplant patients often are maintained at the low end of this target range.

Two methods are available for the assay of tacrolimus: 1) microparticle enzyme immuno assay (MEIA) and 2) enzyme-linked immuno sorbent assay (ELISA). Both methods use the same monoclonal antibody for the tacrolimus parent compound. Whole blood is the matrix of choice and specimens should be collected into tubes containing ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) anti-coagulant. Heparin anti-coagulation is not recommended because of the tendency to form clots on storage. Samples which are not analyzed immediately should be stored in a

refrigerator and assayed within 3 days; if samples are to be kept longer, they should be deep frozen at -20°C for up to 12 months.

Kidney Transplantation

Data from the U.S. and European Phase III studies indicate that trough concentrations of tacrolimus in whole blood, as measured by IMx[®], were most variable during the first week of dosing. During the first three months, 80% of the patients maintained trough concentrations between 7 - 20 ng/mL, and then between 5 - 15 ng/mL, through one year.

The relative risk of toxicity is increased with higher trough concentrations. Therefore, monitoring of whole blood trough concentrations is recommended to assist in the clinical evaluation of toxicity.

Liver Transplantation

Data from the U.S. clinical trial show that tacrolimus whole blood concentrations, as measured by ELISA, were most variable during the first week post-transplantation. After this early period, the median trough blood concentrations, measured at intervals from the second week to one year post-transplantation, ranged from 9.8 ng/mL to 19.4 ng/mL.

Heart Transplantation

Data from a European Phase III study indicates that trough concentrations of tacrolimus in whole blood, as measured by IMx[®], were most variable during the first week of dosing. From 1 week to 3 months, 80% of patients maintained trough concentrations between 8 - 20 ng/mL and, from 3 months through 18 months, 80% of patients maintained trough concentrations between 6 - 18 ng/mL.

The relative risk of toxicity is increased with higher trough concentrations. Therefore, monitoring of whole blood trough concentrations is recommended to assist in the clinical evaluation of toxicity.

Blood Level Monitoring in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Prograf used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis patients, has resulted in a lower incidence rate of adverse events than previously seen in transplant patients. Trough blood levels of tacrolimus in this patient population have been demonstrated to be very close to the lower limit of quantitation in assays used to evaluate tacrolimus levels. The lower incidence rates of adverse events, as well as the lower levels of tacrolimus detected in rheumatoid arthritis patients, may be due to the lower daily dose of Prograf administered to this patient population. Consequently, monitoring tacrolimus trough levels in rheumatoid arthritis patients has not proven to be the most effective approach of managing this patient population. Management of these patients has proven to be effective based on the incidence of adverse events and monitoring serum creatinine levels. Current data further supports the fact that nephrotoxicity associated with Prograf is predictable and can be managed through the careful monitoring of serum creatinine, adjustments of concomitant medications, and if necessary, withdrawal of due to the treatment. Since Prograf can impair renal function, a reliable baseline level of serum creatinine should be established by at least two measurements prior to treatment. Serum creatinine should be

monitored every 2 weeks during the first month of therapy and every four weeks for the next three months, then quarterly thereafter.

If serum creatinine is increased by more than 40% above baseline, the serum creatinine should be repeated in one week. If the repeated serum creatinine remains increased by more than 40% from baseline, dosing of Prograf should be interrupted for 14 days and the serum creatinine measurement should again be repeated. If the serum creatinine returns to a value less than a 40% increase from baseline, dosing with Prograf may be resumed. If the serum creatinine remains elevated by more than 40% from baseline, Prograf should be discontinued. These recommendations apply even if the patient's values still lie within the laboratory normal range.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

Kidney Transplantation

The most common adverse reactions reported were infection, tremor, hypertension, decreased renal function, constipation, diarrhea, headache, abdominal pain and insomnia. Many of these adverse reactions were mild and responded to a reduction in dosage. Insulin-dependent post-transplant diabetes mellitus (PTDM) was related to increased whole blood trough concentrations of tacrolimus and higher doses of corticosteroids. The median time to onset of PTDM was 68 days.

Liver Transplantation

The principal adverse reactions of Prograf (tacrolimus) are tremor, headache, diarrhea, hypertension, nausea, and renal dysfunction. These occur with oral and intravenous administration of Prograf and may respond to a reduction in dosing. Diarrhea was sometimes associated with other gastrointestinal complaints such as nausea and vomiting. Hyperkalemia and hypomagnesemia have occurred in patients receiving Prograf therapy. Hyperglycemia has been noted in many patients; some may require insulin therapy.

Heart Transplantation

The more common adverse reactions in Prograf-treated heart transplant recipients were kidney function abnormal, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, CMV infection, tremor, hyperglycemia, leukopenia, infection, and hyperlipemia.

Rheumatoid Arthritis

The adverse events associated with Prograf treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients occurred at a lower rate of incidence than seen in transplant patients receiving Prograf. The majority of adverse events were mild or moderate in intensity, of limited duration and did not result in discontinuation of the study drug.

Clinical Trial Adverse Drug Reactions

Because clinical trials are conducted under very specific conditions, the adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials may not reflect the rates observed in practice and should not be compared to the rates in the clinical trials of another drug. Adverse drug reaction information from clinical trials is useful for identifying drug-related adverse events and for approximating rates.

Kidney Transplantation

The incidence of adverse events was determined in two randomized Phase III comparative kidney transplant studies involving 508 patients receiving Prograf and 352 patients receiving cyclosporine. Adverse events that occurred in $\geq 15\%$ of Prograf-treated patients (combined study results) are presented below for the two controlled trials in kidney transplantation:

Table 2: Kidney transplantation: Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in ≥ 15% of Prograf-treated Patients

Body System	U.S. STUDY		EUROPEAN STUDY	
	Prograf N=205 %	CBIR** N=207 %	Prograf N=303 %	CBIR** N=145 %
Nervous System				
Tremor *	54	34	35	12
Headache *	44	38	21	14
Insomnia	32	30	24	26
Gastrointestinal				
Diarrhea	44	41	22	10
Nausea	38	36	17	16
Constipation	35	43	31	35
Vomiting	29	23	13	8
Dyspepsia	28	20	16	13
Cardiovascular				
Hypertension *	50	52	37	39
Urogenital				
Creatinine increased *	45	42	35	21
Metabolic and Nutritional				
Hypophosphatemia	49	53	3	5
Hypomagnesemia	34	17	4	1
Hyperkalemia *	31	32	21	16
Diabetes mellitus *	24	9	12	2
Hyperglycemia *	22	16	16	7
Hemic and Lymphatic				
Anemia	30	24	18	17
Leukopenia	15	17	17	15
Body as a Whole				
Infection	45	49	76	75
Peripheral edema	36	48	16	16
Asthenia	34	30	7	4
Abdominal pain	33	31	27	23
Pain	32	30	21	23
Fever	29	29	8	9
Respiratory System				
Dyspnea	22	18	12	11
Musculoskeletal				
Arthralgia	25	24	9	10

*See Warnings and Precautions ** Cyclosporine-based immunosuppressive regimen.

Tacrolimus has been studied in combination with azathioprine and steroids (triple therapy) in recipients of kidney transplants. In a Phase II European trial, tacrolimus triple therapy was administered to 31 adults receiving deceased donor kidney transplants. Within six weeks post-transplant, there were no deaths or graft losses. Six patients (19.4%) experienced acute rejection, with one patient experiencing corticosteroid-resistant rejection. Three patients (9.7%) developed transient hyperglycemia, but no patient required long-term therapy for diabetes. Other adverse events reported frequently included infections (51.6%), minor neurological disorders (54.8%), and hypertension (48.8%) (Transpl Int 1995;8:86-90.). The University of Pittsburgh has studied double therapy (tacrolimus and steroids) compared to triple therapy in 204 adult recipients of kidney transplants between August 1991 and October 1992. (Clin Transplantation 1994;8:508-515). The one year actuarial patient and graft survival of double therapy were 95 and 90% versus 91 and 82% for triple therapy (p=NS). The incidence of rejection was significantly lower with triple therapy in deceased donor recipients (39% versus 58%) but not significantly different in recipients from living related donors. New onset diabetes was seen in 20.2% of double therapy patients versus 7.7% of triple therapy patients. A U.S. Phase II trial studied 92 adult recipients of deceased donor kidney transplants randomized to three target whole blood concentration ranges of tacrolimus. All patients received antilymphoblast globulin induction with azathioprine and steroids followed by tacrolimus triple therapy initiated within 2 weeks post-transplant. With follow-up to six weeks post-transplant, there were no patient deaths, and one graft loss. The incidence of rejection was 14% combining all tacrolimus treatment groups. Adverse events requiring dose reduction were significantly associated with target tacrolimus blood concentrations (36%-62%).

Data on the safety and efficacy of tacrolimus in combination with immunosuppressants other than steroids in liver transplant patients is more limited. In the European multicentre liver transplant study, many patients received azathioprine or ATG/ALG when tacrolimus therapy was withheld. Seven patients received azathioprine in combination with tacrolimus and steroids. Of these 7 patients, one died and one lost their graft in the first year post-transplant.

Liver Transplantation

The incidence of adverse events reported in two randomized comparative liver transplant trials was determined in 514 patients receiving tacrolimus and steroids and 515 patients receiving a cyclosporine-based regimen (CBIR). The proportion of patients reporting more than one adverse event was 99.8% in the tacrolimus group and 99.6% in the CBIR group. Precautions must be taken when comparing the incidence of adverse events in the U.S. study to that in the European Study. The 12 month post-transplant information from the U.S. study and from the European study is presented below. The two studies included different patient populations and patients were treated with immunosuppressive regimens of differing intensities. Adverse events reported in $\geq 15\%$ of tacrolimus patients (combined study results) are presented below for the two controlled trials in liver transplantation.

Table 3: Liver Transplantation: Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in $\geq 15\%$ of Prograf-treated Patients

Body System	U.S. STUDY		EUROPEAN STUDY	
	Prograf N=250 %	CBIR* N=250 %	Prograf N=264 %	CBIR* N=265 %
Nervous System				
Headache	64	60	37	26
Tremor	56	46	48	32
Insomnia	64	68	32	23
Paresthesia	40	30	17	17
Gastrointestinal				
Diarrhea	72	47	37	27
Nausea	46	37	32	27
Constipation	24	27	23	21
LFT Abnormal	36	30	6	5
Anorexia	34	24	7	5
Vomiting	27	15	14	11
Cardiovascular				
Hypertension	47	56	38	43
Urogenital				
Kidney Function Abnormal	40	27	36	23
Creatinine Increased	39	25	24	19
Hyperkalemia	45	26	13	9
Hypokalemia	29	34	13	16
BUN Increased	30	22	12	9
Urinary Tract Infection	16	18	21	19
Oliguria	18	15	19	12
Metabolic and Nutritional				
Hyperglycemia	47	38	33	22
Hypomagnesemia	48	45	16	9
Peripheral Edema	26	26	12	14
Hemic and Lymphatic				
Anemia	47	38	5	1
Leukocytosis	32	26	8	8
Thrombocytopenia	24	20	14	19
Body as a Whole				
Abdominal pain	59	54	29	22
Pain	63	57	24	22
Fever	48	56	19	22
Asthenia	52	48	11	7
Back Pain	30	29	17	17
Ascites	27	22	7	8
Respiratory System				
Pleural Effusion	30	32	36	35
Atelectasis	28	30	5	4
Dyspnea	29	23	5	4
Skin and Appendages				
Pruritus	36	20	15	7
Rash	24	19	10	4

* Cyclosporine-based immunosuppressive regimen.

Heart Transplantation

The more common adverse reactions in Prograf-treated heart transplant recipients were kidney function abnormal, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, CMV infection, tremor, hyperglycemia, leukopenia, infection, and hyperlipemia.

Adverse events in heart transplant patients in the European trial are presented below:

Table 4: Heart Transplantation: Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events Occurring in $\geq 15\%$ of Prograf-Treated Patients

COSTART Body System COSTART Term	Prograf N=157 %	CBIR N=157 %
Cardiovascular System		
Hypertension (see Precautions)	62	69
Pericardial effusion	15	14
Body as a Whole		
CMV Infection	32	30
Infection	24	21
Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders		
Hyperlipemia	18	27
Diabetes Mellitus (see Warnings)	26	16
Hyperglycemia (see Warnings)	23	17
Hemic and Lymphatic System		
Leukopenia	48	39
Anemia	50	36
Urogenital System		
Kidney Function Abnormal (see Warnings)	56	57
Urinary Tract Infection	16	12
Respiratory System		
Bronchitis	17	18
Nervous System		
Tremor (see Warnings)	15	6

The incidence of hyperlipidemia or hypercholesterolemia as an adverse event at any time during the 18 month study was significantly lower in the tacrolimus group (45/157, 28.7%) than in the cyclosporine group (63/157, 40.1%) ($p = 0.043$, Fisher's exact test).

In the US study, mean serum creatinine levels at 1 year post-transplant were significantly lower in the tacrolimus/MMF group compared with those in either the cyclosporine/MMF group ($p = 0.002$, one-way ANOVA) or the tacrolimus/sirolimus group ($p = 0.020$, one-way ANOVA).

Rheumatoid Arthritis

In a long-term study of rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving Prograf treatment, the adverse events seen in this patient population were similar in nature to those previously reported for patients receiving liver or kidney transplants. In this study, as well as two other studies, the incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events seen in the rheumatoid arthritis patient, has a lower incidence of occurrence than seen in the transplant patient.

A summary of treatment-emergent adverse events experienced by at least 5% of patients in any treatment group is presented in the following tables.

Table 5: Summary of Common Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (≥ 5%) in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Body System	Phase II Study FK506RA-001			
	Placebo N=71 %	Prograf 1 mg N=69 %	Prograf 3 mg N=64 %	Prograf 5 mg N=64 %
Body as a Whole				
Flu Syndrome	19.7	26.1	20.3	15.6
Accidental Injury	1.4	10.1	3.1	7.8
Abdominal Pain	4.2	7.2	9.4	9.4
Asthenia	4.2	2.9	4.7	6.3
Allergic Reaction	2.8	5.8	6.3	1.6
Infection	2.8	1.4	6.3	1.6
Digestive System				
Diarrhea	11.3	11.6	15.6	28.1
Nausea	5.6	15.9	18.8	14.1
Dyspepsia	7.0	17.4	20.3	9.4
Vomiting	1.4	7.2	6.3	6.3
Gastroenteritis	1.4	4.3	7.8	7.8
Nervous System				
Headache	11.3	10.1	20.3	15.6
Tremor	0	4.3	3.1	21.9
Paresthesia	1.4	2.9	3.1	9.4
Anxiety	1.4	1.4	1.6	10.9
Cardiovascular				
Hypertension	4.2	5.8	3.1	4.7
Migraine	2.8	1.4	6.3	3.1
Vasodilatation	0	2.9	1.6	6.3
Respiratory System				
Pharyngitis	2.8	10.1	3.1	3.1
Sinusitis	0	4.3	7.8	3.1
Dyspnea	0	5.8	0	1.6
Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders				
Creatinine Increased	0	2.9	3.1	6.3
Musculoskeletal System				
Arthralgia	5.6	5.8	4.7	4.7
Urogenital System				
Urinary Tract Infection	1.4	0	12.5	9.4

Table 6: Phase III Studies: Summary of Common Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (≥ 5%) in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Body System	Study 98-0-049			Study 98-0-51
	Placebo N=157 %	Prograf 2 mg N=154 %	Prograf 3 mg N=153 %	Prograf 3 mg N=896 %
Body as a Whole				
Flu Syndrome	16.6	16.2	16.3	26.2
Accidental Injury	5.1	7.8	6.5	8.7
Abdominal Pain	4.5	6.5	7.8	13.5
Asthenia	3.2	4.5	8.5	8.5
Back Pain	2.5	3.2	4.6	6.4
Insomnia	5.1	3.9	2.6	4.2
Digestive System				
Diarrhea	5.1	13.0	13.7	19.9
Nausea	6.4	11.7	10.5	14.6
Dyspepsia	3.2	11.0	6.5	13.1
Vomiting	1.3	2.6	5.2	6.6
Nervous System				
Headache	8.9	8.4	9.2	15.1
Dizziness	3.8	4.5	7.2	7.1
Tremor	1.9	4.5	8.5	10.5
Cardiovascular				
Hypertension	4.5	5.8	7.8	8.5
Respiratory System				
Pharyngitis	2.5	6.5	2.0	5.5
Sinusitis	3.2	4.5	3.9	6.0
Skin and Appendages				
Rash	6.4	7.1	3.3	6.8
Metabolic and Nutritional Disorders				
Creatinine Increased	1.9	1.9	6.5	6.7
Musculoskeletal System				
Cramps	0	2.6	5.2	5.6
Urogenital System				
Urinary Tract Infection	2.5	3.2	4.6	5.9

The overall incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events for any treatment group for the three studies (RA-001, 049, and 051) ranged from 72.0% to 90.6%. In the placebo-controlled studies (RA-001 and 049), the overall incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events for the tacrolimus-treated groups was significantly different from placebo. In the tacrolimus-treated groups, the most common adverse events seen across the three studies were flu syndrome, diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain, dyspepsia, and tremor.

In the case of gastrointestinal events, the incidence of diarrhea in the tacrolimus-treated groups in the three studies varied from 13.0% to 28.1%, with incidence increasing with dose. Tacrolimus 5 mg/day in the RA-001 study elicited the highest incidence of diarrhea (28.1%); the next highest incidence of diarrhea was 19.9% in the 3 mg/day group in the 051 study. The incidences of diarrhea in the tacrolimus 5 mg/day group in the RA-001 study, and in the 2 mg and 3 mg groups in the 049 study were significantly different from placebo. Nausea was seen in the tacrolimus-treated groups with incidences of 10.5% to 18.8%. Only the incidence of nausea in the tacrolimus 3 mg/day group in the RA-001 study was significantly different from placebo, and the incidence did not increase with an increasing dose. Dyspepsia was observed in the tacrolimus-treated groups with incidences of 6.5% to 20.3%. In the three studies, the incidence of dyspepsia in patients taking 3 mg tacrolimus/day were 6.5% (049), 13.1% (051), and 20.3% (RA-001). The incidences of dyspepsia in the 2 mg tacrolimus/day group in the 049 study and in the tacrolimus 3 mg/day group in the RA-001 study were significantly different from placebo. No increase in incidence was seen with increasing dose in any study. Abdominal pain was reported in the tacrolimus-treated groups with incidences of 6.5% to 13.5%. There was no increase in incidence with increasing doses, and there was no significant difference from placebo in either placebo-controlled study.

The incidence of vasodilatation in the tacrolimus-treated groups varied from 1.6% to 6.3%. There was an increased incidence of vasodilatation with higher doses of tacrolimus. The incidences of vasodilatation in the tacrolimus 3 mg/day group in the 049 study and in the tacrolimus 5 mg/day group in the RA-001 study were significantly different from placebo.

Tremor occurred in the tacrolimus-treated groups with incidences of 3.1% to 21.9%. The incidence of tremor increased with an increasing dose, and in the tacrolimus 5 mg/day group in the RA-001 study, the incidence of tremor (21.9%) was more than twice the incidence of tremor seen with tacrolimus 3 mg/day in any of the three studies. The incidences of tremor in the tacrolimus 3 mg/day group in the 049 study and in the tacrolimus 5 mg/day group in the RA-001 study were significantly different from placebo. Paresthesia was seen in the tacrolimus-treated groups with incidences of 2.6% to 9.4%. The incidence of paresthesia increased with increasing dose, and in the tacrolimus 5 mg/day group in the RA-001 study, the incidence of paresthesia (9.4%) was more than twice the incidence of tremor seen with tacrolimus 3 mg/day in any of the three studies. The incidence of paresthesia in the tacrolimus 5 mg/day group in the RA-001 study was significantly different from placebo.

The incidence of urinary tract infections in the tacrolimus-treated groups varied from 3.2% to 12.5%. The incidence of urinary tract infection in the tacrolimus 3 mg/day group in the RA-001 study was significantly different from placebo; however, the incidence did not increase with increasing doses. The incidence of flu-like syndrome in the tacrolimus-treated groups ranged from 15.6% to 26.2%. There was no increase in incidence with larger doses, and no difference from placebo in any tacrolimus-treated group. The incidence of other infections was between 1.6% and 3.3% in the tacrolimus-treated groups. Increasing dose did not influence the incidence of infection, and there was no difference seen from placebo.

Comparisons of patient subpopulations were performed on data from patients in the 051 study, all of whom received tacrolimus 3 mg/day. In general, the incidence of adverse events was similar in patients < 65 years of age and ≥ 65 years of age, in patients with and without hypertension, in patients with and without hyperlipidemia, and in patients with and without diabetes.

A total of 213 patients (23.8%) were at least 65 years of age at study entry. The overall incidence of adverse events for patients ≥ 65 years of age (86.9%) was similar to that for patients < 65 years of age (88.7%). There were no notable differences between patients ≥ 65 years of age and those < 65 years of age for the incidence of any specific adverse events. The more common adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients ≥ 65 years of age were flu syndrome (18.3%), diarrhea (16.9%), tremor (15.0%), nausea (13.6%), headache (12.7%), accidental injury (12.2%), hypertension (12.2%), dyspepsia (11.7%), and abdominal pain (11.3%). For patients < 65 years of age, the more common adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients were flu syndrome (28.7%), diarrhea (20.8%), headache (15.8%), nausea (14.9%), abdominal pain (14.2%), and dyspepsia (13.5%). The incidences of tremor, accidental injury, and hypertension among these patients were 9.1%, 7.6%, and 7.3%, respectively.

Three hundred fifty patients (39.1%) had a history of hypertension at the time they entered the study. The overall incidence of adverse events for patients with a history of hypertension (91.1%) was similar to that for patients without a history of hypertension (86.4%). Among adverse events reported for at least 5% of patients with a history of hypertension, the incidences of bronchitis (6.9%) and peripheral edema (6.0%) were more than twice the incidences (3.1% and 2.4%, respectively) reported for patients without a history of hypertension. The more common adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients with a history of hypertension were flu syndrome (26.9%), diarrhea (18.3%), nausea (15.7%), headache (13.4%), dyspepsia (13.1%), tremor (13.1%), abdominal pain (13.1%), and hypertension (11.7%). For patients without a history of hypertension, the more common adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients were flu syndrome (25.8%), diarrhea (20.9%), headache (16.1%), nausea (13.9%), abdominal pain (13.7%), and dyspepsia (13.0%). The incidences of tremor and hypertension among these patients were 8.8% and 6.4%, respectively.

A total of 271 patients (30.2%) had a history of hyperlipidemia at the time they entered the study. The overall incidence of adverse events for patients with a history of hyperlipidemia (92.6%) was similar to that for patients without a history of hyperlipidemia (86.4%). There were no notable differences between patients with a history of hyperlipidemia and those without a history of hyperlipidemia for the incidence of any specific adverse events. The more common adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients with a history of hyperlipidemia were flu syndrome (26.2%), diarrhea (18.1%), nausea (15.9%), dyspepsia (14.0%), headache (12.9%), tremor (12.2%), abdominal pain (11.8%), and asthenia (10.3%). For patients without a history of hyperlipidemia, the more common adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients were flu syndrome (26.2%), diarrhea (20.6%), headache (16.0%), abdominal pain (14.2%), nausea (14.1%), and dyspepsia (12.6%). The incidences of tremor and asthenia among these patients were 9.8% and 7.7%, respectively. Hypercholesterolemia and hyperlipemia were reported as adverse events in 3.0% and 2.2%, respectively, of patients with a history of hyperlipidemia, and in 1.4% and 1.0%, respectively, of patients without a history of hyperlipidemia.

Seventy-five patients (8.4%) had a history of diabetes at the time of study entry. The overall incidence of adverse events for patients with a history of diabetes (89.3%) was similar to that for patients without a history of diabetes (88.2%). Among adverse events reported for at least 5% of patients with a history of diabetes, the incidences of urinary tract infection (13.3%), hyperglycemia (9.3%), and infection (8.0%) were more than twice the incidences (5.2%, 1.8%, and 2.9%, respectively) reported for patients without a history of diabetes, and the incidence of headache (6.7%) in patients with a history of diabetes was less than half the incidence (15.8%) reported for patients without a history of diabetes. The more common adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients with a history of diabetes were flu syndrome (26.7%), diarrhea (18.7%), tremor (17.3%), dyspepsia (16.0%), urinary tract infection (13.3%), nausea (13.3%), and hypertension (12.0%). The incidences of headache and abdominal pain among these patients were 6.7% and 8.0% respectively. For patients without a history of diabetes, the more common adverse events occurring in at least 10% of patients were flu syndrome (26.2%), diarrhea (20.0%), headache (15.8%), nausea (14.7%), abdominal pain (14.0%), and dyspepsia (12.8%). The incidences of tremor and urinary tract infection among these patients were 9.9% and 5.2%, respectively.

In some Rheumatoid Arthritis patients, an increase in serum creatinine levels has been detected. In the long-term safety study (98-0-051), in which patients were treated with Prograf for up to 18 months, 65.5% of all patients who had increases in serum creatinine $\geq 30\%$ to $< 40\%$ above baseline had levels return to baseline during the study. For the remaining patients, creatinine levels either did not return to baseline or no documentation of follow-up levels was available. Patients with increases in serum creatinine levels $\geq 40\%$ above baseline, had their levels return to baseline in 56.3% of all patients. These included patients who continued study drug therapy and patients who discontinued study drug therapy during the recovery period. For those patients whose creatinine levels returned to baseline, the median time to return to baseline creatinine levels was 40.5 days for patients with $\geq 30\%$ to $< 40\%$ increase from baseline and 32.0 days for patients with $\geq 40\%$ increases from baseline.

In Study FK506RA-001, patients who experienced an increase from baseline in serum creatinine levels of $\geq 30\%$ and $< 40\%$, 50% of the patients in the placebo group, 80% of patients in the 1 mg Prograf treatment group, 89% in the 3 mg Prograf treatment group and 78% of patients in the 5 mg Prograf treatment group experienced a return to baseline serum creatinine levels within 56 days for placebo-treated patients, 33 days for patients treated with 1 mg Prograf, 29 days for those treated with 3 mg and 57 days for those treated with 5 mg.

In those patients experiencing a serum creatinine increase of $\geq 40\%$ above baseline, 50% of placebo-treated patients, 20% of the 1 mg treated patients, 75% of the patients treated with 3 mg Prograf and 31% of patients treated with 5 mg Prograf experienced a subsequent return to baseline creatinine levels. The duration of time for serum creatinine levels to return to baseline for this patient population occurred sooner than those patients experiencing a serum creatinine increase of $\geq 30\%$ and $< 40\%$. Patients treated with placebo demonstrated a return to baseline of serum creatinine levels within 28 days, an average of 6 days for patients treated with 1 mg, 20 days for those treated with 3 mg and 38 days for those treated with 5 mg. There were however, eight of nine patients with elevated creatinine levels ($> 40\%$) who discontinued the

study. These patients had creatinine values return to below a 40% increase from baseline and within normal limits (0.7-1.4 mg/dL) post discontinuation, with one patient lost to follow-up.

In study 98-0-049, of those patients who experienced an increase from baseline in creatinine of $\geq 30\%$ to $< 40\%$, 63.6% of these patients in the placebo treatment group, 50.0% of patients in the 2 mg Prograf treatment group, and 77.8% of patients in the 3 mg Prograf treatment group, experienced a documented subsequent return to baseline creatinine values, within 36 days for placebo-treated patients, 43 days for 2 mg treated patients and 41 days for 3 mg patients treated with Prograf. For those patients with a $\geq 40\%$ increase from baseline, 33.3% of patients in the placebo treatment group, 53.3% of patients in the 2 mg Prograf treatment group, and 45.5% of patients in the 3 mg Prograf treatment group experienced a documented subsequent return to baseline creatinine values. Serum creatinine levels in this patient population returned to baseline levels sooner than patients who experienced an increase from baseline of $\geq 30\%$ to $< 40\%$. Patients with a serum creatinine increase $> 40\%$ demonstrated a return to baseline at 20 days for placebo-treated patients, 33 days for patients treated with 2 mg and 38 days for those patients treated with 3 mg Prograf per day. The remaining patients either had creatinine levels that did not return to baseline during the follow-up period or were not monitored for return to baseline values.

For 88.5% (139/157) of placebo-treated patients, 87.0% (134/154) of patients treated with 2 mg/day Prograf and 86.3% (132/153) of patients treated with 3 mg/day Prograf, creatinine levels were within the normal range at baseline, and remained within the normal range throughout the study. In total, four patients – all treated with 3 mg Prograf – discontinued treatment as a result of a reported adverse event of increased serum creatinine.

Table 7: Number of Patients with at Least a 30% Baseline Increase in Serum Creatinine that Returned to Baseline

Evaluated Study Groups	Increase in Serum Creatinine Levels Above Baseline	
	$\geq 30\%$ to $< 40\%$ ††	$\geq 40\%$ ††
Study 98-0-051		
Combined <i>De Novo</i> † (n=685)	46/78 (59.0%)	90/177 (50.8%)
2 mg‡ (n=103)	8/11 (72.7%)	20/37 (54.1%)
3 mg* (n=108)	20/24 (83.3%)	37/47 (78.7%)
Total (n=896)	74/113 (65.5%)	147/261 (56.3%)
Study FK506RA-001		
Placebo (n=71)	1/2 (50%)	2/4 (50%)
1 mg (n=69)	4/5 (80%)	1/5 (20%)
3 mg (n=64)	8/9 (88.9%)	9/12 (75%)
5 mg (n=64)	7/9 (77.8%)	4/13 (30.8%)

Table 7: Number of Patients with at Least a 30% Baseline Increase in Serum Creatinine that Returned to Baseline

Evaluated Study Groups	Increase in Serum Creatinine Levels Above Baseline	
	≥ 30% to < 40%††	≥ 40%††
Study 98-0-049		
Placebo (n=157)	7/11 (63.6%)	5/15 (33.3%)
2 mg (n=154)	4/8 (50%)	16/30 (53.3%)
3 mg (n=153)	7/9 (77.8%)	20/44 (45.5%)

Patient base: Full analysis set; all patients who received at least one dose of the study drug in study 98-0-051.

†All *de novo* patients for study 98-0-051, all patients from study FK506RA-001, and all placebo rollover patients from study 98-0-049. ‡All 2 mg tacrolimus rollover patients from study 98-0-049. †† Percent increase from baseline during treatment. A patient could have been represented in both percentage increase groups if their creatinine increased, returned to baseline levels, and subsequently increased into the other percentage increase group. *All 3 mg tacrolimus rollover patients from study 98-0-049.

Less Common Clinical Trial Adverse Drug Reactions

The following adverse events were reported in either liver, kidney, and/or heart transplant recipients who were treated with tacrolimus in clinical trials.

Nervous System: (See Warnings and Precautions) abnormal dreams, agitation, amnesia, anxiety, confusion, crying, convulsion, depression, dizziness, elevated mood, emotional lability, encephalopathy, hemorrhagic stroke, hallucinations, hypertonia, incoordination, monoparesis, myoclonus, nerve compression, nervousness, neuralgia, neuropathy, paralysis flaccid, paresthesia, psychomotor skills impaired, psychosis, quadriparesis, somnolence, thinking abnormal, vertigo, writing impaired;

Special Senses: abnormal vision, amblyopia, ear pain, otitis media, tinnitus;

Gastrointestinal: anorexia, cholangitis, cholestatic jaundice, dyspepsia, duodenitis, dysphagia, esophagitis, flatulence, gastritis, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, gastroesophagitis, GGT increase, GI disorder, GI perforation, granulomatous liver disease, hepatitis, ileus, increased appetite, jaundice, liver damage, liver function test abnormal, esophagitis ulcerative, oral moniliasis, pancreatic pseudocyst, rectal disorder, stomatitis;

Cardiovascular: abnormal ECG, angina pectoris, arrhythmia, atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, bradycardia, cardiac fibrillation, cardiopulmonary failure, cardiovascular disorder, chest pain, congestive heart failure, deep thrombophlebitis, echocardiogram abnormal, electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal, electrocardiogram ST segment abnormal, heart rate decreased, heart failure, hemorrhage, hypotension, postural hypotension, peripheral vascular disorder, phlebitis, syncope, tachycardia, thrombosis, vasodilatation;

Urogenital: (See Warnings and Precautions) acute kidney failure, albuminuria, BK nephropathy, bladder spasms, cystitis, dysuria, hematuria, hydronephrosis, kidney failure, kidney tubular necrosis, nocturia, oliguria, pyuria, toxic nephropathy, urge incontinence, urinary frequency, urinary tract infection, urinary incontinence, urinary retention, vaginitis;

Metabolic/Nutritional: acidosis, alkaline phosphatase increased, alkalosis, AST (SGOT) increased, ALT (SGPT) increased, bicarbonate decreased, bilirubinemia, BUN increased, dehydration, edema, GGT increased, gout, healing abnormal, hypercalcemia, hypercholesterolemia, hyperlipemia, hypertriglyceridemia, hyperphosphatemia, hyperuricemia, hypocalcemia, hypovolemia, hypoglycemia, hypokalemia, hypophosphatemia, hyponatremia, hypoproteinemia, lactic dehydrogenase increase, weight gain;

Endocrine System: (See Warnings and Precautions) diabetes mellitus, Cushing's Syndrome;

Hemic/Lymphatic: coagulation disorder, ecchymosis, febrile neutropenia, hematocrit increased, hemoglobin abnormal, hypochromic anemia, leukopenia, prothrombin decreased, leukocytosis, polycythemia, serum iron decreased, thrombocytopenia;

Body as a Whole: abdomen enlarged, abscess, accidental injury, allergic reaction, back pain, cellulitis, chills, fall, feeling abnormal, flu syndrome, generalized edema, hernia, mobility decreased, peritonitis, photosensitivity reaction, sepsis, temperature intolerance, ulcer, pain;

Musculoskeletal: arthralgia, muscle spasms, generalized spasm, joint disorder, leg cramps, myalgia, myasthenia, osteoporosis, pain in extremity including Calcineurin-Inhibitor Induced Pain Syndrome (CIPS);

Respiratory System: asthma, bronchitis, cough increased, emphysema, hiccups, lung disorder, lung function decreased, pharyngitis, pneumothorax, pneumonia, pulmonary edema, respiratory disorder, rhinitis, sinusitis, voice alteration;

Skin & Appendages: acne, alopecia, exfoliative dermatitis, fungal dermatitis, herpes simplex, herpes zoster, hirsutism, pruritus, rash, neoplasm skin benign, skin discoloration, skin disorder, skin ulcer, sweating.

The following nervous system adverse events were also reported at a frequency (< 3%): acute brain syndrome (0.2%), coma (2.1%), delirium (1.2%), dysarthria (0.4%), dystonia (0.4%), encephalopathy (2.5%), flaccid paralysis (0.4%), hemiplegia (0.8%), nystagmus (0.8%), paralysis (0.4%) and stupor (0.2%).

Abnormal Hematologic and Clinical Chemistry Findings

Refer to Warnings and Precautions (Hepatic, Renal, and Monitoring and Laboratory Tests).

Post-Market Adverse Drug Reactions

The following adverse events have been reported from worldwide marketing experience with Prograf. Because these events are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, are associated with concomitant diseases and multiple drug therapies and surgical procedures, it is not always possible to reliably estimate their frequency or establish a causal relationship to drug exposure. Decisions to include these events in labeling are typically based on one or more of the following factors: (1) seriousness of the event, (2) frequency of the reporting, or (3) strength of causal connection to the drug:

Cardiovascular: cardiac arrhythmia, cardiac arrest, electrocardiogram T wave abnormal, flushing, myocardial infarction, myocardial ischemia, QT prolongation with or without Torsade de Pointes, venous thrombosis deep limb, ventricular extrasystoles, ventricular fibrillation;

Gastrointestinal: bile duct stenosis, colitis, enterocolitis, gastroesophageal reflux disease, hepatic cytolysis, hepatic necrosis, hepatocellular injury, hepatotoxicity, impaired gastric emptying, liver fatty, mouth ulceration, pancreatitis hemorrhagic, pancreatitis necrotizing, stomach ulcer, venoocclusive liver disease;

Hemic/Lymphatic: agranulocytosis, disseminated intravascular coagulation, febrile neutropenia, hemolytic anemia, neutropenia, pancytopenia, pure red cell aplasia, thrombocytopenic purpura, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura;

Metabolic/Nutritional: glycosuria, amylase increased, weight decreased;

Miscellaneous: feeling of body temperature change, feeling jittery, hot flushes, multi-organ failure, primary graft dysfunction;

Nervous system: brachial plexopathy, carpal tunnel syndrome, cerebral infarction, hemiparesis, leukoencephalopathy, mental disorder, mutism, peripheral nerve lesion, posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES), progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), quadriplegia, speech disorder, polyneuropathy, neuropathy peripheral, peripheral sensory neuropathy, mononeuropathy multiplex;

Respiratory: acute respiratory distress syndrome, interstitial lung disease (predominantly in rheumatoid arthritis), lung infiltration, respiratory distress, respiratory failure;

Skin: Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis;

Special Senses: blindness, blindness cortical, hearing loss including deafness, optic neuropathy, photophobia;

Urogenital: acute renal failure, cystitis hemorrhagic, hemolytic-uremic syndrome, micturition disorder.

There have been rare spontaneous reports of myocardial hypertrophy associated with clinically manifested ventricular dysfunction in patients receiving Prograf therapy (see Warnings and Precautions).

DRUG INTERACTIONS

Overview

Tacrolimus is extensively metabolized by the mixed-function oxidase system, primarily the cytochrome P450 system (CYP3A). Prograf does not induce or inhibit CYP3A4 or any other major CYP isoenzymes. Tacrolimus dose reductions and prolongation of dosing interval may be required when co-administered with strong CYP3A4 inhibitors, particularly telaprevir and boceprevir (Refer to Table 8). Close monitoring of tacrolimus blood levels, renal function and other side effects (including ECG monitoring for QT prolongation) is strongly recommended when administered with strong CYP3A4 inhibitors.

Drug-Drug Interactions

Drug Interactions Potentially Affecting Renal Function

Due to the potential for additive or synergistic impairment of renal function, care should be taken when administering Prograf with drugs that may be associated with renal dysfunction. These include, and are not limited to, aminoglycosides, amphotericin B, ganciclovir, acyclovir and cisplatin. NSAIDs may interact with Prograf causing deteriorations in blood pressure (BP) control and serum creatinine levels. The half-life of cyclosporine has been shown to increase when tacrolimus is given simultaneously. Initial clinical experience with Prograf and cyclosporine resulted in additive/synergistic nephrotoxicity when both agents were co-administered. For these reasons, the combined administration of cyclosporine and tacrolimus is not recommended and care should be taken when administering tacrolimus to patients who have previously received cyclosporine. Patients switched from cyclosporine to Prograf should receive the first Prograf dose no sooner than 24 hours after the last cyclosporine dose. Dosing may be further delayed in the presence of elevated cyclosporine levels.

Drug Interactions Potentially Affecting Tacrolimus Blood Concentrations

Since tacrolimus is metabolized mainly by the cytochrome P450 3A enzyme systems, substances known to inhibit these enzymes may decrease the metabolism or increase bioavailability of tacrolimus with resultant increases in whole blood or plasma levels. Drugs known to induce these enzyme systems may result in an increased metabolism of tacrolimus or decreased bioavailability as indicated by decreased whole blood or plasma levels.

Monitoring of blood levels and appropriate dosage adjustments in transplant patients are essential when such drugs (Table 8) are used concomitantly with Prograf.

Concomitant Drug Class: Drug Name	Reference	Effect on Concentration of Prograf	Comment
Antacid: magnesium-aluminium- hydroxide	CT	↑ Prograf	In a single-dose crossover study in healthy volunteers, co-administration of tacrolimus and magnesium-aluminium-hydroxide resulted in a 21% increase in the mean tacrolimus AUC and a 10% decrease in the mean tacrolimus C _{max} relative to tacrolimus administration alone.
Anti-Arrhythmic Agent: amiodarone [†]	T	↑ Prograf	The concomitant use of Prograf with amiodarone may lead to increased levels of tacrolimus and/or a potential pharmacodynamic interaction based on displacement of amiodarone from its plasma protein binding site. [†] When co-administered with amiodarone dose, adjustment may be required in most patients
Azole antifungals: ketoconazole [†]	CT	↑ Prograf	In a study of 24 healthy male volunteers, co-administration of two 2 mg Prograf doses with ketoconazole (400 mg/day) increased the mean AUC _{inf} and C _{max} of tacrolimus by 723% and 250%, respectively. In a study of 6 normal volunteers, a significant increase in tacrolimus oral bioavailability (14 ± 5% vs 30 ± 8%) was observed with concomitant administration of ketoconazole (200 mg), a strong CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein inhibitor. The apparent clearance of oral tacrolimus during ketoconazole administration was significantly decreased compared to tacrolimus alone (0.430+0.129 L/hr/kg vs. 0.148+0.043 L/hr/kg). Overall, clearance of IV tacrolimus was not significantly changed by ketoconazole co-administration, although it was highly variable between patients. [†] When co-administered with ketoconazole, a dose adjustment of tacrolimus is required in most patients.
Azole antifungals, cont'd: clotrimazole fluconazole [†] itraconazole [†] voriconazole [†]	T	↑ Prograf	The concomitant use of Prograf with azole antifungals that are strong or moderate CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein inhibitors (e.g. itraconazole, fluconazole, voriconazole) might lead to an increased Prograf concentration. [†] When co-administered with fluconazole, itraconazole and voriconazole, a dose adjustment of tacrolimus is required in most patients.
Calcium channel blockers: diltiazem nicardipine nifedipine verapamil	T	↑ Prograf	Co-administration of substrates and/or inhibitors of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein with Prograf might increase blood concentrations of tacrolimus.
GI Prokinetic Agents: cisapride* metoclopramide	T	↑ Prograf	Co-administration of Prograf with substrates of CYP3A4 might increase blood concentrations of tacrolimus.

Table 8: Established or Potential Drug-Drug Interactions			
Concomitant Drug Class: Drug Name	Reference	Effect on Concentration of Prograf	Comment
Macrolide antibiotics: erythromycin [†] clarithromycin troleandomycin	T	↑ Prograf	Co-administration of Prograf with substrates and/or inhibitors of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein might increase blood concentrations of tacrolimus. [†] When co-administered with erythromycin, a dose adjustment of tacrolimus is required in most patients.
Proton pump inhibitor: lansoprazole omeprazole	T	↑ Prograf	Lansoprazole and omeprazole (CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 substrate, inhibitor) may potentially inhibit CYP3A4-mediated metabolism of tacrolimus and thereby substantially increase tacrolimus whole blood concentrations, especially in transplant patients who are intermediate or poor CYP2C19 metabolizers, as compared to those patients who are efficient CYP2C19 metabolizers.
Other drugs: bromocriptine cimetidine chloramphenicol cyclosporine danazol ethinyl estradiol methylprednisolone nefazodone	T	↑ Prograf	Co-administration of Prograf with substrates and/or inhibitors of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein might increase blood concentrations of tacrolimus.
Protease inhibitors: boceprevir nelfinavir ritonavir saquinavir telaprevir	CT	↑ Prograf	Interaction studies with drugs used in HIV/HCV therapy have not been conducted. However, care should be exercised when drugs that are metabolized by CYP3A4 (for example, but not limited to boceprevir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir, telaprevir) are administered concomitantly with tacrolimus. In a single dose study in 9 healthy volunteers, coadministration of tacrolimus (0.5 mg single dose) with telaprevir (750 mg TID for 13 days) increased tacrolimus dose-normalized C _{max} by 9.3-fold and AUC by 70-fold. In a single dose study in 12 subjects, coadministration of tacrolimus (0.5 mg single dose) with boceprevir (800 mg three times daily for 11 days) increased tacrolimus C _{max} by 9.9-fold and AUC by 17-fold compared to tacrolimus alone. Based on a clinical study of 5 liver transplant recipients, co-administration of tacrolimus with nelfinavir increased blood concentrations of tacrolimus significantly and, as a result, a reduction in the tacrolimus dose by an average of 16-fold was needed to maintain mean trough tacrolimus blood concentrations of 9.7 ng/mL. Thus, frequent monitoring of tacrolimus blood concentrations and appropriate dosage adjustments are essential when used concomitantly with protease inhibitors.

Table 8: Established or Potential Drug-Drug Interactions

Concomitant Drug Class: Drug Name	Reference	Effect on Concentration of Prograf	Comment
Cytomegalovirus (CMV) antivirals: letermovir	CT	↑ Prograf	Co-administration of Prograf with letermovir may result in clinically relevant increases in the plasma concentrations of Prograf. Monitor blood concentrations and if needed make appropriate dosage adjustments when letermovir is used concomitantly with tacrolimus.
Anticonvulsants: carbamazepine phenobarbital phenytoin [†]	T	↓ Prograf	Co-administration of Prograf with inducers of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein might decrease blood concentrations of tacrolimus. [†] When co-administered with phenytoin, a dose adjustment of tacrolimus is required in most patients.
Anti-Infectives: rifampicin [†]	CT	↓ Prograf	In a study of 28 healthy male volunteers, co-administration of two 5 mg Prograf doses with rifampicin (600 mg/day) decreased mean AUC _{inf} and C _{max} of tacrolimus by 62% and 24%, respectively. In a study of 6 normal volunteers, a significant decrease in tacrolimus oral bioavailability (14 ± 6% vs 7 ± 3%) was observed with concomitant administration of rifampicin (600 mg), a strong CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein inducer. In addition, there was a significant increase in tacrolimus clearance (0.036 ± 0.008 L/hr/kg vs. 0.053 ± 0.010 L/hr/kg) with concomitant rifampicin administration. In a study of 9 normal volunteers, concomitantly administered 10 mL doses of aluminum hydroxide or milk of magnesia antacids did not affect the rate and extent of absorption of orally administered tacrolimus, as indicated by C _{max} , T _{max} and AUC _{0-t} . [†] When co-administered with rifampicin, a dose adjustment of tacrolimus is required in most patients.
Anti-infectives, cont'd: caspofungin rifabutin	T	↓ Prograf	Co-administration of Prograf with inducers of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein might decrease blood concentrations of tacrolimus.
Calcineurin inhibitor: sirolimus	CT	↓ Prograf	Following 14 days co-administration of tacrolimus and sirolimus (2 mg/day or 5 mg/day; a substrate for both CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein) in stable renal transplant patients, tacrolimus AUC and C _{min} decreased approximately 30% relative to tacrolimus alone. Mean tacrolimus AUC ₀₋₁₂ and C _{min} following co-administration of 1 mg/day of sirolimus decreased approximately 3% and 11%, respectively. The safety and efficacy of the use of tacrolimus with sirolimus has not been established.
Herbal preparation: St. John's Wort	T	↓ Prograf	St. John's Wort (<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>) induces CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein. Since tacrolimus is a substrate for CYP3A4, there is the potential that the use of St. John's Wort in patients receiving Prograf could result in reduced tacrolimus levels.

Concomitant Drug Class: Drug Name	Reference	Effect on Concentration of Prograf	Comment
Schisandra sphenanthera extracts	T	↑ Prograf	Co-administration of Prograf with substrates and/or inhibitors of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein might increase blood concentrations of tacrolimus.

*No longer marketed in Canada CT = Clinical Trial T = Theoretical

Lack of Drug Interaction with Prograf

At a given mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) dose, mycophenolic acid (MPA) exposure is higher with Prograf co-administration than with cyclosporine co-administration due to the inhibitory action of cyclosporine on biliary excretion of MPA-glucuronide by MRP-2 and the resulting reduction in enterohepatic recirculation of MPA. As a result, exposure to MPA when mycophenolate mofetil is given in combination with cyclosporine is approximately 30-40% lower than that observed when given alone or with tacrolimus. No effect on enterohepatic MPA-glucuronide recirculation is exerted by tacrolimus; thus, clinicians should be aware that there is a potential for increased MPA exposure after crossover from cyclosporine to tacrolimus in patients concomitantly receiving MMF or mycophenolate sodium (MPS). Conversely, there is a potential for decreased MPA exposure after crossover from tacrolimus to cyclosporine in patients concomitantly receiving MMF or MPS.

Prograf and Vaccinations

Immunosuppressants may affect vaccination. Therefore, during treatment with Prograf, vaccination may be less effective. The use of live vaccines should be avoided; live vaccines may include, but are not limited to: measles, mumps, rubella, oral polio, BCG, yellow fever and TY 21a typhoid.

Drug-Food Interactions

Grapefruit juice affects P450 3A-mediated metabolism and should be avoided.

Drug-Herb Interactions

St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*) induces CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein. Since tacrolimus is a substrate for CYP3A4, there is the potential that the use of St. John's Wort in patients receiving Prograf could result in reduced tacrolimus levels.

Schisandra sphenanthera extracts inhibit CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein and may increase blood concentrations of tacrolimus.

Drug-Laboratory Interactions

Interactions with laboratory tests have not been established.

Drug-Lifestyle Interactions

As with other immunosuppressive agents, owing to the potential risk of malignant skin changes, exposure to sunlight and ultraviolet (UV) light should be limited by wearing protective clothing and using sunscreen with a high protection factor.

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

Dosing Considerations

Due to intersubject variability following dosing with tacrolimus, individualization of the dosing regimen is necessary for optimal therapy.

Additional factors that may impact dosing include pre-existing conditions, such as renal or hepatic impairment, race, pediatric use and the concomitant use of other medications.

Prograf has been used in combination with azathioprine. Prograf has been used in combination with mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) in patients receiving deceased donor kidney transplants and heart transplants. Because of the risk of anaphylaxis, intravenous Prograf should be reserved for patients unable to take Prograf capsules orally.

Medication errors, including inadvertent, unintentional or unsupervised substitution of Prograf (immediate release) or Advagraf (extended-release) tacrolimus formulations, have been observed. This has led to serious adverse events, including graft rejection, or other side effects which could be a consequence of either under- or over-exposure to tacrolimus. Patients should be maintained on a single formulation of tacrolimus with the corresponding daily dosing regimen; alterations in formulation or regimen should only take place under the close supervision of a transplant specialist.

Following conversion to any alternative formulation, therapeutic drug monitoring must be performed and dose adjustments made to ensure that systemic exposure to tacrolimus is maintained.

Recommended Dose and Dosage Adjustment

Prograf (tacrolimus for injection) is for intravenous (IV) use in transplant patients only.

Anaphylactic reactions have occurred with injectables containing castor oil derivatives (see Warnings and Precautions).

In patients unable to take oral Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP), therapy may be initiated with IV Prograf (tacrolimus for injection). The initial dose of Prograf should be administered no sooner than 6 hours after transplantation. The recommended starting dose of Prograf given intravenously is 0.01 mg/kg/day (heart) or 0.03 - 0.05 mg/kg/day (liver, kidney) as a continuous intravenous infusion (see Table 10). Adult patients should receive doses at the lower end of the dosing range. Concomitant adrenal corticosteroid therapy is recommended early post-transplantation.

Continuous intravenous infusion of Prograf (tacrolimus for injection) should be continued until the patient can tolerate oral administration of Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP).

Kidney Transplantation

The recommended starting oral dose of Prograf is 0.2 - 0.3 mg/kg/day administered every 12 hours in two divided doses. The initial dose of Prograf may be administered within 24 hours of transplantation but should be delayed until renal function has recovered (as indicated, for example, by a serum creatinine \leq 4 mg/dL). Black patients may require higher doses to achieve comparable blood levels. Dosage and typical tacrolimus whole blood trough concentrations are shown in the table below; blood concentration details are described under Warnings and Precautions.

Table 9: Recommended Tacrolimus Oral Dosing in Kidney Transplant Patients

Dosage	
Initial Oral Dose	0.2 - 0.3 mg/kg/day
Dosing Regimen	two divided doses, q12h
Typical tacrolimus whole blood trough concentrations	
Month 1-3	7 - 20 ng/mL
Month 4-12	5 - 15 ng/mL

Liver Transplantation

It is recommended that patients be converted from IV to oral Prograf as soon as oral therapy can be tolerated. This usually occurs within 2-3 days. The first dose of oral therapy should be given 8-12 hours after discontinuing the IV infusion. The recommended starting oral dose of Prograf capsules is 0.1-0.15 mg/kg/day administered in two divided daily doses every 12 hours. The initial dose of Prograf should be administered no sooner than 6 hours after transplantation. Adult patients should receive doses at the lower end of the dosing range.

Some centres use lower Prograf doses during maintenance therapy post-transplantation. Dosing should be titrated based on clinical assessment of rejection and tolerability. Adjunct therapy with adrenal corticosteroids is recommended early post-transplant.

Heart Transplantation

The recommended starting oral dose of Prograf is 0.075 mg/kg/day administered every 12 hours in two divided doses. It is recommended that patients initiate oral therapy with Prograf capsules if possible. If IV therapy is necessary, conversion from IV to oral Prograf is recommended as soon as oral therapy can be tolerated. This usually occurs within 2-3 days. The initial dose of Prograf should be administered no sooner than 6 hours after transplantation. In a patient receiving an IV infusion, the first dose of oral therapy should be given 8-12 hours after discontinuing the IV infusion.

Dosing should be titrated based on clinical assessments of rejection and tolerability. Lower Prograf dosages may be sufficient as maintenance therapy. Adjunct therapy with adrenal corticosteroids is recommended early post-transplant.

Rheumatoid Arthritis

The recommended adult oral dose of Prograf is 3 mg, administered once a day. Regular monitoring of Prograf-treated patients for occurrence of adverse events is mandatory.

Patients with Hepatic or Renal Dysfunction

Due to the potential for nephrotoxicity, patients with renal or hepatic impairment should receive doses at the lowest value of the recommended intravenous and oral dosing ranges. Further reductions in dose below these ranges may be required.

Conversion from Cyclosporine to Prograf

Tacrolimus should not be used simultaneously with cyclosporine. Patients converted from cyclosporine to Prograf should receive the first Prograf dose no sooner than 24 hours after the last cyclosporine dose. Dosing may be further delayed in the presence of elevated cyclosporine levels.

Conversion from Prograf to Cyclosporine

Patients converted from Prograf to cyclosporine should receive the first cyclosporine dose no sooner than 24 hours after the last Prograf dose. Dosing may be further delayed in the presence of elevated tacrolimus levels.

Pediatric Patients

Pediatric liver transplantation patients without pre-existing renal or hepatic dysfunction have required and tolerated higher doses than adults to achieve similar blood concentrations. Therefore, it is recommended that therapy be initiated in pediatric patients at a starting IV dose of 0.03-0.05 mg/kg/day and a starting oral dose of 0.15-0.20 mg/kg/day. Dose adjustments may be required. Experience in pediatric kidney and heart transplantation patients is limited.

Race

Although a formal study to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of tacrolimus in Black transplant patients has not been conducted, a retrospective comparison of Black and Caucasian kidney transplant patients indicated that Black patients required higher tacrolimus doses to attain similar trough concentrations.

Missed Dose

Transplant and Rheumatoid Arthritis

If a dose is missed, contact your physician or pharmacist immediately.

Administration

Prograf (tacrolimus for injection) – Intravenous Administration

Patients receiving Prograf intravenously (tacrolimus for injection) should be under continuous observation for at least the first 30 minutes following the start of the infusion and at frequent intervals thereafter. If signs or symptoms of anaphylaxis occur, the intravenous infusion should be stopped. An aqueous solution of epinephrine 1:1000 should be available at the bedside as well as a source of oxygen.

Reconstitution:

Vial Size	Diluent to be Added to Vial	Approximate Available Volume in Ampoule	Nominal Concentration per mL
1 mL (2 mL capacity)	0.9% Sodium Chloride or 5% Dextrose Injection	1 mL	Dilute to between 0.004 mg/mL and 0.02 mg/mL

Prograf (tacrolimus for injection) must be diluted with 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection or 5% Dextrose Injection to a concentration between 0.004 mg/mL and 0.02 mg/mL prior to use. Diluted infusion solution should be stored in glass or polyethylene containers and should be discarded after 24 hours. The diluted infusion solution should not be stored in a PVC container due to poor stability and the potential for extraction of phthalates. In situations where more dilute solutions are utilized (e.g., pediatric dosing, etc.), PVC-free tubing should likewise be used to minimize the potential for significant drug adsorption onto the tubing. Parenteral drug products should be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration, whenever solution and container permit. Due to the chemical instability of tacrolimus in alkaline media, Prograf injection should not be mixed or coinjected with solutions of pH 9 or greater (e.g., ganciclovir or acyclovir). Prograf injection is administered as a continuous intravenous infusion.

Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP) – Oral Administration

Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP) should be administered whole and should not be cut, crushed or chewed. Prograf can be administered with or without food; however, doses should be administered in a consistent manner, with doses spaced evenly throughout the day.

OVERDOSAGE

For management of a suspected drug overdose, please contact your regional Poison Control Centre.

Limited overdose experience is available. Acute overdoses of up to 30 times the intended dose have been reported. All patients recovered with no sequelae. Acute overdose has been followed by adverse reactions consistent with those listed in the Adverse Reactions section, including mild elevations of renal function markers (creatinine), nausea, headache, hyperreflexia, oliguria, hypotension, tremor and elevations in liver enzymes. In one case, transient urticaria and lethargy were observed, and in another case, acute anuric renal insufficiency developed. Based on its high molecular weight, poor aqueous solubility and extensive erythrocyte and plasma protein binding, it is anticipated that tacrolimus is not dialyzable to any significant extent; there is no experience with charcoal hemoperfusion. The oral use of activated charcoal has been reported in treating acute overdoses, but experience has not been sufficient to warrant

recommending its use. General supportive measures and treatment of specific symptoms should be followed in all cases of overdose.

In acute oral and intravenous toxicity studies, mortalities were seen at or above the following doses: in adult rats, 52X the recommended human oral dose; in immature rats, 16X the recommended oral dose, and in adult rats, 16X the recommended human intravenous dose (all based on body surface area corrections).

ACTION AND CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

Mechanism of Action / Pharmacodynamics

Tacrolimus, the active ingredient in Prograf, is a macrolide immunosuppressant produced by *Streptomyces tsukubaensis*.

Tacrolimus prolongs the survival of the host and transplanted graft in animal transplant models of liver, kidney, heart, bone marrow, small bowel and pancreas, lung and trachea, skin, cornea and limb.

Tacrolimus has been demonstrated to suppress some humoral immunity and, to a greater extent, cell-mediated reactions such as allograft rejection, delayed type hypersensitivity, Freund's adjuvant arthritis, experimental allergic encephalomyelitis and graft-versus-host disease in several animal species.

Tacrolimus inhibits T-lymphocyte activation, although the exact mechanism of action is not known. The minimum inhibitory tissue culture level of tacrolimus that prevents antigen stimulation of T-lymphocytes is 0.1 nM - 0.3 nM. Experimental evidence suggests that tacrolimus binds to an intracellular protein, FKBP-12. A complex of tacrolimus-FKBP-12, calcium, calmodulin and calcineurin is then formed and the phosphatase activity of calcineurin inhibited. This effect may prevent the generation of nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NF-AT), a nuclear component thought to initiate the gene transcription for the formation of lymphokines (interleukin-2, gamma interferon). The net result is the inhibition of T-lymphocyte activation (i.e., immunosuppression).

Pharmacokinetics

Tacrolimus activity is primarily due to the parent drug. After oral administration, absorption of tacrolimus into the systemic circulation from the gastrointestinal tract is incomplete and can be variable. Elimination of tacrolimus is via hepatic metabolism with a mean terminal elimination half-life of 18.8 hours in kidney transplant patients, 11.7 hours in liver transplant patients, 23.6 hours in heart transplant patients receiving a single intravenous dose of Prograf and 34.2 hours in healthy volunteers following intravenous administration. In rheumatoid arthritis patients, the administration of a single intravenous and oral dose of Prograf produced a mean terminal elimination half-life of 34.9 and 35.2 hrs respectively.

In transplant patients, the intersubject variability in tacrolimus pharmacokinetics has resulted in the need for the dosing regimen to be individualized. Dosing individualization can be achieved by therapeutic drug monitoring of tacrolimus blood concentrations and evaluation of clinical status (see Dosage and Administration). Pharmacokinetic data indicate that whole blood concentrations rather than plasma concentrations serve as the more appropriate sampling compartment to describe tacrolimus pharmacokinetics.

Absorption

Absorption of tacrolimus from the gastrointestinal tract after oral administration is incomplete and can be variable. Mean (\pm S.D.) pharmacokinetic parameters of tacrolimus in whole blood after oral administration to volunteers in two studies are presented in the following table.

Table 11: Mean (\pm S.D.) Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Tacrolimus in Whole Blood after Oral Administration

Parameter	Bioequivalence Study		Pharmacokinetic Study
Age	19-53 yrs		19-50 yrs
Number	62	59	16
Dose	5 x 1 mg single dose	1 x 5 mg single dose	5 x 1 mg single dose
Absolute Bioavailability (%)	---	---	17.8 \pm 5.0
C _{max} (ng/mL)	25.2 \pm 9.7	26.5 \pm 10.8	29.7 \pm 7.2
T _{max} (hr)	1.2 \pm 0.4	1.4 \pm 0.6	1.6 \pm 0.7
AUC _{0-t} (ng•hr/mL)	196 \pm 93 [†]	209 \pm 97 [†]	243 \pm 73 ^{††}
	†AUC (0-72)		†† AUC (0-120)

The 1 mg and 5 mg dose strengths of tacrolimus capsules are bioequivalent as indicated in the table above.

Bioequivalence Study 0.5 mg Capsule

An open-label, four period, four sequence, randomized crossover study was done to determine the bioequivalence of the 0.5 mg Prograf capsule to the 1 mg Prograf capsule. In two periods of the study, a single dose of 6 x 0.5 mg capsules were consumed by healthy volunteers. In the two other periods of the study, 3 x 1 mg capsules were consumed in a single dose. The pharmaceutical parameters derived from this bioequivalence study are outlined in the table below.

Table 12: Bioequivalence of the 0.5 mg Prograf (tacrolimus) Capsule to the 1 mg Prograf (tacrolimus) Capsule in Healthy Volunteers: From Measured and Log Transformed Data, Uncorrected for Potency, Geometric Mean, Arithmetic Mean (CV %)

Parameter	Test (6 X 0.5 mg capsules)		Reference (3 x 1 mg capsules)		% Ratio of Geometric Means
	A1	A2	B1	B2	
AUC _T (ng•h/mL)	140 ± 52.4	122 ± 40.1	133 ± 53.9	125 ± 46.5	102.6
AUC _I (ng•h/mL)	168 ± 66.3	148 ± 50.4	160 ± 70.9	152 ± 62.1	102.9
C _{max} (ng/mL)	20.3 ± 6.94	18.7 ± 6.55	19.0 ± 6.91	18.7 ± 6.43	103.4
T _{max} *(h)	1.4 ± 0.61	1.3 ± 0.44	1.4 ± 0.51	1.5 ± 0.50	92.5
T _{1/2} *(h)	34.4 ± 9.12	35.4 ± 11.1	32.6 ± 7.86	35.8 ± 9.10	102.2

*expressed as arithmetic mean (CV%) only. A1 and A2 refer to data from two different study periods for test drug. B1 and B2 refer to data from two different study periods for reference drug.

Table 13: Bioequivalence of the 0.5 mg Prograf (tacrolimus) Capsule to the 1 mg Prograf (tacrolimus) Capsule in Healthy Volunteers: Corrected for Potency, Geometric Mean

Parameter	Test	Reference	% Ratio of Geometric Means
AUC _T (ngXh/mL)	121.1 ^a	116.3 ^a	104.2
AUC _I (ngXh/mL)	145.5 ^a	139.2 ^a	104.5
C _{max} (ng/mL)	18.1 ^a	17.3 ^a	105.0

Potency corrections made using potencies of 100.8% for the 0.5 mg capsule and 102.3% for the 1.0 mg capsule.

^aValues calculated using LS Means of log-transformed data.

In 26 kidney transplant patients, peak concentrations (C_{max}) were achieved at approximately 1-3 hours. The absorption half-life of tacrolimus in 17 liver transplant patients averaged 0.6 hours (S.D. 1.0 hour) with peak concentrations (C_{max}) in blood and plasma being achieved at approximately 1.5-3.5 hours. In rheumatoid arthritis patients, peak concentrations (C_{max}) were achieved within 1.3 hours. Mean (± S.D.) pharmacokinetic parameters of tacrolimus in whole blood after initial dose in adult kidney and liver transplant patients and in rheumatoid arthritis patients are presented in the table below:

Table 14: Mean (\pm S.D.) Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Tacrolimus in Whole Blood after Initial Dose in Adult Transplant and Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Population	N	Route(Dose)	Pharmacokinetic Parameters		
			C _{max} (ng/mL)	T _{max} (hr)	AUC (ng·hr/mL)
Kidney Transplant Patients	26	IV (0.02 mg/kg/12hr)	NA	NA	294§ \pm 262
		PO (0.2 mg/kg/day)	19.2 \pm 10.3	3.0	203§ \pm 42
		PO (0.3 mg/kg/day)	24.2 \pm 15.8	1.5	288§ \pm 93
Liver Transplant Patients	17	IV (0.05 mg/kg/12hr)	NA	NA	NA
		PO (0.3 mg/kg/day)	68.5 \pm 30.0	2.3 \pm 1.5	519§ \pm 179
Heart Transplant Patients	11	IV (0.01 mg/kg/day as a continuous infusion)	NA	NA	954¶ \pm 334
	11	PO (0.075 mg/kg/day)	24.9 \pm 7.72	1.0	175†† \pm 49.8
Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients	12	PO (3 X 1 mg single dose)	19.64 \pm 6.32	1.3 \pm 0.58	192.88 \pm 86.42

PO: oral; IV: intravenous; NA: not available. §AUC_{0-inf}; ¶AUC_{0-t}; ††AUC₀₋₁₂

The absolute bioavailability of tacrolimus is approximately 17% in kidney transplant patients, 22% in adult liver transplant patients, 34% in pediatric liver transplant patients, and approximately 25% in rheumatoid arthritis patients. In healthy volunteers, the absolute bioavailability of tacrolimus was found to be approximately 18% (previous table).

Food Effects: The rate and extent of tacrolimus absorption is greatest under fasted conditions. The presence and composition of food decreased both the rate and extent of tacrolimus absorption when administered to healthy volunteers:

Table 15: Food Effects on the Rate and Extent of Tacrolimus Absorption in Healthy Volunteers

Parameter	Fasted (n=15)	High Carbohydrate* (n=15)	High Fat** (n=15)
C _{max} (ng/mL)	25.6 \pm 11.4	9.0 \pm 3.8	5.9 \pm 2.3
T _{max} (hr)	1.4 \pm 0.6	3.2 \pm 1.1	6.5 \pm 3.0
AUC _{0-t} (ng·hr/mL)	233 \pm 121†	168 \pm 59†	147 \pm 56 †

* 668 kcal (4% fat; 85% carbohydrate) ** 848 kcal (46% fat, 39% carbohydrate) † AUC (0-96)

The effect was most pronounced with the high-fat meal: mean area under the curve (AUC₀₋₉₆) and C_{max} were decreased 37% and 77%, respectively; T_{max} was lengthened 5-fold. The high-carbohydrate meal decreased AUC₀₋₉₆ and C_{max} by 28% and 65%, respectively.

The effect of food was also studied in 11 liver transplant patients. Prograf was administered in the fasted state or 15 minutes after a breakfast of known fat content (34% of 400 total calories). The results indicate that the presence of food reduces the absorption of tacrolimus in these patients (decrease in AUC and C_{max} and increase in T_{max}). The relative oral bioavailability (whole blood) was reduced by 27.0 (±18.2)% compared to administration in the fasting state.

In healthy volunteers, the time of the meal also affected tacrolimus bioavailability. Relative to the fasted state, there was little effect on tacrolimus bioavailability when administered one hour prior to a high-fat breakfast, whereas bioavailability (both extent and rate of absorption) was greatly reduced when the drug was administered immediately or 1.5 hours after the meal. When given immediately following the meal, C_{max} was reduced 71%, AUC₀₋₉₆ was reduced by 39%, and T_{max} was delayed 1.6 hours relative to the fasting condition. When administered 1.5 hours following the meal, C_{max} was reduced 63%, AUC₀₋₉₆ was reduced 39%, and T_{max} was delayed 1.4 hours relative to the fasted condition.

In fasted healthy volunteers given a single dose, the absorption of tacrolimus was proportional to dose; see table below.

Table 16: Absorption of Tacrolimus in Fasted Healthy Volunteers

Parameter	Dose		
	3 mg n = 18	7 mg n = 18	10 mg n = 18
C _{max} (ng/mL)	14.5 ± 5.8	31.2 ± 10.1	45.1 ± 15.0
	14.5 ± 5.8*	13.4 ± 4.3*	13.5 ± 4.5*
T _{max} (hr)	1.4 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.4
AUC ₀₋₉₆ (ng•hr/mL)	131 ± 77	303 ± 138	420 ± 166
	131 ± 77*	130 ± 59*	126 ± 50*

*Adjusted to 3 mg dose

Distribution

The apparent volume of distribution (based on whole blood concentrations) of tacrolimus is approximately 1.41, 1.91, 0.85 and 2.37 L/kg in kidney transplant patients, healthy volunteers, adult liver transplant patients and adult rheumatoid arthritis patients, respectively (refer to table below).

Table 17: Volume of Distribution and Clearance in Transplant and Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

Parameter	Volunteers (n=8)	Kidney Transplant Patients (n=26)	Liver Transplant Patients (Adults, n=17)	Heart Transplant Patients (n=11)	Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients (Adults, n=12)
Mean IV Dose	0.025 mg/kg/4 hr	0.02 mg/kg/4 hr	0.05 mg/kg/12 hr	0.01 mg/kg/day as a continuous infusion	0.015 mg/kg/4 hr
V (L/kg)	1.91 ± 0.31	1.41 ± 0.66	0.85 ± 0.3	NA	2.37 ± 0.45
Cl (L/hr/kg)	0.040 ± 0.009	0.083 ± 0.050	0.053 ± 0.017	0.051±0.015	0.049 ± 0.014

NA: not available

The plasma protein binding of tacrolimus is approximately 99% and is independent of concentration over a range of 5-50 ng/mL. Tacrolimus is bound to proteins, mainly albumin and alpha-1-acid glycoprotein, and has a high level of association with erythrocytes. The distribution of tacrolimus between whole blood and plasma depends on several factors, such as hematocrit, temperature at the time of plasma separation, drug concentration, and plasma protein concentration. In a U.S. study, the ratio of whole blood concentration to plasma concentration ranged from 12 to 67 (mean 35).

In 18 kidney transplant patients, tacrolimus trough concentrations from 3 to 30 ng/mL measured at 10-12 hours post dose (C_{min}), correlated well with the AUC_{0-12} (correlation coefficient 0.93). In 24 liver transplant patients over a concentration range of 10 to 60 ng/mL, the correlation coefficient was 0.94. In 25 heart transplant patients, the correlation coefficient was 0.89 after an oral dose of 0.075 or 0.15 mg/kg/day at steady-state.

Metabolism

Tacrolimus is extensively metabolized by the mixed-function oxidase system, primarily the cytochrome P450 enzyme system (CYP3A). A metabolic pathway leading to the formation of 8 possible metabolites has been proposed. Demethylation and hydroxylation were identified as the primary mechanisms of biotransformation *in vitro*. The major metabolite identified in incubations with human liver microsomes is 13-demethyl tacrolimus. In *in vitro* studies, a 31-demethyl metabolite has been reported to have the same activity as tacrolimus; the 13-demethyl, 15-demethyl and 15- and 31- double-demethylated metabolites were shown to retain an activity of less than 10%.

Excretion

The clearance of tacrolimus is 0.040, 0.083, 0.042 and 0.049 L/hr/kg in healthy volunteers, adult kidney transplant patients, adult liver transplant patients, and adult rheumatoid arthritis patients, respectively. In man, less than 1% of the dose administered is excreted unchanged in urine.

Special Populations and Conditions

Pediatrics

A study in liver transplantation has been conducted in sixteen pediatric patients (age range: 0.7-13.2 years). A mean terminal elimination half-life of 11.5 hours was determined following an intravenous dose of 0.037 mg/kg/day in twelve patients; the volume of distribution was 2.6 L/kg, whereas clearance was 0.135 L/hr/kg. In nine patients receiving capsule formulation, a mean C_{max} of 48.4 ng/mL was attained at a mean T_{max} of 2.7 hours following an oral dose of 0.152 mg/kg as Prograf capsules. The AUC (0-72 hr) was 337 ng•hr/mL. The absolute bioavailability was 31%.

Whole blood trough concentrations from 31 pediatric patients (less than 12 years old) showed that pediatric patients need higher doses than adults to achieve similar tacrolimus trough concentrations, suggesting that the pharmacokinetic characteristics of tacrolimus are different in pediatric patients compared to adults (see Dosage and Administration).

Geriatrics

The pharmacokinetics of tacrolimus has not been established in the geriatric population.

Gender

A formal study to evaluate the effect of gender on tacrolimus pharmacokinetics has not been conducted; however, there was no differences noted in dosing by gender in the kidney transplant trial. A retrospective comparison of pharmacokinetics in healthy volunteers, and in kidney and liver transplant patients, indicated no gender-based differences.

Race

A formal study to evaluate the pharmacokinetic disposition of tacrolimus in Black transplant patients has not been conducted; however, a retrospective comparison of Black and Caucasian kidney transplant patients indicated that Black patients required higher tacrolimus doses to attain similar trough concentrations. (See Dosage and Administration.)

Hepatic Insufficiency

Tacrolimus pharmacokinetics have been determined in six patients with mild hepatic dysfunction (mean Pugh score: 6.2) following single intravenous and oral administrations. The pharmacokinetic parameters obtained were as follows:

Table 18: Tacrolimus Pharmacokinetics in Patients with Mild Hepatic Impairment

Parameter (N = 6)	Dose and Route	
	7.7 mg P.O.	1.3 mg IV
Age Range (yrs)	52-63	
Absolute Bioavailability (%)	22.3 ± 11.4	-
C _{max} (ng/mL)	48.2 ± 17.9	-
T _{max} (hr)	1.5 ± 0.6	-
AUC ₀₋₇₂ (ng•hr/mL)	488 ± 320	367 ± 107
V (L/kg)	3.7 ± 4.7*	3.1 ± 1.6
Cl (L/hr/kg)	0.034 ± 0.019*	0.042 ± 0.020
t _{1/2} (hr)	66.1 ± 44.8	60.6 ± 43.8

*Corrected for bioavailability

The disposition of tacrolimus in patients with mild hepatic dysfunction was not substantially different from that in normal volunteers (see previous tables). In general, tacrolimus elimination half-life was longer and volume of distribution larger in patients with mild hepatic dysfunction compared to normal volunteers. The clearance in both populations was similar and since tacrolimus is extensively metabolized at multiple sites, patients with mild hepatic dysfunction may not require lower maintenance doses of tacrolimus than patients with normal hepatic function.

Tacrolimus pharmacokinetics were studied in 6 patients with severe hepatic dysfunction (mean Pugh score: > 10). The mean clearance was substantially lower in patients with severe hepatic dysfunction, irrespective of the route of administration.

Table 19: Tacrolimus Pharmacokinetics in Patients with Severe Hepatic Impairment

Route, N	Dose	AUC ng•hr/mL (0-t)	T _{1/2} (hr)	V (L/kg)	Cl (L/hr/kg)
IV, N=6	0.02 mg/kg/4 hr IV (N=2)	762 (t=120 hr)	198 ± 158 Range: 81-436	-	-
	0.01 mg/kg/8 hr IV (N=4)	289 ± 117 (t=144 hr)		3.9 ± 1.0	0.017 ± 0.013
PO, N=5†	8 mg PO (N=1)	658 (t=120 hr)	119 ± 35 Range: 85-178	3.1 ± 3.4	0.016 ± 0.011
	5 mg PO (N=4)	533 ± 156 (t=144 hr)			
	4 mg PO (N=1)	-			

† 1 patient did not receive the PO dose.

Renal Insufficiency

Tacrolimus pharmacokinetics following a single intravenous administration have been determined in 12 patients (7 not on dialysis and 5 on dialysis) prior to their kidney transplant. The pharmacokinetic parameters obtained are presented in the table below:

Table 20: Tacrolimus Pharmacokinetics in Patients with Renal Insufficiency

Serum Creatinine (mg/dL)	3.9 ± 1.6 (not on dialysis) 12.0 ± 2.4 (on dialysis)
Age range (yrs)	25-65
Route	IV
Dose (mg)	1.17 ± 0.28
AUC ₀₋₆₀ (ng•hr/mL)	393 ± 123
AUC _{0-inf} (ng•hr/mL)	499 ± 155
V (L/kg)	1.07 ± 0.20
Cl (L/hr/kg)	0.038 ± 0.014
t _{1/2} (hr)	26.3 ± 9.2

The disposition of tacrolimus in patients with renal dysfunction was not different from that in normal volunteers (see previous tables). The clearance was similar whereas volume of distribution was smaller and the mean terminal elimination half-life shorter than that of normal volunteers.

STORAGE AND STABILITY

Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP): Store and dispense at controlled room temperature, 15°C - 30°C.

Prograf (tacrolimus for injection): Store in the carton and protect from light. Dispense Prograf ampoules between 15°C and 25°C.

Prograf (tacrolimus for injection) must be diluted to a concentration between 0.004 mg/mL and 0.02 mg/mL with 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection or 5% Dextrose Injection before use. The diluted infusion solution should be stored at 15-25°C in glass or polyethylene containers and should be discarded after 24 hours. The diluted infusion solution should not be stored in a PVC container due to poor stability and the potential for extraction of phthalates. Parenteral drug products should be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration, whenever solution and container permit.

SPECIAL HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS

None required.

DOSAGE FORMS, COMPOSITION AND PACKAGING

Prograf (tacrolimus) is available for oral administration as capsules (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP) containing the equivalent of 0.5 mg, 1 mg or 5 mg of anhydrous tacrolimus. Excipients include croscarmellose sodium, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 2910, lactose and magnesium stearate. The 1 mg capsule shell contains gelatin and titanium dioxide and the 0.5 mg and 5 mg capsule shells contain gelatin, titanium dioxide and ferric oxide.

Prograf is also available as a sterile solution (tacrolimus for injection) containing the equivalent of 5 mg anhydrous tacrolimus in 1 mL for administration by intravenous infusion only. Each mL contains polyoxyl 60 hydrogenated castor oil (HCO-60), 200 mg, and dehydrated alcohol, USP, 80% v/v. Prograf for injection must be diluted to a concentration between 0.004 mg/mL and 0.02 mg/mL with 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection or 5% Dextrose Injection before use. The diluted solution for infusion should be stored at 15-25°C in glass or polyethylene containers and should be discarded after 24 hours. The diluted solution for infusion should not be stored in a PVC container due to poor stability and the potential for extraction of phthalates. Parenteral drug products should be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration, whenever solution and container permit.

Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP) 0.5 mg

Oblong, light yellow, capsules imprinted with red "0.5 mg", on the capsule cap and "f 607" on the capsule body supplied in 100-count bottles or in blister packs of 100 capsules (10 capsules per card).

Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP) 1 mg

Oblong, white, capsules imprinted with red "1 mg", on the capsule cap and "f 617" on the capsule body supplied in 100-count bottles or in blister packs of 100 capsules (10 capsules per card).

Prograf (tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP) 5 mg

Oblong, grayish/red, capsules imprinted with white "5 mg", on the capsule cap and "f 657" on the capsule body supplied in 100-count bottles or in blister packs of 100 capsules (10 capsules per card).

Prograf (tacrolimus for injection) 5 mg (for intravenous infusion)

Supplied as a sterile colourless solution in 1 mL ampoules containing the equivalent of 5 mg of anhydrous tacrolimus per mL, in boxes of 10 ampoules.

PART II: SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION

PHARMACEUTICAL INFORMATION

Drug Substance


Proper name: tacrolimus

Chemical name: [3*S*-[3*R**[*E*(1*S**,3*S**,4*S**),4*S**,5*R**,8*S**,9*E*,12*R**,14*R**,15*S**,16*R**,18*S**,19*S**,26*aR**]]-5,6,8,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,24,25,26,26*a*-hexadecahydro-5,19-dihydroxy-3-[2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohexyl)-1-methylethenyl]-14,16-dimethoxy-4,10,12,18-tetramethyl-8-(2-propenyl)-15,19-epoxy-3*H*-pyrido[2,1-*c*][1,4]oxaazacyclotricosine-1,7,20,21(4*H*,23*H*)-tetrone, monohydrate.

Molecular formula: C₄₄H₆₉NO₁₂•H₂O

Molecular mass: 822.03

Structural formula:



Physicochemical properties: Tacrolimus appears as white crystals or crystalline powder.

Solubility: It is practically insoluble in water, freely soluble in ethanol, and very soluble in methanol and chloroform.

Melting Point: 124.9 - 126.8°C by thermal analysis

Partition Coefficient: > 1000 (in n-octanol/water)

CLINICAL TRIALS

Kidney Transplantation

Study Demographics and Trial Design

Study #	Trial design	Dosage, route of administration and duration	Study subjects (n=number)	Mean age (Range)	Gender	Race (Caucasian/Black/Other)
93-0006 (U.S)	Randomized, multi-centre, open-label, comparative	0.2 mg/kg per day tacrolimus BID orally (IV dose is 20% of oral dose), 1 year	N = 205	43.4 ± 13.1 (9-71 years)	M = 123 F = 82	114/56/35
		Initial Dose: 10 mg/kg per day cyclosporine A BID orally (IV dose is 33% of oral dose), 1 year	N = 207	43.6 ± 12.4 (10-74 years)	M = 129 F = 78	123/48/36
FG-02-02 (Europe)	Multicentre, open, parallel-group study, randomized	Initial dose 0.3 mg/kg per day BID to target whole blood trough concentrations of 10-20 ng/mL, oral, 1 year	N = 303	46.6 ± 13.5 (18-72 years)	M = 196 F = 107	300/1/2
		Initial dose 8.0 mg/kg per day to target blood level 100-300 ng/mL, oral, 1 year	N = 145	45.8 ± 12.5 (20-70 years)	M = 92 F = 53	143/0/2

Study Results

The safety and efficacy of Prograf[®]-based immunosuppression following kidney transplantation was assessed in two, Phase III randomized, multicentre, non-blinded, prospective studies. The active control groups were treated with cyclosporine-based immunosuppression. These studies were designed to evaluate whether the two regimens were therapeutically equivalent for one-year patient and graft survival. Based on the results from these two studies, the Prograf-based regimen was found to be therapeutically equivalent to the cyclosporine-based regimen.

In one trial, (Study 93-0006), 412 kidney transplant patients were enrolled at 19 clinical sites in the United States; 205 patients were randomized to Prograf-based immunosuppression and 207 patients were randomized to cyclosporine-based immunosuppression. All patients received prophylactic induction therapy consisting of an antilymphocyte antibody preparation, corticosteroids and azathioprine. Prograf was initiated when renal function was stable as indicated by a serum creatinine ≤ 4 mg/dL (353.6 μ mol/L). Prograf was initiated a median of 4 days after transplantation. Patients less than 6 years of age were excluded.

In the second trial, (Study FG-02-02), 448 kidney transplant patients were enrolled at 15 clinical sites in Europe; 303 patients were randomized to Prograf-based immunosuppression and 145 patients were randomized to cyclosporine-based immunosuppression. Prograf was initiated within 24 hours of transplantation and was administered with corticosteroids and azathioprine. Patients less than 18 years of age were excluded.

One-year patient and graft survival in the Prograf-based treatment groups were equivalent to those in the cyclosporine-based treatment groups. The overall one-year patient survival (Prograf and cyclosporine combined) was 96.1% in the U.S. study and 94.2% in the European study. The overall one-year graft survival was 89.6% in the U.S. study and 83.7% in the European study.

The two large, randomized clinical trials demonstrated that significantly fewer tacrolimus-treated patients (approximately 16% fewer) experienced an episode of acute rejection during the one-year treatment period compared with cyclosporine-treated patients ($p < 0.001$).

Significantly fewer tacrolimus-treated patients crossed over to cyclosporine therapy due to adverse events and acute rejection episodes compared to cyclosporine-treated patients transferring to tacrolimus therapy ($p = 0.007$). The majority of patients who crossed over from the cyclosporine therapy to tacrolimus therapy were due to rejection ($n = 27$). The majority of patients who crossed over from tacrolimus therapy to cyclosporine therapy were due to adverse reactions ($n = 13$) and rarely for rejection ($n = 2$). Of 27 cyclosporine-treated patients demonstrating acute rejection episodes and transferred to tacrolimus, 21 of these patient rejection episodes resolved (77.8%). Of the 2 tacrolimus patients transferred to cyclosporine due to acute rejection, one of the rejection episodes resolved.

An open-label, rescue study, 93-0003, assessed the effect of tacrolimus on 73 kidney transplant patients with biopsy-proven, corticosteroid-resistant acute rejection. Responses to tacrolimus therapy included improvement in 78% of patients, stabilization in 11% and progressive deterioration in 11%. Patient and graft survival one year-post conversion to tacrolimus was 93% and 75% respectively.

The use of Prograf-based immunosuppression in combination with mycophenolate mofetil or azathioprine was evaluated in a Phase IV, randomized, 3-arm, multicenter, non-blinded, prospective study. A total of 176 deceased donor kidney transplant recipients were randomized to one of three treatment groups; azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil 1 gram per day or mycophenolate mofetil 2 grams per day in two divided doses. All patients received prophylactic induction therapy consisting of an antilymphocyte antibody preparation and corticosteroids. The respective one year patient survival rates were 98.3%, 94.9% and 94.8% for the three treatment

groups of azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil 1 gram per day and mycophenolate mofetil 2 grams per day in two divided doses. Corresponding one year graft survival rates were 94.9%, 93.2% and 94.8%.

A long-term comparison study of tacrolimus (n = 205) and cyclosporine (n = 207) in kidney transplantation was conducted as a 5-year follow-up to study 93-0006. The study focused on the long-term impact of tacrolimus therapy. Patient and graft survival rates over the follow-up period were equivalent between tacrolimus and cyclosporine treatment arms (79.1% vs. 81.4% and 64.3% vs. 61.6%, respectively). The estimated graft half-life was 13.3 years for tacrolimus and 11.9 years for cyclosporine. However, the incidence of crossover from cyclosporine to tacrolimus was significantly greater than the crossover from tacrolimus to cyclosporine (27.5% vs. 9.3%).

Kidney function tests showed mean serum creatinine levels were higher among patients treated with cyclosporine than those treated with tacrolimus. Significantly fewer patients in the tacrolimus treatment arm developed serum creatinine levels >1.5 mg/dL (40.4% vs. 62.0%).

The risk of treatment failure (defined as the occurrence of graft loss or discontinuation of randomized drug) was significantly lower among patients treated with tacrolimus compared to those treated with cyclosporine (43.8% vs. 56.3%; p = 0.008). Graft failure due to rejection occurred more frequently among cyclosporine-treated patients (22.1% vs. 17.0%). At 5 years, fewer patients receiving tacrolimus-based therapy were treated with antihypertensive and antihyperlipidemia medications. It was found that significantly fewer patients maintained on tacrolimus-based therapy developed hypercholesterolemia compared to those receiving cyclosporine (4.7% vs. 17.4%).

Liver Transplantation

Study Demographics and Trial Design

Study #	Trial design	Dosage, route of administration and duration	Study subjects (n=number)	Mean age (Range)	Gender	Race (Caucasian/Black/Other)
FPC-FK506-7	Open-label, randomized, multicenter, active comparator, parallel study	Tacrolimus: 0.075 mg/kg then 0.15 mg/kg PO BID or 0.05 mg/kg IV BID, 360 days	N = 263	44.0	M = 136 F = 127	208/13/42
		CyA*: 1-2 mg/kg IV BID, 5 mg/kg PO, 360 days	N = 266	44.0	M = 140 F = 126	203/14/49
GHBA-157	Randomized, multicenter, active comparator, open-label, parallel study	0.075 mg/kg then 0.03-0.05 IV BID, 360 days	N = 270	45.7	M = 136 F = 134	260/2/8
		CyA*: 1-15 mg/kg/day, 360 days	N = 275	45.6	M = 158 F = 117	260/2/13
FPC-FK506-9	Open-label, multicenter, rescue use of FK506 (tacrolimus)	0.075 mg/kg then 0.15 mg/kg PO BID or 0.05 mg/kg IV BID, 360 days	N = 125	34.7	M = 56 F = 69	79/18/28

*CyA: Cyclosporine A

Study Results

The safety and efficacy of Prograf (tacrolimus) administered in combination with adrenal corticosteroids was compared with cyclosporine-based immunosuppressive regimens in two randomized, prospective, open-labelled, multicentre studies after orthotopic liver transplantation. In addition, the efficacy of Prograf as rescue therapy in patients with liver allograft rejection refractory to standard therapy was examined in an open-labelled, nonrandomized, multicentre, historically-controlled trial.

In one controlled trial, (Study FPC-FK506-7), 529 patients were randomized to receive immunosuppression with Prograf (N=263) or cyclosporine-based regimens (N=266). Patient survival was equivalent with Kaplan-Meier actuarial one-year estimates of 88% for both Prograf and cyclosporine-based regimens. Actuarial one-year graft survival estimates were 82% for the Prograf group and 79% for the cyclosporine-based group. The incidences of acute rejection (68% vs. 76%), steroid-resistant rejection requiring treatment with OKT3 (19% vs. 36%), and

refractory rejection (3% vs. 15%) were lower in recipients of the Prograf regimen compared with cyclosporine-based regimens (see table below). Cumulative adrenal corticosteroid use was lower in the Prograf group; however, equivalent doses of corticosteroids were not mandated for induction or maintenance in the two arms of the study. Other measures of efficacy, such as liver function tests and Karnofsky scores, showed similar improvement over time in both groups.

Table 23: Results for the Liver Transplantation Study FPC-FK506-7

Efficacy Parameters	Prograf (%)	CBIR*(%)	95% Confidence Intervals (%) **
Actuarial One Year Patient Survival Estimates	88	88	-5, 7
Actuarial One Year Graft Survival Estimates	82	79	-5, 10
Incidence of Acute Rejection	68	76	-17,1
Incidence of Steroid-Resistant Rejection Requiring Orthoclone OKT3 Treatment	19	36	-25, -8
Incidence of Refractory Rejection	3	15	-18, -6

* Cyclosporine-Based Immunosuppressive Regimens

** Prograf minus CBIR

In the second controlled study (Study GHBA-157), 545 patients were randomized to receive Prograf combined with adrenal corticosteroids (N=270) as a treatment for prevention of rejection of primary liver allograft patients, compared with cyclosporine-based therapy (N=275).

The estimated one-year Kaplan-Meier patient survival rates were 81% for the Prograf treatment group and 75% for the cyclosporine-based treatment group. One-year estimated Kaplan-Meier graft survival rates were 76% for the Prograf group and 70% for the cyclosporine-based group. The acute rejection rate was 42% for the Prograf group compared with 55% for the cyclosporine-based group. The incidence of refractory rejection was also less in the Prograf group (3%) compared with the cyclosporine-based group (10%). (See table below.) The cumulative amount of adrenal corticosteroids administered to patients in the Prograf group was less than in the cyclosporine-based group.

Table 24: Results for the Liver Transplantation Study GHBA-157

Efficacy Parameters	Prograf (%)	CBIR* (%)	95% Confidence Intervals (%) **
Actuarial One Year Patient Survival Estimates	81	75	-1, 13
Actuarial One Year Graft Survival Estimates	76	70	-1, 14
Incidence of Acute Rejection	42	54.7	-23, -4
Incidence of Refractory Rejection	2.6	9.2	-12, -3

* Cyclosporine-Based Immunosuppressive Regimens

** Prograf minus CBIR

In a non-randomized historically controlled trial (Study FPC-FK506-9), 125 patients previously treated with cyclosporine-based regimens with refractory acute or chronic liver allograft rejection were treated with Prograf plus adrenal corticosteroids as rescue therapy. Actuarial Kaplan-Meier estimates of survival at one year post-conversion to Prograf were 71% for patient survival and 56% for graft survival. Other measures of efficacy, such as clinical response scores, liver function test, and Karnofsky performance status showed improvement over time after conversion to Prograf.

Study 91-0045 was conducted in the United States to establish a safe and effective reduced dosage regimen for adult liver transplant patients. Patients were randomized to an initial low dose (0.15 mg/kg/day) or an initial high dose (0.30 mg/kg/day) of oral Prograf and all patients received the same initial dose of corticosteroids. Azathioprine was not allowed during the first 42 days of the study. Prograf doses were adjusted upward or downward in the event of rejection or toxicity, respectively. The mean dose in the higher group shifted downward while the mean dose in the lower group shifted upward over time. By study day 42, both groups were receiving similar Prograf doses (0.13 mg/kg/day). At one year post-transplant, patient results based on the two initial dosing groups were as follows:

Table 25: Results for the Liver Transplantation Study 91-0045

12 Month Results	Low Dose (n=100)	High Dose (n=98)
Patient Survival	91.9%	89.7%
Graft Survival	88.9%	85.6%
Acute Rejection	65.1%	49.7%
Mean Whole Blood Trough Levels of Tacrolimus	9.6 ng/mL (n=76)	10.6 ng/mL (n=67)

Two of 100 patients in the low dose group and 8 of 98 patients in the high dose group discontinued the study due to an adverse event during the first 6 weeks of therapy.

A long-term (5-year) comparison study of tacrolimus (n=263) versus cyclosporine (n=266) in primary liver transplantation was conducted in a 1-year randomized, multicenter trial (FPC-FK506-7) with a 4-year follow-up period.

The 5-year patient and graft survival rates were comparable among tacrolimus (79.0%, 71.8%) and cyclosporine (73.1%, 66.4%) treatment groups. However, patient half-life survival was significantly longer for tacrolimus-treated patients (25.1 ± 5.1 years vs. 15.2 ± 2.5 years), a similar trend occurred with graft half-life. Patient survival of hepatitis C-positive patients was also significantly longer with tacrolimus treatment (78.9% vs. 60.5%).

During the first year after transplant, patients in the tacrolimus group had a statistically significant lower incidence of acute rejection (68% vs. 76%) and steroid-resistant rejection (19% vs. 36%). There was no significant difference between treatment groups in the following years. The incidence of death or graft loss due to rejection was 3% in both treatment groups over the 5-year follow-up period. The incidence of malignancies, lymphoproliferative disorders, and late infections were low and comparable between treatment groups.

Heart Transplantation

Study Demographics and Trial Design

Table 26: Summary of Patient Demographics for Prograf (tacrolimus) Heart Transplantation Trials

Study #	Trial design	Dosage, route of administration and duration	Study subjects (N)	Mean age (Range)	Gender	Race (Caucasian/Black/Other)
FG-506-05-02	Open-label, randomized, parallel-group study	Antibody induction therapy; azathioprine, corticosteroids and tacrolimus. Tacrolimus initial oral dose, 0.075 mg/kg/day. At ≤ 3 months post-transplant, tacrolimus blood trough concentrations between 10-20 ng/mL. At > 3 months post-transplant, tacrolimus blood trough concentrations at 15 ng/mL.	157	50.8 ±11.0 (18-65)	Female: 30 Male: 127	153/1/3
		Antibody induction therapy; azathioprine, corticosteroids and cyclosporine. Cyclosporine microemulsion: Initial oral dose at 4-6 mg/kg/day. At ≤ 3 months post-transplant, cyclosporine blood trough concentrations between 200-350 ng/mL. At > 3 months post-transplant, cyclosporine blood trough concentrations between 100-200 ng/mL thereafter.	157	50.7±9.9 (18-65)	Female: 28 Male: 129	151/4/2
20-01-003	Randomized, Prospective, Multi-center Comparison	Tacrolimus, MMF and steroid treatment therapy Tacrolimus: 2-4 mg/kg per day, in two divided oral doses, within 12 hours of transplant. Dosing was adjusted to achieve whole blood concentrations of 200-400ng/mL in the first 3 months and 100 to 300 ng/mL thereafter.	113	54.34±10.9 (20-75)	M=86 F=21	95/9/3

Table 26: Summary of Patient Demographics for Prograf (tacrolimus) Heart Transplantation Trials

Study #	Trial design	Dosage, route of administration and duration	Study subjects (N)	Mean age (Range)	Gender	Race (Caucasian/Black/Other)
		Cyclosporine, MMF and steroids Cyclosporine: 3 to 5 mg/kg per day, as two divided oral doses, within 12 hours of transplant. Dosing was adjusted to achieve whole blood concentrations of 200-400ng/mL in the first 3 months and 100 to 300ng/mL thereafter.	117	51.89±11.5 (22-72)	M=84 F=31	91/20/4

Two open-label, randomized, comparative studies evaluated the safety and efficacy of Prograf-based and cyclosporine-based immunosuppression in primary orthotopic heart transplantation. In a Phase III study conducted in Europe, 314 patients received a regimen of antibody induction, corticosteroids and azathioprine in combination with Prograf or cyclosporine modified for 18 months. In the US study, all patients received corticosteroids in addition to Prograf plus mycophenolate (MMF) (113 patients) or cyclosporine modified plus MMF (117 patients) for 1 year.

In the European Phase III study, patient/graft survival at 18 months post-transplant was similar between treatment arms, 91.7% in the tacrolimus group and 89.2% in the cyclosporine group (treatment difference 2.4%; 95% CI: -4.0%, 8.9%). In the US study, patient and graft survival at 12 months was comparable between the treatment groups with 93.5% survival in the Prograf plus MMF group and 86.1% survival in the cyclosporine modified plus MMF group.

In the European Phase III study, the incidence of biopsy-verified acute rejection standardized grade $\geq 1B$ at 6 months post-transplantation was significantly lower ($p = 0.029$, Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel) in the tacrolimus group (54%) compared with the cyclosporine group (66.4%) based on blinded central assessments. The incidence of biopsy-verified acute rejection standardized grade $\geq 3A$ at 6 months post-transplantation was significantly lower with tacrolimus-based immunosuppression (29.3%) compared with cyclosporine-based immunosuppression (42%; $p = 0.018$, chi-square) based on blinded central assessments. The incidence of biopsy-verified acute rejection grade $\geq 3A$ with hemodynamic compromise was similar (Prograf: 0.6% vs cyclosporine modified 0%; treatment difference 0.6%; 95% CI: -0.6%, 1.9%).

In the US comparative study, biopsy-verified acute rejection grade $\geq 3A$ and biopsy-verified acute rejection grade $\geq 3A$ with hemodynamic compromise at 1 year were similar between the treatment groups (Prograf/MMF: 24.3% and 3.7%; cyclosporine/MMF: 35.7% and 7.8%).

Rheumatoid Arthritis

Study Demographics and Trial Design

Table 27: Summary of Patient Demographics for Prograf (tacrolimus) Clinical Trials in Rheumatoid Arthritis

Study #	Trial design	Dosage, route of administration and duration	Study subjects (n=number)	Mean age (Range)	Gender	Race (Caucasian/Black/Other)
FK-506-RA-001	Randomized, double-blind parallel group	Placebo, 1, 3 or 5 mg tacrolimus as a single daily oral dose for 24 weeks	N= 268	52.0 ± 10.4	M = 59 F = 209	253/11/4
98-0-049	Randomized, double-blind parallel group	Placebo, 2 mg tacrolimus, or 3 mg tacrolimus as a single daily dose for 6 months	N=464	55.8±12.25	M = 38 F = 119	421/25/18
98-0-051	Open-label, long-term safety study	3 mg tacrolimus single daily oral dose for 12 months (roll-over from 98-0-049 in total patient received up to 18 months of treatment.)	N= 896	55.7 ± 11.84	M = 242 F = 654	835/36/25

Study Results

Safety and efficacy of Prograf-based treatment in rheumatoid arthritis patients was evaluated in one Phase II study and two Phase III studies.

The results for the Phase II study, FK506RA-001 and a Phase III study, 98-0-049, depicting the ACR response rates and change from baseline to the end of treatment for individual component scores are depicted below:

Table 28: ACR Response Rates and Change from Baseline to End of Treatment for Individual Component Scores

Variable	FK506RA-001 ¹				98-0-049 ¹		
	Placebo	1 mg	3 mg	5 mg	Placebo	2 mg	3 mg
ACR20 Response Rate	15.5%	29.9%#	34.4%*	50.0%***	13.4%	21.4%#	32.0%***
ACR20 Success Rate	11.3%	29.0%#	23.4%#	40.6%***	10.2%	18.8%*	26.8%***
ACR50 Response Rate	1.4%	14.5%*	17.2%*	14.1%*	4.5%	11.7%	11.8%*
ACR70 Response Rate	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.6%	5.2%*	3.3%
Swollen Joint Count ² (LS Mean)	-1.8	-3.8	-5.4*	-6.8**	-1.47	-4.02*	-5.3***
Tender Joint Count ² (LS Mean)	-0.9	-6.3*	-7.9**	-12.9***	-1.87	-3.09	-7.25***
Patient's Assessment of Pain ²	-5.4	-11.4	-16.2*	-23.7***	-2.13	-11.3**	-10.6**
Patient's Global Assessment of Disease Activity ² (mm)	-3.4	-11.0	-13.5#	-21.1***	2.5	-7.2**	-6.6**
Physician's Global Assessment of Disease Activity ² (mm)	-10.2	-13.4	-18.5#	-27.8***	-9.0	-15.8*	-18.2**
Patient's Assessment of Physical Function (MHAQ) ²	0.0	-0.1	-0.3*	-0.4***	0.09	-0.13***	-0.03*
CRP ² (mg/dL)	0.5	-0.3#	-0.8**	-1.7***	0.01	-0.8**	-0.6*
ESR ² (mm/hr)	5.1	-4.0*	-4.3*	-11.4*	2.6	-4.3**	-8.6

¹Patients who were randomized and received at least one dose of study medication.

²Mean change from baseline. ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70: $\geq 20\%$, $\geq 50\%$ and $\geq 70\%$, respectively, improvement in tender or painful joint count and swollen joint count and $\geq 20\%$, $\geq 50\%$ and $\geq 70\%$ respectively, improvement in 3 of the 5 following parameters: patient's assessment of pain, patient's global assessment of disease activity, physician's global assessment of disease activity, patient's assessment of physical function (based on the modified health assessment questionnaire), and an acute-phase reactant (erythrocyte sedimentation rate or C-reactive protein). LS Mean: Least square means are based on general linear model analysis with treatment group and DMARD strata included in the model. #p ≤ 0.10 , *p ≤ 0.05 , **p ≤ 0.01 , *** p ≤ 0.001 . NA: not available

Phase II Study

In the randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (Study FK-506-RA-001), patients intolerant or resistant to methotrexate were enrolled and were also being treated with corticosteroids, such as prednisone or its equivalent and/or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and /or analgesics. Patients were randomized to receive one of the following oral doses of study medication capsules: 1 mg FK506, 3 mg FK506, 5 mg FK506 or placebo once a day, for 6 months.

The primary and secondary efficacy endpoints evaluated in this patient population included the ACR20, 50 and 70 responses, as defined by the American College of Rheumatology, for improvement assessment in rheumatoid arthritis at the end of treatment. These criteria are based in corresponding increases of 20, 50 or 70% improvement in tender or painful joint counts and swollen joint counts and a 20%, 50% or 70% improvement in 3 of 5 of the following parameters: patient's assessment of pain, patient's global assessment of disease activity, physician's global assessment of disease activity, patient's assessment of physical function (based on the modified health assessment questionnaire), and an acute-phase reactant (ESR or C-reactive protein (CRP)).

The ACR20 response rate at the end of treatment was higher in all 3 dose groups: 29.0% (1 mg), 34.4% (3 mg), 50.0% (5 mg) compared to placebo (15.5%). The response rates in the 3 mg and 5 mg groups were statistically significantly higher than placebo ($p = 0.013$ and < 0.001 , respectively), while the rate for the 1 mg group was not statistically significant ($p = 0.058$). A difference in ACR20 response rates between placebo and active dose groups was first observed at Week 8, with substantial increases seen in the proportion of patients in the 5 mg group who achieved ACR20 responses during Weeks 12 and 16.

While there were no differences in swollen and tender joint counts in all 3 dose groups at baseline, there was a definite dose response, with the greatest improvement occurring in the 5 mg dose group. Improvement in swollen joint count was significantly higher in the 3 mg and 5 mg groups than in the placebo group ($p = 0.029$ and 0.002 , respectively). Improvement in tender joint counts was significantly greater for the 1, 3 and 5 mg dose groups versus placebo ($p = 0.022$, 0.004 and < 0.001 , respectively).

There was a statistically significant linear dose relationship over the 4 groups with respect to ACR20 at the end of treatment ($p < 0.001$), swollen joint counts at end of treatment ($p = 0.001$) and tender joint counts at the end of treatment ($p < 0.001$). The primary efficacy measure indicated a dose response among the Prograf groups, with statistically significantly greater efficacy at the 3 and 5 mg dose levels versus placebo for all primary measures.

Phase III Studies

In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (Study 98-0-049), 465 patients who were concomitantly using prednisone (or its equivalent) and/or NSAIDs and had previously demonstrated resistance or intolerance to one or more disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), were enrolled to receive either placebo, 2 mg/day or 3 mg/day Prograf, for a duration of 6 months.

Patients treated with Prograf generally experienced notable improvements in the ACR components of tender or painful joint counts, swollen joint counts as well as the physician's global assessment while experiencing either no change or a slight improvement in the other ACR components. The median time required for the first ACR20 response to be detected in the tacrolimus dose group (2 mg/day and 3 mg/day) was approximately 8 weeks and was achieved by approximately 42% of the patient population.

The ACR20 response rate at the end of treatment for the full analysis set was significantly greater in the 2 mg and 3 mg tacrolimus treatment groups as well as the combined treatment groups compared with placebo. The differences between the ACR20 response rates at the end of treatment for the 2 mg tacrolimus treatment group and the placebo treatment group were not statistically significant ($p = 0.0595$), while that for the 3 mg tacrolimus treatment group and placebo were statistically significant ($p = 0.0001$). The ACR20 response at the end of treatment demonstrated a dose-response relationship.

Based on the median percent change from baseline to the end of treatment, patients in the 2 mg and 3 mg tacrolimus treatment groups also generally experienced notable improvements in tender or painful joint counts, 10.5% (2 mg) and 30.0% (3 mg) versus 2.2% (placebo) as well as improvements in the swollen joint counts 16.7% (2 mg) and 30.0% (3 mg) versus 5.9% (placebo). With the exception of tender or painful joint counts for the 2 mg tacrolimus treatment group, statistically significantly greater improvements from baseline to the end of treatment in each of the ACR component scores were observed in the 2 mg tacrolimus treatment group, the 3 mg tacrolimus treatment group and the combined tacrolimus treatment group as compared to placebo.

Among DMARD intolerant patients (those patients unable to continue on methotrexate therapy as determined by documented adverse events as judged by the investigator), significantly greater proportions of patients in the combined 2 mg and 3 mg tacrolimus treatment groups achieved ACR20 and ACR50 responses at the end of treatment compared with patients in the placebo treatment group. Among DMARD resistant patients (a patient on 15 mg/wk or more of methotrexate for at least 8 weeks who still presented with active disease), the proportion of patients achieving an ACR20 response at the end of treatment was not significantly different for the 2 mg tacrolimus treatment group or the combined tacrolimus treatment group compared with the placebo treatment group. However, a significantly greater proportion of DMARD resistant patients treated with 3 mg tacrolimus achieved an ACR20 response at the end of treatment compared with placebo. Among tacrolimus-treated patients, ACR20, 50 and 70 response rates at the end of treatment were greater for DMARD intolerant patients compared with DMARD resistant patients.

In the long-term safety study, (Study 98-0-051), an extension of study 98-0-049, patients were treated for a 12 to 18 month duration. These patients demonstrated continued improvement in the ACR20 response rates with an overall response rate at the end of treatment of 37.6%. Approximately 30% of patients experienced an ACR20 response within 3 months of receiving Prograf treatment. The ACR20 response rate was higher among patients who had previously received tacrolimus therapy in 98-0-049, at 45.5% (96/211) than among *de novo* patients enrolled in this study 35.2% (241/685), thereby indicating that those patients receiving a longer duration of treatment experienced a greater rate of response. Two of the greatest improvements in the median percent change from baseline were the ACR component scores at the end of treatment observed for swollen joint counts (47.5%) and tender or painful joint counts (50.0%).

DETAILED PHARMACOLOGY

Animal Studies

The primary mechanism of rejection following transplantation involves activation of T-lymphocytes and the subsequent formation of factors such as interleukin-2 (IL-2). Tacrolimus inhibits the activation of T-lymphocytes in both animals and humans, especially the activation that is calcium-dependent. The minimum inhibitory tissue culture level of tacrolimus that prevents antigen stimulation of T-lymphocytes is 0.1 nM - 0.3 nM. Tacrolimus interferes with the formation of active transcription factor NF-AT (nuclear factor of activated T-cells) and inhibits the formation of lymphokines such as IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, and interferon- γ . The net result is immunosuppression.

Tacrolimus significantly prolonged host survival and/or graft viability in animal transplant models involving the liver, kidney, heart, small bowel, lung, pancreas, pancreatic islet, bone marrow, skin, limb, cornea, and trachea. A dose range of 0.1 to 1 mg/kg/day PO or IM was used in most studies in various dosing regimens: pre- and post-surgery, short- and long-term administration.

At intravenous doses of 0.32 to 3.2 mg/kg, and at oral doses of 3.2 to 32 mg/kg, tacrolimus showed little effect on general activity and the central nervous system; little or no effect on somatic and autonomic nervous systems and smooth muscle.

Most of the effects shown by IV tacrolimus in dogs and cats were also shown by the tacrolimus-placebo IV formulation. Intravenous tacrolimus at ≥ 0.1 mg/kg increased the respiration rate in dogs only; blood pressure was decreased by IV tacrolimus at ≥ 0.1 mg/kg in dogs, to a lesser extent at 3.2 mg/kg in cats, and by PO tacrolimus at 32 mg/kg in rats; heart rate was decreased by IV tacrolimus at ≥ 0.1 mg/kg in dogs, at ≥ 0.32 mg/kg in cats, at 3.2 mg/kg in rats, and by PO tacrolimus at 10 and 32 mg/kg in rats; blood flow in femoral artery of dogs was decreased by IV tacrolimus at ≥ 0.1 mg/kg; carotid artery blood flow was increased at 3.2 mg/kg IV in cats.

Intravenous tacrolimus at ≥ 1.0 mg/kg increased pilocarpine-induced salivary secretion in rabbits and decreased gastric fluid secretion in rats; and, at 3.2 mg/kg, increased accumulation of intestinal fluid and slightly inhibited gastrointestinal transit rate in rats. Intravenous tacrolimus did not affect bile secretion nor produce irritation to gastric mucosa in rats. Gastrointestinal transit rate and accumulation of intestinal fluid in rats were not affected by PO tacrolimus. Bleeding time in mice and prothrombin time and activated partial thromboplastin time in rats were not affected by IV or PO tacrolimus. Tacrolimus did not affect ADP- or collagen-induced aggregation of rabbit platelets, or produce hemolysis in rabbit blood. Oral tacrolimus at 32 mg/kg slightly increased urine volume and Na^+ excretion, but not excretion of K^+ , Cl^- , or uric acid, in rats; IV tacrolimus at 3.2 mg/kg had no effect. Oral tacrolimus had no effect on carrageenin-induced paw edema in rats.

When ^{14}C -tacrolimus was dosed orally to pregnant or lactating rats, trace amounts of tacrolimus were found in fetal liver and in breast milk, respectively.

When ^{14}C -tacrolimus was administered to rats, either intravenously or orally, total recovery of radioactivity in urine and feces was over 95%. Trace amounts of unchanged tacrolimus, as well as small amounts of numerous metabolites, were detected in urine, feces, and bile, indicating that the drug is extensively metabolized. *In vitro* studies identified the main metabolite as 13-demethylated-tacrolimus in animals and humans.

Human Studies

In vitro, several drugs have been shown to inhibit the metabolism of tacrolimus by human liver microsomes. Conversely, tacrolimus has been shown to inhibit the metabolism of other drugs (e.g., CyA). *In vivo*, the metabolism of tacrolimus is presumably by hepatic P4503A4. Therefore, there is a potential for a drug-drug interaction between tacrolimus and other drugs that are substrates for this P450 isozyme.

Five healthy volunteers received a single IV infusion of 0.03 mg/kg of tacrolimus. The mean (SD) pharmacokinetic parameters for whole blood concentrations were: half-life, 17.6 (4.6) h; volume of distribution, 0.63 (0.15) L/kg; and clearance, 0.032 (0.008) L/h/kg. The mean pharmacokinetic parameters for plasma concentrations were: half-life, 43.4 (14.7) h; volume of distribution, 16.9 (6.7) L/kg; and clearance, 0.43 (0.15) L/h/kg.

Table 29: Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters for Tacrolimus Whole Blood Concentrations in Healthy Volunteers

Component	T _{1/2} (h)	V _d (L)	V _d (L/kg)	Cl (L/h)	Cl (L/h/kg)
Blood	17.6	47.6	0.63	2.4	0.032
Plasma	43.4	1303	16.9	33.6	0.43

The administration of tacrolimus did not result in clinically significant immunosuppression in the subjects. Four of the 5 subjects experienced decreases in creatinine clearance that returned to normal within 2-9 days post-dose. The average creatinine clearance decreased from 110 mL/min at baseline to 90 mL/min between 12-48 hours post-dose. There were no clinically significant changes observed during 24-hour electrocardiogram monitoring.

The following pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated following the first IV dose of FK506 in kidney transplant patients: Elimination half-life (T_{1/2}), area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to 12 hours (AUC₀₋₁₂), area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to infinity (AUC_{0-∞}), total body clearance (Cl), and volume of distribution at steady-state (V_{ss}).

Table 30: Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters for Tacrolimus Whole Blood Concentrations following the Initial IV Dose of FK506 in Kidney Transplant Patients

Component	T _{1/2} (h)	Cl (L/h/kg)	V _{ss} (L/kg)	AUC ₀₋₁₂ (ng.h/mL)	AUC _{0-∞} (ng.h/mL)
Blood	8.04 ± 4.88	0.12 ± 0.05	1.0 ± 0.36	481.0 ± 129	755.0 ± 297
Plasma	6.86 ± 2.92	4.29 ± 2.1	29.2 ± 15.8	20.0 ± 19.5	25.3 ± 20.9

The following pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated following maintenance oral dosing with FK506 in kidney transplant patients: bioavailability (BA), time to maximum concentration (T_{max}), maximum blood/plasma concentration (C_{max}), plasma/blood concentration before dosing (C_{0h}), and plasma/blood concentration 12 hours after dosing (C_{12h}).

Table 31: Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters for Tacrolimus Whole Blood Concentrations following the Maintenance Oral Dosing of FK506 in Kidney Transplant Patients

Component	BA (%)	T_{max} (h)	C_{max} (ng/mL)	C_{0h} (ng/mL)	C_{12h} (ng/mL)
Blood	20.0 ± 17.8	4.2 ± 2.9	44.0 ± 4.2	15.0 ± 10	16.0 ± 12
Plasma	17.3 ± 12.0	3.1 ± 2.4	1.4 ± 1.7	0.4 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2

There were great individual differences among the IV and oral pharmacokinetic parameters. However, C_{0h} and C_{12h} in whole blood and plasma from each patient following oral dosing were almost identical. It was suggested that steady-state was obtained upon repeated dosing.

In a prospective, multicentre study, 37 kidney transplant patients received 0.075 mg/kg IV infused over 4 hours twice daily, and were converted to oral tacrolimus at a dose of 0.3 mg/kg/day in two divided doses when they were able to tolerate oral medication. The results of this study suggested that if the range of trough whole blood tacrolimus levels is maintained between 15 and 20 ng/mL, the incidence of adverse events is decreased. Maintaining optimal therapeutic levels may also decrease the incidence of rejection. Results suggested that tacrolimus is better monitored with whole blood than with plasma, and that patient's trough tacrolimus levels in whole blood be maintained at 20 ng/mL for the initial 2 weeks following transplantation, then decreased to trough blood levels of 15 ng/mL for the next 12 weeks.

In an open-labelled study to evaluate the effect of hepatic dysfunction on the pharmacokinetics of tacrolimus, patients with and without liver impairment received 0.15 mg/kg IV tacrolimus over 1 to 2 hours and 0.15 mg/kg oral tacrolimus. The effect of T-tube clamping on the oral absorption of tacrolimus at 0.15 mg/kg was studied in 5 liver transplant patients, who had a duct-to-duct biliary reconstruction with a T-tube stent. In patients with moderate to severe hepatic dysfunction, the elimination half-life of tacrolimus was increased and the total body clearance was decreased, resulting in higher daily trough plasma concentrations. The bioavailability increased following oral administration of tacrolimus to hepatically impaired patients. Bile did not alter the absorption of tacrolimus. Dosage adjustments may be necessary for patients with severe hepatic impairment, but not for those patients with mild impairment.

The clearance of tacrolimus is independent of renal function; less than 1% is recovered unchanged in the urine. However, reducing the dose of tacrolimus may be necessary with deterioration of renal function in order to reduce the potential nephrotoxic effects of the drug.

Studies showed that as the dose of tacrolimus increased, a dose-proportional increase in AUC and C_{max} resulted. However, a large interpatient variability was observed. Whole blood and plasma trough concentrations taken 10-12 hours after oral administration of tacrolimus (C_{min}) correlated well with the AUC_{0-12h} $r = 0.93-0.98$, demonstrating that C_{min} is an accurate indicator of overall patient exposure to drug.

Children \leq 12 years of age required approximately twice the adult IV and oral doses to attain similar tacrolimus plasma trough concentrations following liver transplantation.

Tacrolimus concentrations measured by EIA have been shown to correlate well with those determined by HDLC-MS assay specific for the parent compound, ($r = 0.86 - 0.93$), indicating that EIA provides a reliable measure of tacrolimus concentrations.

MICROBIOLOGY

Not Applicable.

TOXICOLOGY

Acute Toxicology

Table 32: Acute Toxicology Studies of Tacrolimus in Rats and Baboon

Species	No./ Group (M/F)	Route	Dose Range (mg/kg)	Overt Signs of Toxicology	LD ₅₀ (mg/kg)
Rat, Sprague-Dawley	5/5	Gavage	32-320	Tremor, ptosis, salivation, hyperreactivity, decreased spontaneous motility	134 (M) 194 (F)
	5/5	IV	10-100	Bloody urine, prone position, ptosis, hyperreactivity, salivation, decreased motility	57.0 (M) 23.6 (F)
Rat, Sprague-Dawley (21 days old)	5/5	Gavage	10-320	Hyperreactivity, salivation, decreased motility	70 (M) 32-100 (F)
Baboon	1/1	Gavage	5-250	Huddled posture, emesis	ND*
	1/1	IV	2-50	Debility and exhaustion: 1 of 2	ND*

*Not determined

Subchronic and Chronic Toxicity

Both rats and baboons showed a similar toxicologic profile following oral or intravenous administration of tacrolimus. Toxicity following intravenous administration was evident at lower doses than after oral administration for both rats and baboons. Toxicity was seen at lower doses in rats than in baboons. The primary target organs of toxicity were the kidney, pancreatic islets of Langerhans and exocrine pancreas, spleen, thymus, gastrointestinal tract, and lymph nodes. In addition, decreases in erythrocyte parameters were seen. Effects such as atrophy of the spleen, lymph nodes, and thymus may be a reflection of the immunosuppressant actions of tacrolimus. In rats, chronic oral administration of tacrolimus at high doses resulted in changes in sex organs and glaucoma/eye changes.

Rats receiving oral doses greater than 1 mg/kg/day for two and 13 weeks experienced decreased body weight gain, hypersalivation, hematology changes, elevated BUN, atrophy of the thymus and kidney, mineralization of the kidney, vacuolation of the islets of Langerhans, lenticular opacity and degeneration, and prostate contraction. In a 52-week study, the no-observable effect level was 0.15 mg/kg/day PO.

A 4-week oral toxicity study of tacrolimus in immature rats showed a similar toxicological profile; however, the severity of the changes noted appeared to be increased relative to mature animals. The no-observable effect level in immature rats was 0.32 mg/kg/day PO.

Rats receiving intravenous doses showed a dose-dependent decrease in weight gain. Micropathological changes were similar to those seen after oral administration of higher doses, and consisted of thymic, lymph node, and splenic atrophy, vacuolation of the pancreatic islets, reduced colloid and contraction of the prostate and seminal vesicles, uterine wall narrowing, and corticomedullary mineralization in the kidney. The no-observable effect level was 0.032 mg/kg/day IV.

Baboons receiving 10 mg/kg/day PO for 4 weeks, showed body weight loss, quiet behaviour, huddled behaviour, pelleted feces, and piloerection. There were no abnormal laboratory findings or lesions.

In a 13-week oral study, body weight gain increased after the first 4 weeks in a manner parallel to that of controls. There were incidences of drowsiness and 4 huddled and/or unnatural posture. Histopathological examination indicated atrophy of the thymus and spleen. The no-observable effect level was 1 mg/kg/day PO.

A second 13-week oral study additionally produced intermittent tremors, unsteadiness, gingivitis, and emesis. There was a slight reduction in packed cell volume and hemoglobin, and a slight increase in clotting time in the high-dosage group animals. Elevations in BUN and blood glucose levels and a reduction in serum cholesterol concentration were dose related. There were increased levels of total reducing substances and glucose, and significant reductions in absolute thymus and pancreas weight in both dosage groups. There were dose-related pathological changes in the thymus (atrophy), spleen (atrophy), lymph nodes (atrophy), pancreas (exocrine cell degranulation or increased eosinophilic islet cells), intestinal tract (lymphoid infiltration, ulceration), and kidneys (interstitial inflammation).

Oral administration to baboons for 52 weeks at doses of 0, 1, 3.2, or 10 mg/kg/day resulted in an initial decreased weight gain, increase in urinary glucose and reducing substances, and pathological changes in the thymus, lymph nodes, and pancreas. The no-observable effect level was 1 mg/kg/day PO.

IV administration of tacrolimus to baboons for 4 weeks at doses of 0.5, 1, or 2 mg/kg/day resulted in overt signs of toxicity in all animals. Body weight gain was reduced and animals displayed quiet behavior, huddled posture, sleepiness, and piloerection. One out of 3 female animals at 2 mg/kg was sacrificed because of overt toxicity. BUN and serum potassium were elevated in animals dosed at 1 and 2 mg/kg. Glucose and total reducing substances were present in urine samples from one animal in each of the treatment groups. Pathological changes were noted in the thymus (atrophy), lymph nodes (atrophy), spleen (atrophy), and pancreatic islets (angiectasis of islets).

Carcinogenicity

No evidence of genotoxicity was seen in bacterial (*Salmonella* and *E. coli*) or in mammalian (Chinese hamster lung-derived cells) *in vitro* assays of mutagenicity. Tacrolimus did not cause unscheduled DNA synthesis in rodent hepatocytes in either the *in vitro* CHO/HGRPT assay of mutagenicity or in the *in vivo* clastogenicity assays performed in mice.

An 80 week study in mice administered tacrolimus at oral doses of 0.3, 1.0 and 3.0 mg/kg/day showed no evidence of tumorigenicity. The 104 week studies in rats administered tacrolimus at oral doses of 0.2, 0.5, 1.25, 2.5 and 5.0 mg/kg/day demonstrated no evidence of tumorigenicity.

The carcinogenicity potential of FK506 has been evaluated in mice and rats. Mice (56/sex) were administered FK506 as a dietary admix at doses of 0 (control), 0 (placebo), 0.3, 1 and 3 mg/kg/day. There was no evidence of any tumorigenic potential of FK506 in this study. Signs of toxicity were evident in the form of reduced bodyweight gain in both sexes receiving 3.0 mg/kg/day and for males receiving 1 mg/kg/day. For males receiving 3.0 mg/kg/day, there was a reduction in the efficiency of food utilization. An increase in mortality for males at 3.0 mg/kg/day was accompanied by pathological findings of minimal adipose tissue and fur staining, evidence of dysfunctional testes/epididymides, prostate glands and seminal vesicles. Males and females at 3.0 mg/kg/day also demonstrated reduced islets of Langerhans and increased basophilia and cellularity of islets. The no-effect level was considered to be 0.3 mg/kg/day in both sexes. In addition, 1 mg/kg/day was a no-effect level for females only.

Rats (55/sex/group) were administered FK506 as a dietary admix at doses of 0 (basal diet), 0 (placebo), 0.2, 0.5 and 1.25 mg/kg/day. There was no evidence of any tumorigenic potential for FK506 in this study, nor were there any FK506 administration-related effects on factors contributory to death. Evidence of toxicity were reduced body weight gain in both sexes at 1.25 mg/kg/day and in males at 0.5 mg/kg/day. The non-toxic dose level in the study was 0.2 mg/kg/day for males and 0.5 mg/kg/day for females.

FK506 was administered to rats as a dietary admix in the supplementary study, at doses of 0 (placebo) to 50/sex, and 2.5 mg/kg/day (100/sex). In the absence of clear toxicity, at the end of week 26, the FK506-treated group was divided into two groups (50/sex/group). One group received a dose of 2.5 mg/kg/day whereas the dose in the other group was increased to 5.0 mg/kg/day. There was no evidence of tumorigenic potential at either dose level. Evidence of toxicity were dose-related mortality rates, reduced body weight gain and histopathological changes; toxicity was more pronounced in males. There was no non-toxic dose in this study.

Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

The reproductive toxicity of tacrolimus was evaluated in Segment 1 (rats), Segment 2 (rats and rabbits) and Segment 3 (rats) studies. The results of these studies are summarized below in Table 33.

Table 33: Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity Studies of Orally Administered Tacrolimus			
Study	Oral Dose (mg/kg/day)	Major Findings	
		Parental	F₁ Offspring
Segment 1, Rat	0.32	No observable effect	No observable effect
	1	Incomplete delivery	No observable effect
	3.2	↓Body weight with ↓food consumption ↓Male copulatory index ↑Copulatory interval Incomplete delivery ↑Female diestrus period	Some lethality; ↓Implantation ↑Post-implantation loss ↓Embryo/offspring viability
Segment 2, Rat	0.32	No observable effect	No observable effect
	1	No observable effect	↓Fetal body weight
	3.2	Some lethality; ↓Body weight with ↓food consumption	↓Fetal body weight ↑Post-implantation loss ↓Offspring viability ↑Skeletal variations
Segment 2, Rabbit	0.1	↓Body weight	No observable effect
	0.32	↓Body weight Abortions	↑Developmental variations
	1	↓Body weight Abortions	↑Developmental variations ↑Post-implantation loss ↓Viable fetuses ↑Morphological variations
Segment 3, Rat	0.32, 1	No observable effect	No observable effect
	3.2	↓Body weight	↓Body weight

Tacrolimus subcutaneously administered to male rats at doses of 2 or 3 mg/kg/day (1.6 to 6.4 times the clinical dose range based on body surface area) resulted in a dose-related decrease in sperm count.

Special Studies

The toxicity of tacrolimus degradation products and a dosage form excipient were studied for antigenicity, effects on morphology and function of pancreas, and local irritation in several species. The acute IV toxicity of known heat- and light-degradation products of tacrolimus, a tacrolimus tautomer, related compounds, and a tacrolimus metabolite was assessed in mice. The acute toxicity of these compounds was not greater than that of tacrolimus as bulk drug or as the IV formulation.

Antigenicity studies produced no antibody formation in mice, and no skin reactions, sensitization, or delayed hypersensitivity reactions.

Tacrolimus produced a reversible, dose-dependent, pancreatic islet cell toxicity in rats; there were no effects on pancreatic exocrine function.

The irritation potential of the IV formulation of tacrolimus was similar to that of 0.425% acetic acid.

REFERENCES

1. Fung JJ, Alessiani M, Abu-Elmagd, *et al.* Adverse effects associated with the use of FK506. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:3105-3108.
2. Ali Shah I, Whiting PH, Omar G, Thomson A, Burke MD. Effects on FK506 on human hepatic microsomal cytochrome P450-dependent drug metabolism in vitro. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:2783-2785.
3. Bumgardner GL, Matas AJ. Transplantation and pregnancy. *Transplant Rev.* 1992;6:139-162.
4. Jain A, Venkataramanan R, Fung J, Warty V, Tzakis A, Starzl T. Pregnancy in liver transplant patients under FK506. *Am Soc Transplant Physicians.* 1993, abstract.
5. Pichard L, Fabre I, Domergue J, Joyeux H, Maurel P. Effect on FK506 on human hepatic cytochrome P450: interaction with CyA. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:2791-2793.
6. Moochhala SM, Lee EJD, Ernest L, Wong JYY, Ngoi SS. Inhibition of drug metabolism in rat and human liver microsomes by FK506 and cyclosporine. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:2786-2788.
7. Jain AB, Venkataramanan R, Fung J, *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of cyclosporine and nephrotoxicity in orthotopic liver transplant patients rescued with FK506. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:2777-2779.
8. Pichard L, Fabre I, Fabre G, *et al.* Cyclosporine A drug interactions; screening for inducers and inhibitors of cytochrome P450 (cyclosporine A oxidase) in primary cultures of human hepatocytes and in liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos.* 1990;18:595-606.
9. Iwasaki K, Matsuda H. Effect of co-dosing drugs on metabolism of FK506 by human liver microsomes. Report R92-0069-506-P5-E. Fujisawa USA, Inc., Deerfield, IL. 1992.
10. CDC: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices: Use of vaccines and immune globulins in persons with altered immunocompetence. *MMWR.* 1993;42(RR-4):1-18.
11. Suranyi MG, Hall BM. Current status of renal transplantation. *West Med J.* 1990;152:687-696.
12. Keeffe EB. Liver transplantation and surgery. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol.* 1992;8:433-438.
13. Fung J, Abu-Elmagd, Jain A, *et al.* A randomized trial of primary liver transplantation under immunosuppression with FK506 vs. cyclosporine. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:2977-2983.

14. Todo S, Fung JJ, Tzaki A, *et al.* One hundred-ten consecutive primary orthotopic liver transplants under FK506 in adults. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:1397-1402.
15. Jain AB, Fung JJ, Todo S. Incidence and treatment of rejection episodes in primary orthotopic liver transplantation under FK506. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:928-930.
16. Tzakis, AG, Reyes J, Todo S, *et al.* FK506 versus cyclosporine in pediatric liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:3010-3015.
17. Takaya S, Brosthner O, Todo S, *et al.* Retransplantation of liver: a comparison of FK506 and cyclosporine-treated patients. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23(6):3026-3028.
18. Venkataramanan R, Jain A, Cadoff E, *et al.* Effect of hepatic dysfunction and T-tube clamping on FK506 pharmacokinetics and trough concentrations. *Transplant Proc.* 1990;22(1, Supplement 1):57-59.
19. Piekoszewski W, Jusko WJ. Plasma protein binding of tacrolimus in humans. *J of Pharm Sci.* 1993;82:340-341.
20. Lhoest G, Wallemacq P, Verbeeck R. Isolation and mass spectrometric identification of five metabolites of FK506, a novel macrolide immunosuppressive agent, from human plasma. *Pharm Acta Helv.* 1991;66:302-306.
21. Christians U, Radeke H, Kownatzki R, *et al.* Isolation of an immunosuppressive metabolite of FK506 generated by human microsome preparations. *Clinical Biochemistry.* 1991;24:271-275.
22. Iwaskai KA, Nagase K, and Matsuda H. Metabolism of C-FK506 by rat and human liver microsomes. Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Report CRR920238, 1992.
23. Nagase K, Iwaska K, and Noda K. Binding of FK506 to plasma and plasma proteins. Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Report CRR920219, 1992.
24. Christians V, Braun F, Kosian N, Schmidt M, Schiebel HM, Ernst L, Kruse C, Winkler M, Holze I, Linck A, and Sewing K-FR. High performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry of FK506 and its metabolites in blood, bile and urine of liver grafted patients. *Transplant Proc.* 1991;23:2741-2744.
25. McCauley J, Fung J, Jain A, *et al.* The effects of FK506 on renal function after liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 1990;22(Supplement 1):17-20.
26. Todo S, Fung JJ, Demetris AJ, Jain A, Venkataramanan R, Starzl T. Early trials with FK506 as primary treatment in liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 1990;22:13-16.
27. Alessiani M, Cillo U, Fung JJ, *et al.* Adverse effects on FK506 overdosage after liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 1993;25:628-634.

28. Schleibner S, Krauss M, Wagner E, Erhard J, Christiaans M, Van Hooff J, Buist L, Mayer D. FK506 versus cyclosporine in the prevention of renal allograft rejection - European pilot study: Six week results. *Transplant International*. 1995;8(2):86-90.
29. Shapiro R, Jordan M, Scantlebury V, Vivas C, Fung J, McCauley J, Tzakis A, Randhawa P, Demetris AJ, Irish W, *et al.* A prospective, randomized trial of FK-506 in renal transplantation -- a comparison between double- and triple-drug therapy. *Clin Transplant*. 1994;8(4):508-515.
30. Jordan ML, Shapiro R, Vivas CA, *et al.* FK506 “rescue” for resistant rejection of renal allografts under primary cyclosporine immunosuppression. *Transplantation* 1994;57:860-865
31. Kitamura M, Hiraga S, Kobayashi D, *et al.* Clinical experience of FK 506 for renal allograft transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 1994;26:1924-1926.
32. Shapiro P, Scantlebury VP, Jordan ML, *et al.* FK506 in pediatric kidney transplantation: Primary and rescue experience. *Pediatric Nephrol*. 1995;9:S43-S48.
33. Inomata Y, Tanaka K, Egawa H, *et al.* The evolution of immunosuppression with FK506 in pediatric living-related liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 1996; 61:247-252.
34. Wiesner RH, *et al.* Acute hepatic allograft rejection: incidence, risk factors, and impact on outcome. *Hepatology*, 1998 Sep;28(3):638-45.
35. Dunn SP, *et al.* Rejection after pediatric liver transplantation is not the limiting factor to survival. *J Pediatr Surg*. 1994 Aug;29(8):1141-4.
36. Esquivel CO, *et al.* Suggested guidelines for the use of tacrolimus in pediatric liver transplant patients. *Transplantation*. 1996 Mar 15;61(5):847-8.
37. Busuttil RW, *et al.* General guidelines for the use of tacrolimus in adult liver transplant patients. *Transplantation*. 1996 Mar 15;61(5):845-7.
38. Vincenti F., *et al.* A long-term comparison of tacrolimus (FK506) and cyclosporine in kidney transplantation: evidence for improved allograft survival at five years. *Transplantation*. 2002 Mar 15;73(5):775-782
39. Wiesner Russell H., A long-term comparison of tacrolimus (FK506) versus cyclosporine in liver transplantation. *Transplantation*. 1998 Aug 27;66(4):493-9
40. Wood N., *et al.*, Antimicrobial Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics and General Pharmacology, “Effect of voriconazole on the pharmacokinetics of tacrolimus”. 41st ICAAC Abstracts. 2001 September 22-25, A-20.

41. Venkataramanan Raman, *et al.*, Antimicrobial Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics and General Pharmacology, “Voriconazole inhibition of the metabolism of tacrolimus in a liver transplant recipient and in human liver microsomes”. 41st ICAAC Abstracts. 2001 September 22-25, A-21.
42. Schulam Seth. *et al.*, Interaction between tacrolimus and chloramphenicol in a renal transplant recipient. *Transplantation*. 1998 May 27;65(10):1397-8
43. Taber D.J., *et al.*, Drug-drug interaction between chloramphenicol and tacrolimus in a liver transplant recipient. *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2000;32:660-662
44. Mathis A.S., *et al.*, Interaction of chloramphenicol and the calcineurin inhibitors in renal transplant recipients. *Transplant Infectious Disease*. 2002;4:169-174
45. Austin Stephen and Bekersky Ihor, Effects of magnesia and alumina liquid antacid (Maalox[®]) on the absorption of tacrolimus (Prograf[®]) in healthy volunteers. Report No. 2001019581-1. Fujisawa Healthcare Inc., Deerfield Illinois. 2001.
46. Cancidas[®] (casprofungin acetate) for injection. Prescribing Information, Merck and Co. Inc. 2001.
47. Sundaram Hariharan et al., Pharmacokinetics (PK) and tolerability of tacrolimus and sirolimus combination therapy in stable renal transplant recipients. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2001;406 (Supplement 1):Abstract no. 1074
48. Pirsch John, *et al.* Coadministration of tacrolimus and Mycophenolate in stable kidney transplant patients: pharmacokinetics and tolerability. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2000;40(5):527-532.
49. Brown Nigel W., *et al.* Mycophenolic acid and Mycophenolic acid glucuronide pharmacokinetics in pediatric liver transplant recipients: effect of cyclosporine and tacrolimus comedication. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring*. 2002;24:598-606
50. Gelder Teun van, *et al.*, Coadministration of tacrolimus (FK) and Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF) does not increase Mycophenolic acid (MPA) exposure, but coadministration of cyclosporine (CsA) and MMF inhibits the enterohepatic recirculation of MPA, thereby decreasing its exposure. *The Journal of the Heart and Lung Transplantation*. 2001 February;20(2):160-1
51. Hodak Stephen P., *et al.* QT prolongation and near fatal cardiac arrhythmia after intravenous tacrolimus administration. *Transplantation*. 1998 Aug 27;66(4):535-7
52. Johnson Mark C. *et al.*, QT prolongation and torsades de pointes after administration of FK506. *Transplantation*. 1992 April;53(4):929-930.

53. Staatz CE, Tett SE. Clinical pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics of mycophenolate in solid organ transplant recipients. *Clin Pharmacokinetic* 2007; 46(1):13-58.
54. Ekberg H, Tedesco-Silva H, Demirbas A, Vítko S, Nashan B, Gürkan A, Reduced Exposure to Calcineurin Inhibitors in Renal Transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2007, 357;25:2562-2575.
55. White,M, Haddad H, Leblanc, MH, Giannetti N, Pflugfelder P, Davies R, et al. Conversion From Cyclosporine Microemulsion to Tacrolimus- Based Immunoprophylaxis Improves Cholesterol Profile in Heart Transplant Recipients With Treated but Persistent Dyslipidemia: The Canadian Multicentre Randomized Trial of Tacrolimus vs Cyclosporine Microemulsion. *The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation* 2005, Vol. 24; 7: 798-809.
56. Rush D, Arlen D, Boucher A, Busque S, Cockfield S, Girardin C, et al. Lack of Benefit of Early Protocol Biopsies in Renal Transplant Patients Receiving TAC and MMF: A Randomized Study. *American Journal of Transplantation* 2007; 7: 2538–2545.
57. Cumulative Analysis of Pregnancy During Use of Systemic Tacrolimus. Global Astellas Group, 2017.

PART III: CONSUMER INFORMATION

TRANSPLANTATION

PrPrograf®
tacrolimus for injection
tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP

This leaflet is part III of a three-part "Product Monograph" published when Prograf® was approved for sale in Canada and is designed specifically for Consumers. This leaflet is a summary and will not tell you everything about Prograf. Contact your doctor or pharmacist if you have any questions about the drug.

ABOUT THIS MEDICATION

You have received a prescription for Prograf capsules from your doctor. As you know, you need special medication, every day, to help keep your transplanted organ healthy and functioning. Prograf is a drug that is used to help your body accept your transplanted organ.

IT IS VERY IMPORTANT that you read the following information carefully. Your doctor, nurse, and pharmacist have explained Prograf to you, and this information will answer some of the questions you may have about your new medication. The success of treatment with this drug depends on how carefully you follow your doctor's instructions. As you review this information, write down any questions that you may have. Then, talk with your doctor, nurse or pharmacist. This information should not replace your doctor's or pharmacist's advice.

What the medication is used for:

Prograf is the brand name for tacrolimus immediate release formulation (twice a day). You may have also heard it called FK506. Prograf is an immunosuppressant that is used concomitantly with adrenal corticosteroids to prevent or treat rejection of your transplanted organ.

What it does:

Your body's immune system is your defence system. Immunity is the way your body protects itself from infections and other foreign material. When you receive a transplant, your immune system recognizes the transplanted organ as foreign and will try to reject it. Prograf is an anti-rejection drug that helps your body accept your transplanted organ(s).

When it should not be used:

Prograf should not be used if you are hypersensitive (allergic) to tacrolimus or to any of the ingredients in the formulation, including castor oil [intravenous formulation only] (see "What the nonmedicinal ingredients are").

What the medicinal ingredient is:

- tacrolimus

What the nonmedicinal ingredients are:

Prograf immediate release capsules contain croscarmellose sodium, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 2910, lactose and magnesium stearate. The 1 mg capsule shell contains gelatin and titanium dioxide and the 0.5 mg and 5 mg capsule shells contain gelatin, titanium dioxide and ferric oxide.

Prograf injection contains polyoxyl 60 hydrogenated castor oil and dehydrated ethanol.

What dosage forms it comes in:

Prograf is available in 0.5 mg immediate release capsules, 1 mg immediate release capsules and 5 mg immediate release capsules. The 0.5 mg capsules are light yellow and oblong with "0.5 mg" written on the capsule top. The 1 mg capsules are white and oblong, with "1 mg" written on the capsule top. The 5 mg capsules are grayish/red, oblong, and have "5 mg" written on the capsule top.

Prograf for injection is a sterile colourless solution in 1 mL ampoules.

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

Increased susceptibility to infection and the possible development of lymphoma may result from immunosuppression.

BEFORE you use Prograf, be sure you have told your doctor the following:

- If you have taken Prograf, FK506 or tacrolimus before and had a bad, unusual or allergic reaction
- About all other medicines or treatments you use, including any products you buy off the shelf such as over-the-counter drugs and herbal or home remedies
- If you have heart problems: congenital or acquired QT prolongation.
- About all other health conditions you have now, or have had in the past
- If you are pregnant, plan to become pregnant, or are breastfeeding a baby. Prograf may cause fetal abnormalities and malformations. **Pregnancy should be avoided** while taking Prograf because its effect on pregnancy or on an unborn baby is not known. You must use a reliable method of birth control before, during your treatment and for 6 weeks after stopping your treatment with Prograf. Breast-feeding is not recommended while taking Prograf. It is important to notify your doctor right away if you become pregnant or father a child while taking Prograf. It is recommended that you do not take Prograf if you are, or become, pregnant. However, never stop taking Prograf without first consulting your doctor
- It is not known what effect Prograf has on the effectiveness of vaccinations and on the risk of getting an illness from vaccination with a live vaccine. Do

discuss this with your doctor before you get any vaccinations or immunizations

- If you have a rare hereditary disease of galactose intolerance, the Lapp lactase deficiency or glucose-galactose malabsorption, because the capsules contain lactose

Prograf may cause new onset diabetes in kidney transplant patients. Your doctor may order tests to monitor your blood glucose levels.

Precautions

- Prograf is often given with other medications. Make sure you know if you are to stop, or continue, other immunosuppressive drugs you had been taking
- Be sure that you are taking the correct dose and correct formulation of tacrolimus (Prograf, immediate release capsules) prescribed by your doctor
- Be sure to keep all appointments at your transplant clinic. This is very important to help ensure that you receive the maximum benefit from your medications
- As with other immunosuppressive agents, owing to the potential risk of malignant skin changes, exposure to sunlight and ultraviolet (UV) light should be limited by wearing protective clothing and using sunscreen with a high protection factor
- Tell all health professionals you see that you are taking Prograf. It is also a good idea to wear a Medic-Alert bracelet

INTERACTIONS WITH THIS MEDICATION

Tell your doctor, dentist, nurse, and pharmacist about all the drugs that you are taking. Prograf blood levels can be affected by other medicines you take, and blood levels of other medicines can be affected by taking Prograf, which may require an increase or decrease in Prograf dose. In particular, you should tell your doctor if you are taking or have recently taken medicines such as:

- Antacids: magnesium aluminum hydrochloride
- Antiarrhythmic: amiodarone
- Antifungals: clotrimazole, fluconazole, ketoconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole
- Calcium channel blockers: diltiazem, nicardipine, nifedipine, verapamil
- Gastrointestinal prokinetic agents: cisapride*, metoclopramide
- Macrolide antibiotics: erythromycin, clarithromycin, troleandomycin
- Proton pump inhibitors: lansoprazole, omeprazole
- Other drugs: bromocriptine, cimetidine, chloramphenicol, cyclosporine, danazol, ethinyl estradiol, methylprednisolone, nefazodone
- Protease inhibitors: boceprevir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir, telaprevir
- Cytomegalovirus (CMV) antivirals: letermovir

- Anticonvulsants: carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin
- Anti-infectives: rifampin, rifabutin, caspofungin
- Calcineurin inhibitor: sirolimus
- Potassium sparing diuretics

*no longer marketed in Canada

Do not take any other drugs without asking your doctor first. This includes anything you can buy off the shelf such as over-the-counter drugs and herbal, especially St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*), Schisandra sphenanthera extracts, or home remedies.

A high intake of potassium should be avoided during Prograf treatment.

Prograf should not be taken with grapefruit or grapefruit juice.

PROPER USE OF THIS MEDICATION

Usual dose:

Your doctor will give you specific instructions about how much Prograf you should take each day. Your doctor has decided the dose you should take based on your medical condition and response to the drug. **It is very important to take the exact amount of Prograf that your doctor has told you.**

Once your doctor has told you when and how many times a day to take Prograf:

- Try to take your doses at the same time every day. This will help keep the same amount of Prograf in your body so it can continue to protect your transplanted organ
- Space your doses of Prograf as evenly as you can throughout the day. For example, if you take Prograf twice a day, doses should be 12 hours apart. Ask your transplant nurse or pharmacist about a dosing schedule that best fits your lifestyle
- Prograf may be taken with or without food. But it is best to be consistent. Once you decide when you are going to take it in relation to food, do it the same way each time
- Swallow the capsules whole. Do not cut, crush, or chew the Prograf capsule
- Make sure that you receive the same tacrolimus medicine every time you collect your prescription, unless your transplant specialist has agreed to change to a different tacrolimus medicine. If the appearance of this medicine is not the same as usual, or if dosage instructions have changed, speak to your doctor or pharmacist as soon as possible to make sure that you have the right medicine

Blood tests are one of the ways your doctor decides how much Prograf you need. Based on these tests and your response to Prograf, your doctor may change your dose from time to time. **Do not change your dose on your own.**

Overdose:

In case of drug overdose, contact a healthcare practitioner (e.g. doctor), hospital emergency department or regional Poison Control Centre immediately, even if there are no symptoms.

Missed Dose:

Missing even a few doses of Prograf may cause your body to reject your transplanted organ. That is why it is very important to take each dose as your doctor prescribed. If you have trouble remembering doses, or if you are uncertain about how to take them, talk to your doctor, nurse or pharmacist and be sure to discuss any concerns you have about taking Prograf as prescribed.

If you do miss a dose of Prograf, do not try to catch up on your own (do not take twice your dose); instead, call your doctor or pharmacist right away for advice. It is also a good idea to ask your doctor ahead of time what to do about missed doses.

Never allow your medication to run out between refills and be sure to take enough medication with you when you will be away from home for any extended period of time.

SIDE EFFECTS AND WHAT TO DO ABOUT THEM

These are not all the possible side effects you may feel when taking Prograf. If you experience any side effects not listed here, contact your healthcare professional.

Common side effects may include:

- Anxiety
- Constipation
- Edema (swelling) of the legs and arms
- Insomnia
- Tremor (shaking), especially of the hands

Prograf can cause abnormal blood test results. Your doctor may perform blood tests and will interpret the results.

Like other medicines, Prograf may cause side effects in some people. If you think that you are having side effects, talk to your doctor right away. **DO NOT stop taking Prograf on your own.**

Medication errors, including inadvertent, unintentional or unsupervised substitution of immediate or extended release tacrolimus oral formulations, have been observed. This has led to serious adverse events, including graft rejection, or other side effects which could be a consequence of either under- or over-exposure to tacrolimus. You should be maintained on a single formulation of tacrolimus with the corresponding daily dosing regimen; alterations in formulation or regimen should only take place under the close supervision of a transplant specialist.

It is important to regularly tell your doctor how you are feeling and if you have developed any new symptoms while taking Prograf.

Serious side effects and what to do about them			
Symptom / effect	Talk to your healthcare professional		Get immediate medical help
	Only if severe	In all cases	
VERY COMMON			
Anemia (decreased red blood cells): dizziness, fainting, fatigue, feeling unwell, lack of energy, pale skin, pale stool, rapid heartbeat, shortness of breath, weakness		✓	
Diabetes (high blood sugar): blurred vision, confusion, drowsiness, frequent urination, fruity smell on your breath, increased thirst and hunger, loss of appetite, nausea, stomach pain or vomiting		✓	
Hypertension (high blood pressure): usually without symptoms but can appear as altered vision, dizziness, fainting, headache, head feeling “light”, tinnitus (buzzing or hissing in the ears), vertigo		✓	

Infections of urinary tract: frequent urination, pain or burning sensation when urinating, pain or pressure in lower back or abdomen, urine not looking or smelling normal		✓	
Leukopenia (decreased white blood cells): aches, fatigue, fever, infections, mouth ulcers, pains and flu-like symptoms, sweating		✓	
Liver problem: back pain, yellowing of the skin or eyes		✓	
Kidney problem: back and abdominal pain, change in the colour of urine (pale or dark), less urine produced, pain or discomfort when urinating, swelling of the legs and ankles		✓	
COMMON			
Infections of upper respiratory tract (sinus, nose, throat): common cold symptoms, cough, facial pain or pressure, fever, headache, nasal congestion, runny or stuffy nose, sneezing, sore throat		✓	
Electrolyte disturbance (high/low blood levels of calcium, magnesium and/or phosphate): dehydration, diarrhea, eating disorders, vomiting		✓	
RARE / UNKNOWN			
Posterior encephalopathy syndrome (a nervous system disorder): change in mental state, coma, confusion, numbness and tingling, headache, seizures, vision changes		✓	
Heart problems: abnormal heart rhythms, chest pain, dizziness, fainting, low or no pulse, nausea, pain irradiating in the arm, neck or back, palpitations, short breath, sweating			✓

Gastrointestinal perforation (a hole in your stomach or bowels): chills or fever, nausea, severe abdominal pain, vomiting			✓
Respiratory distress: chest pain, difficulty to breathe, short breath			✓
Sepsis: confusion, fever, low body temperature, rapid breathing, rapid heart rate, swelling			✓
Cancer: new or abnormal mole on the skin, patch on the skin that doesn't heal, or is itchy, bleeds or oozes, size or shape of an existing mole, skin ulcers (broken skin with an open wound), appearance of lumps in your breast or other areas of the body, a nagging cough or hoarseness, persistent and severe headaches, swollen lymph nodes, a change in your bladder or bowel habits		✓	
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) (rare brain infection): changes in thinking, clumsiness of limbs, confusion, disturbance of vision, progressive weakness on one side of the body, memory and orientation, personality changes		✓	
Pure red cell aplasia (PRCA) (bone marrow stops producing red cells): dizziness, fainting, fatigue, feeling unwell, pale skin, pale stools, rapid heartbeat, shortness of breath, weakness		✓	
Febrile Neutropenia (decrease in white blood cells): fever		✓	
Optic neuropathy (problem with the nerves in your eye): change or loss of vision		✓	

HOW TO STORE IT

Keep Prograf out of the reach and away from children. A child who accidentally takes Prograf may be seriously harmed. All drugs should be kept in a locked drawer or cupboard if there are children who may accidentally take your drugs. Should anyone accidentally or mistakenly take Prograf, contact your physician immediately.

Always store Prograf at room temperature (15°C to 30°C) in the container or package that was dispensed by your pharmacist.

Prograf® and Advagraf® are registered trademarks of Astellas Pharma Inc. All other trademarks or registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

Last revised: March 18, 2019

REPORTING SUSPECTED SIDE EFFECTS

You can report any suspected adverse reactions associated with the use of health products to the Canada Vigilance Program by one of the following 3 ways:

- Report online at www.healthcanada.gc.ca/medeffect
- Call toll-free at 1-866-234-2345
- Complete a Canada Vigilance Reporting Form and:
 - Fax toll-free to 1-866-678-6789, or
 - Mail to: Canada Vigilance Program
Health Canada
Postal Locator 0701C
Ottawa, ON K1A 0K9

Postage paid labels, Canada Vigilance Reporting Form and the adverse reaction reporting guidelines are available on the MedEffect™ Canada website at www.healthcanada.gc.ca/medeffect.

NOTE: Should you require information related to the management of side effects, contact your health professional. The Canada Vigilance Program does not provide medical advice.

MORE INFORMATION

If you have additional questions or would like to talk with someone to explain something you are worried about, ask your doctor, nurse, pharmacist, or other members of the Transplant Team. They are your best resource for guidance and information.

This document plus the full product monograph, prepared for health professionals can be found at:

<http://www.astellas.ca/>

or by contacting the sponsor, Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc., at:
1-888-338-1824

This leaflet was prepared by Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc.

PART III: CONSUMER INFORMATION

RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

PrPrograf®
tacrolimus immediate release capsules, USP

This leaflet is part III of a three-part "Product Monograph" published when Prograf® was approved for sale in Canada and is designed specifically for Consumers. This leaflet is a summary and will not tell you everything about Prograf. Contact your doctor or pharmacist if you have any questions about the drug.

ABOUT THIS MEDICATION

IT IS VERY IMPORTANT that you read the following information carefully. Your doctor, nurse, and pharmacist have explained Prograf to you, and this information will answer some of the questions you may have about your new medication. The success of treatment with this drug depends on how carefully you follow your doctor's instructions. As you review this information, write down any questions that you may have. Then, talk with your doctor, nurse or pharmacist. This information should not replace your doctor's or pharmacist's advice.

What the medication is used for:

Prograf is the brand name for tacrolimus. You may have also heard it called FK506. Prograf is an immunosuppressant that is used alone or in combination with other drugs to reduce the symptoms experienced by patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

What it does:

Rheumatoid arthritis is an autoimmune disorder of unknown cause in which the bone joint lining (synovium) is attacked by the immune system. The mechanism of action of tacrolimus in rheumatoid arthritis is not known. Approximately 8 weeks of treatment with Prograf may be required before any significant improvement is noted in your symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis.

When it should not be used:

Prograf should not be used if you are hypersensitive (allergic) to tacrolimus or to any of the ingredients in the formulation (see "What the nonmedicinal ingredients are").

What the medicinal ingredient is:

- tacrolimus

What the nonmedicinal ingredients are:

Prograf immediate release capsules contain croscarmellose sodium, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, lactose and magnesium stearate. The 1 mg capsule shell contains gelatin and titanium dioxide and the 0.5 mg and 5 mg capsule shells contain gelatin, titanium dioxide and ferric oxide.

What dosage forms it comes in:

Prograf is available in 0.5 mg immediate release capsules and 1 mg immediate release capsules and 5 mg immediate release capsules. The 0.5 mg capsules are light yellow and oblong with "0.5 mg" written on the capsule top. The 1 mg capsules are white and oblong, with "1 mg" written on the capsule top. The 5 mg capsules are grayish/red, oblong, and have "5 mg" written on the capsule top.

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

Increased susceptibility to infection and the possible development of lymphoma may result from immunosuppression.

BEFORE you use Prograf, be sure you have told your doctor the following:

- If you have taken Prograf, FK506 or tacrolimus before and had a bad, unusual or allergic reaction
- About all other medicines or treatments you use, including any products you buy off the shelf such as over-the-counter drugs and herbal or home remedies
- If you have heart problems: congenital or acquired QT prolongation
- About all other health conditions you have now, or have had in the past
- If you are pregnant, plan to become pregnant, or are breastfeeding a baby. Prograf may cause fetal abnormalities and malformations. **Pregnancy should be avoided** while taking Prograf because its effect on pregnancy or on an unborn baby is not known. You must use a reliable method of birth control before, during your treatment and for 6 weeks after stopping your treatment with Prograf. Breast-feeding is not recommended while taking Prograf. It is important to notify your doctor right away if you become pregnant or father a child while taking Prograf. It is recommended that you do not take Prograf if you are, or become, pregnant. However, never stop taking Prograf without first consulting your doctor
- It is not known what effect Prograf has on the effectiveness of vaccinations and on the risk of getting an illness from vaccination with a live vaccine. Do discuss this with your doctor before you get any vaccinations or immunizations
- If you have a rare hereditary disease of galactose intolerance, the Lapp lactase deficiency or glucose-galactose malabsorption, because the capsules contain lactose

Precautions

- Prograf is often given with other medications. Make sure you know if you are to stop, or continue, other drugs you had been taking
- As with other immunosuppressive agents, owing to the potential risk of malignant skin changes, exposure to sunlight and ultraviolet (UV) light should be limited by

wearing protective clothing and using sunscreen with a high protection factor

- Be sure that you are taking the correct dose of Prograf prescribed by your doctor
- Tell all health professionals you see that you are taking Prograf. It is also a good idea to wear a Medic-Alert bracelet

INTERACTIONS WITH THIS MEDICATION

Tell your doctor, dentist, nurse, and pharmacist about all the drugs that you are taking. Prograf blood levels can be affected by other medicines you take, and blood levels of other medicines can be affected by taking Prograf, which may require an increase or decrease in Prograf dose. In particular, you should tell your doctor if you are taking or have recently taken medicines such as:

- Antacids: magnesium aluminum hydrochloride
- Antiarrhythmic: amiodarone
- Antifungals: clotrimazole, fluconazole, ketoconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole
- Calcium channel blockers: diltiazem, nifedipine, verapamil
- Gastrointestinal prokinetic agents: cisapride*, metoclopramide
- Macrolide antibiotics: erythromycin, clarithromycin, troleandomycin
- Proton pump inhibitors: lansoprazole, omeprazole
- Other drugs: bromocriptine, cimetidine, chloramphenicol, cyclosporine, danazol, ethinyl estradiol, methylprednisolone, nefazodone
- Protease inhibitors: boceprevir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir, telaprevir
- Cytomegalovirus (CMV) antivirals: letermovir
- Anticonvulsants: carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin
- Anti-infectives: rifampin, rifabutin, caspofungin
- Calcineurin inhibitor: sirolimus

*no longer marketed in Canada

Do not take any other drugs without asking your doctor first. This includes anything you can buy off the shelf such as over-the-counter drugs and herbal, especially St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum*), Schisandra sphenanthera extracts, or home remedies.

Prograf should not be taken with grapefruit or grapefruit juice.

PROPER USE OF THIS MEDICATION

Usual dose:

Your doctor will decide the dosage. The usual adult dosage is 3 mg taken once daily.

Make sure that you receive the same tacrolimus medicine every time you collect your prescription. If the appearance of this medicine is not the same as usual, speak to your doctor or pharmacist as soon as possible to make sure that you have the right medicine.

Prograf may be taken with or without food. But it is best to be consistent. Once you decide when you are going to take it in relation to food, do it the same way each time.

Swallow the capsules whole. Do not cut, crush, or chew the Prograf capsule.

Try to take your doses at the same time every day.

Overdose:

In case of drug overdose, contact a healthcare practitioner (e.g. doctor), hospital emergency department or regional Poison Control Centre immediately, even if there are no symptoms.

Missed Dose:

If you do miss a dose of Prograf, skip this dose and take the next one at the regular scheduled time; do not take twice your dose. Call your doctor or pharmacist right away for advice. It is also a good idea to ask your doctor ahead of time what to do about missed doses.

Do not allow your medication to run out between refills and be sure to take enough medication with you when you will be away from home for any extended period of time.

SIDE EFFECTS AND WHAT TO DO ABOUT THEM

These are not all the possible side effects you may feel when taking Prograf. If you experience any side effects not listed here, contact your healthcare professional.

Common side effects may include:

- Anxiety
- Constipation
- Edema (swelling) of the legs and arms
- Insomnia
- Tremor (shaking), especially of the hands

Prograf can cause abnormal blood test results. Your doctor may perform blood tests and will interpret the results.

Like other medicines, Prograf may cause side effects in some people. If you think that you are having side effects, talk to your doctor right away.

It is important to regularly tell your doctor how you are feeling and if you have developed any new symptoms while taking Prograf.

Serious side effects and what to do about them			
Symptom / effect	Talk to your healthcare professional		Get immediate medical help
	Only if severe	In all cases	
VERY COMMON			
Anemia (decreased red blood cells): dizziness, fainting, fatigue, feeling unwell, lack of energy, pale skin, pale stool, rapid heartbeat, shortness of breath, weakness		✓	
Diabetes (high blood sugar): blurred vision, confusion, drowsiness, frequent urination, fruity smell on your breath, increased thirst and hunger, loss of appetite, nausea, stomach pain or vomiting		✓	
Hypertension (high blood pressure): usually without symptoms but can appear as altered vision, dizziness, fainting, headache, head feeling “light”, tinnitus (buzzing or hissing in the ears), vertigo		✓	
Infections of urinary tract: frequent urination, pain or burning sensation when urinating, pain or pressure in lower back or abdomen, urine not looking or smelling normal		✓	
Leukopenia (decreased white blood cells): aches, fatigue, fever, infections, mouth ulcers, pains and flu-like symptoms, sweating		✓	
Liver problem: back pain, yellowing of the skin or eyes		✓	
Kidney problem: back and abdominal pain, change in the colour of urine (pale or dark), less urine produced, pain or discomfort when urinating, swelling of the legs and ankles		✓	

COMMON			
Infections of upper respiratory tract (sinus, nose, throat): common cold symptoms, cough, facial pain or pressure, fever, headache, nasal congestion, runny or stuffy nose, sneezing, sore throat		✓	
Electrolyte disturbance (high/low blood levels of calcium, magnesium and/or phosphate): dehydration, diarrhea, eating disorders, vomiting		✓	
RARE / UNKNOWN			
Posterior encephalopathy syndrome (a nervous system disorder): change in mental state, coma, confusion, numbness and tingling, headache, seizures, vision changes		✓	
Heart problems: abnormal heart rhythms, chest pain, dizziness, fainting, low or no pulse, nausea, pain irradiating in the arm, neck or back, palpitations, short breath, sweating			✓
Gastrointestinal perforation (a hole in your stomach or bowels): chills or fever, nausea, severe abdominal pain, vomiting			✓
Respiratory distress: chest pain, difficulty to breathe, short breath			✓
Sepsis: confusion, fever, low body temperature, rapid breathing, rapid heart rate, swelling			✓

Cancer: new or abnormal mole on the skin, patch on the skin that doesn't heal, or is itchy, bleeds or oozes, size or shape of an existing mole, skin ulcers (broken skin with an open wound), appearance of lumps in your breast or other areas of the body, a nagging cough or hoarseness, persistent and severe headaches, swollen lymph nodes, a change in your bladder or bowel habits		✓	
Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) (rare brain infection): changes in thinking, clumsiness of limbs, confusion, disturbance of vision, progressive weakness on one side of the body, memory and orientation, personality changes		✓	
Pure red cell aplasia (PRCA) (bone marrow stops producing red cells): dizziness, fainting, fatigue, feeling unwell, pale skin, pale stools, rapid heartbeat, shortness of breath, weakness		✓	
Febrile Neutropenia (decrease in white blood cells): fever		✓	
Optic neuropathy (problem with the nerves in your eye): change or loss of vision		✓	

HOW TO STORE IT

Keep Prograf out of the reach and away from children. A child who accidentally takes Prograf may be seriously harmed. All drugs should be kept in a locked drawer or cupboard if there are children who may accidentally take your drugs. Should anyone accidentally or mistakenly take Prograf, contact your physician immediately.

Always store Prograf at room temperature (15°C to 30°C) in the container or package that was dispensed by your pharmacist.

REPORTING SUSPECTED SIDE EFFECTS

You can report any suspected adverse reactions associated with the use of health products to the Canada Vigilance Program by one of the following 3 ways:

- Report online at www.healthcanada.gc.ca/medeffect
- Call toll-free at 1-866-234-2345
- Complete a Canada Vigilance Reporting Form and:
 - Fax toll-free to 1-866-678-6789, or
 - Mail to: Canada Vigilance Program
Health Canada
Postal Locator 0701C
Ottawa, ON K1A 0K9

Postage paid labels, Canada Vigilance Reporting Form and the adverse reaction reporting guidelines are available on the MedEffect™ Canada website at www.healthcanada.gc.ca/medeffect.

NOTE: Should you require information related to the management of side effects, contact your health professional. The Canada Vigilance Program does not provide medical advice.

MORE INFORMATION

If you have additional questions or would like to talk with someone to explain something you are worried about, ask your doctor, nurse, or pharmacist. They are your best resource for guidance and information.

This document plus the full product monograph, prepared for health professionals can be found at:

<http://www.astellas.ca/>

or by contacting the sponsor, Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc., at: 1-888-338-1824

This leaflet was prepared by Astellas Pharma Canada, Inc.

Prograf® and Advagraf® are registered trademarks of Astellas Pharma Inc. All other trademarks or registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

Last revised: March 18, 2019